

	n	%	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
			Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Homeowner	Non-Homeowner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate	
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327	
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%	
QOL Index	QOL Index (mean)	600	3.67	3.53	3.81	3.85	3.87	3.40	2.91	4.22	3.69	3.29	3.68	3.64	3.75	4.09	3.32
3. Right direction/Wrong track: Spokane region	Right direction	240	40%	37%	42%	41%	43%	42%	27%	47%	40%	32%	52%	40%	40%	47%	34%
	Wrong track	355	59%	62%	57%	58%	57%	57%	72%	53%	59%	67%	48%	60%	58%	52%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	0%	2%	1%	1%
4. What is the best thing about living in the Spokane region? (OE)	Nature/Scenery/Landscape	150	25%	19%	31%	33%	24%	23%	22%	24%	25%	25%	21%	22%	32%	24%	25%
	Outdoor recreation/Access to public land	119	20%	22%	18%	19%	23%	16%	26%	16%	20%	15%	13%	22%	15%	22%	18%
	Location/Proximity/Accessibility	102	17%	16%	18%	14%	22%	20%	18%	13%	17%	19%	25%	19%	14%	17%	17%
	Climate/Weather/Has all 4 seasons	77	13%	11%	15%	11%	8%	9%	13%	19%	13%	7%	24%	14%	11%	12%	13%
	Small town feel	55	9%	8%	10%	10%	12%	10%	5%	9%	9%	8%	9%	10%	8%	12%	7%
	Community feel/Friendly people	49	8%	8%	8%	6%	9%	6%	7%	11%	9%	9%	0%	8%	8%	9%	7%
	Entertainment/Activities/Businesses	42	7%	9%	6%	10%	6%	9%	7%	5%	7%	6%	0%	6%	9%	7%	7%
	Close to family/Family oriented	35	6%	7%	5%	1%	9%	10%	4%	7%	6%	3%	0%	6%	6%	9%	3%
	Cost of living/Affordability	31	5%	6%	4%	6%	7%	8%	5%	2%	5%	8%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%
	Diversity/Culture	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	6%	2%	0%	2%	0%
	Access to healthcare	7	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%
	Other	46	8%	10%	6%	7%	4%	8%	8%	10%	7%	11%	23%	8%	8%	6%	9%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	26	4%	5%	4%	3%	1%	5%	6%	6%	4%	7%	0%	4%	5%	3%	5%
5. And what are the issues facing the Spokane region that you are most frustrated or concerned about? (OE)	Homelessness	228	38%	35%	41%	27%	33%	48%	43%	38%	39%	33%	22%	39%	37%	43%	34%
	Crime/Drugs/Public Safety	143	24%	22%	26%	21%	24%	27%	29%	19%	24%	20%	18%	25%	21%	23%	24%
	Taxes/High Taxes	97	16%	19%	14%	10%	21%	13%	19%	17%	16%	15%	34%	21%	6%	15%	17%
	Inflation/Cost of living	85	14%	13%	15%	14%	18%	17%	16%	8%	13%	21%	30%	13%	16%	15%	13%
	The government/Politics	78	13%	17%	9%	13%	10%	10%	12%	18%	13%	12%	11%	14%	11%	13%	13%
	Affordable housing/Housing	69	11%	8%	15%	19%	11%	9%	9%	10%	11%	12%	20%	6%	23%	15%	9%
	Infrastructure/Roads	45	7%	8%	7%	9%	12%	4%	4%	8%	7%	8%	13%	8%	6%	8%	7%
	Government spending/Budget	21	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	6%	0%	4%	3%	1%	5%
	Lack of mental health services/Healthcare	15	3%	1%	4%	5%	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	5%	2%	3%
	Jobs/Economy	14	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	6%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%	1%	4%	3%	2%
	Law enforcement/Lack of police	13	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	Garbage/Filth	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Other	47	8%	9%	7%	8%	7%	4%	7%	11%	8%	8%	0%	9%	6%	7%	9%
	None/Don't know/No opinion	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	3%	12%	0%	2%	0%	2%

	n	%	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
			Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Homeowner	Non-Homeowner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
6. Do you think the overall quality of life in the Spokane region is getting better, staying the same, or getting worse?																
Getting much better	9	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	3%	2%	3%	-	1%	3%	2%	1%
Getting somewhat better	78	13%	13%	13%	11%	20%	12%	9%	13%	13%	7%	18%	13%	13%	16%	11%
Staying the same	137	23%	22%	23%	27%	24%	27%	17%	20%	23%	21%	12%	23%	23%	23%	22%
Getting somewhat worse	232	39%	38%	39%	41%	32%	34%	40%	44%	39%	42%	38%	37%	42%	38%	39%
Getting much worse	144	24%	25%	23%	18%	22%	28%	32%	20%	23%	27%	32%	26%	19%	21%	26%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. Do you think the overall quality of life in the Spokane region is getting better, staying the same, or getting worse?																
Better	87	15%	14%	15%	13%	22%	12%	10%	16%	15%	9%	18%	14%	16%	18%	12%
Same/(DK)	137	23%	22%	23%	27%	24%	27%	17%	20%	23%	21%	12%	23%	23%	23%	22%
Worse	376	63%	63%	62%	59%	54%	62%	72%	64%	62%	70%	69%	63%	61%	59%	66%
Net Better	-289	-48	-49	-48	-46	-32	-50	-62	-48	-47	-60	-51	-50	-45	-41	-54
7. Have you actively considered moving out of the area?																
Yes	406	68%	71%	65%	68%	68%	78%	77%	53%	67%	72%	68%	66%	72%	63%	72%
No	194	32%	29%	35%	32%	32%	22%	23%	47%	33%	28%	32%	34%	28%	37%	28%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Have you actively considered moving out of the area?																
Yes	406	68%	71%	65%	68%	68%	78%	77%	53%	67%	72%	68%	66%	72%	63%	72%
No/(DK/Ref)	194	32%	29%	35%	32%	32%	22%	23%	47%	33%	28%	32%	34%	28%	37%	28%
Net Yes	+212	+35	+42	+29	+36	+35	+56	+54	+7	+34	+44	+37	+31	+44	+25	+44
8. What is the main reason you have considered moving? (OE)																
Taxes	129	21%	25%	18%	8%	21%	21%	31%	24%	21%	19%	31%	26%	13%	18%	24%
Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	100	17%	14%	19%	20%	12%	16%	25%	11%	16%	19%	12%	14%	22%	17%	17%
Government/Leadership/Politics	117	19%	23%	16%	17%	19%	18%	22%	20%	19%	25%	12%	20%	19%	18%	21%
Crime/Drugs/Public safety	80	13%	12%	14%	15%	13%	14%	18%	8%	14%	13%	18%	13%	14%	10%	16%
Homelessness	41	7%	7%	7%	5%	4%	11%	10%	5%	7%	6%	0%	8%	4%	7%	
Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	31	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	9%	6%	2%	5%	7%	0%	4%	7%	5%	
Declining quality of life	27	5%	4%	5%	4%	7%	6%	6%	1%	4%	4%	11%	5%	4%	4%	
Growth/Development/Space	12	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	0%	3%	2%	2%	9%	2%	2%	2%	
Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed Schools/School district/SPS/Education	11	2%	1%	3%	3%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%	5%	0%	1%	3%	2%	
Weather	10	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	
Traffic/Congestion	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	
Closer to family	7	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	3%	6%	1%	1%	1%	
Want to move abroad	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	
Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	4	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	
Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	1	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Other	14	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	6%	0%	2%	3%	3%	
None/Don't know/No opinion	8	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	



	n	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety																
Strongly agree	26	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	6%	2%	7%	4%	5%	12%	4%	5%	4%	5%
Somewhat agree	130	22%	18%	25%	20%	20%	17%	26%	23%	22%	14%	42%	22%	25%	19%	19%
Somewhat disagree	197	33%	34%	31%	36%	28%	35%	28%	36%	33%	37%	15%	34%	30%	31%	34%
Strongly disagree	246	41%	43%	39%	40%	48%	42%	44%	33%	41%	42%	31%	40%	43%	40%	42%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively																
Strongly agree	217	36%	43%	30%	33%	39%	33%	38%	37%	36%	38%	50%	37%	34%	33%	39%
Somewhat agree	217	36%	36%	36%	40%	28%	36%	40%	35%	36%	36%	44%	38%	32%	37%	36%
Somewhat disagree	128	21%	15%	27%	16%	26%	22%	17%	24%	22%	17%	6%	20%	24%	23%	20%
Strongly disagree	31	5%	6%	5%	7%	7%	8%	4%	2%	5%	5%	-	4%	8%	6%	5%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	2%	4%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety																
Strongly agree	334	56%	59%	53%	59%	56%	57%	53%	55%	56%	57%	54%	57%	52%	52%	59%
Somewhat agree	221	37%	35%	38%	37%	29%	37%	42%	37%	37%	35%	46%	36%	39%	41%	33%
Somewhat disagree	40	7%	5%	8%	4%	12%	6%	3%	8%	6%	9%	-	6%	9%	7%	7%
Strongly disagree	5	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	1%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community																
Strongly agree	68	11%	9%	13%	14%	16%	8%	5%	14%	12%	3%	6%	11%	12%	14%	9%
Somewhat agree	224	37%	34%	40%	31%	40%	41%	34%	41%	37%	38%	28%	37%	38%	40%	35%
Somewhat disagree	142	24%	23%	24%	28%	21%	20%	28%	21%	24%	23%	11%	23%	25%	24%	23%
Strongly disagree	159	27%	31%	23%	22%	23%	30%	32%	25%	25%	34%	44%	28%	24%	20%	32%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	-	4%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	12%	1%	1%	2%	1%
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health																
Strongly agree	356	59%	59%	59%	58%	62%	61%	56%	60%	60%	55%	70%	62%	54%	66%	54%
Somewhat agree	173	29%	26%	32%	27%	24%	31%	36%	27%	29%	33%	18%	27%	32%	25%	32%
Somewhat disagree	42	7%	9%	5%	8%	8%	7%	4%	9%	7%	5%	-	6%	8%	5%	9%
Strongly disagree	29	5%	6%	4%	8%	6%	2%	4%	4%	4%	7%	12%	5%	5%	3%	6%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure																
Strongly agree	200	33%	31%	36%	39%	40%	31%	22%	36%	35%	21%	29%	29%	42%	37%	30%
Somewhat agree	254	42%	41%	43%	38%	39%	39%	53%	41%	43%	44%	37%	45%	36%	40%	44%
Somewhat disagree	86	14%	15%	13%	10%	12%	17%	16%	15%	13%	21%	-	15%	12%	14%	14%
Strongly disagree	60	10%	13%	7%	12%	9%	13%	9%	8%	9%	13%	34%	10%	10%	8%	12%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area																
Strongly agree	56	9%	10%	9%	8%	17%	11%	8%	6%	9%	11%	-	10%	7%	13%	7%
Somewhat agree	229	38%	40%	37%	49%	36%	32%	39%	35%	39%	26%	37%	37%	42%	41%	36%
Somewhat disagree	200	33%	31%	36%	30%	27%	37%	30%	41%	33%	38%	42%	34%	32%	29%	37%
Strongly disagree	112	19%	20%	18%	13%	20%	20%	23%	18%	18%	23%	21%	19%	17%	17%	20%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%

	n	%	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
			Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	280	47%	47%	47%	51%	49%	44%	38%	51%	46%	46%	52%	46%	48%	49%	45%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	320	53%	53%	53%	49%	51%	56%	62%	49%	54%	54%	48%	54%	52%	51%	55%
Net Agree	-39	-7	-7	-6	+2	-2	-12	-24	+3	-7	-9	+3	-8	-4	-3	-10
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	511	85%	86%	85%	87%	85%	85%	87%	82%	85%	87%	94%	84%	88%	84%	86%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	87	14%	14%	15%	13%	15%	15%	13%	16%	15%	12%	6%	16%	11%	16%	14%
Net Agree	+425	+71	+71	+70	+75	+69	+71	+74	+66	+70	+75	+88	+68	+77	+69	+72
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	394	66%	66%	65%	74%	65%	64%	63%	64%	65%	69%	67%	61%	75%	71%	61%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	206	34%	34%	35%	26%	35%	36%	37%	36%	35%	31%	33%	39%	25%	29%	39%
Net Agree	+188	+31	+33	+30	+47	+30	+28	+25	+28	+31	+37	+34	+23	+49	+41	+23
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	152	25%	26%	25%	25%	27%	28%	22%	25%	26%	22%	24%	23%	31%	31%	20%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	447	74%	74%	75%	75%	73%	72%	78%	74%	74%	77%	76%	77%	69%	69%	79%
Net Agree	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%
	-295	-49	-48	-50	-50	-45	-44	-55	-49	-48	-54	-51	-55	-38	-38	-59
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	408	68%	70%	66%	69%	61%	69%	73%	67%	67%	83%	66%	67%	71%	64%	72%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	179	30%	28%	31%	29%	39%	31%	24%	29%	31%	14%	34%	32%	26%	35%	26%
Net Agree	13	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	3%	4%	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	1%	3%
	+228	+38	+42	+35	+41	+22	+39	+48	+39	+35	+69	+32	+35	+45	+29	+46
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	297	50%	47%	52%	61%	61%	48%	44%	40%	51%	42%	46%	42%	64%	56%	44%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	302	50%	53%	48%	39%	39%	52%	56%	60%	49%	58%	54%	57%	36%	44%	56%
Net Agree	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%
	-4	-1	-6	+5	+21	+23	-5	-13	-20	+1	-16	-9	-15	+29	+13	-12
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	215	36%	32%	39%	32%	40%	36%	31%	40%	37%	17%	56%	38%	32%	42%	31%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	383	64%	68%	60%	67%	60%	64%	69%	60%	63%	81%	44%	62%	67%	57%	69%
Net Agree	1	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	-168	-28	-35	-21	-35	-21	-27	-39	-19	-26	-64	+12	-25	-35	-15	-39
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	392	65%	71%	60%	40%	55%	63%	78%	82%	65%	68%	65%	72%	50%	62%	68%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	208	35%	29%	40%	60%	45%	37%	22%	18%	35%	32%	35%	28%	50%	38%	32%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+183	+31	+42	+20	-21	+9	+26	+55	+64	+29	+36	+30	+45	+1	+24	+36
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	156	26%	23%	29%	23%	23%	23%	28%	30%	25%	19%	54%	26%	27%	29%	23%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	443	74%	77%	71%	77%	77%	77%	72%	69%	74%	80%	46%	74%	73%	71%	76%
Net Agree	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%
	-287	-48	-54	-42	-53	-53	-54	-44	-40	-49	-61	+8	-49	-46	-41	-53

	n	Gender (Self-ID and			Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Homeowner	Non-Homeowner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	434	72%	79%	66%	73%	67%	69%	78%	73%	72%	75%	94%	75%	67%	70%	75%
Disagree	159	26%	21%	32%	24%	33%	30%	21%	27%	27%	21%	6%	24%	31%	29%	24%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	2%	4%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%
Net Agree	+275	+46	+58	+34	+49	+35	+39	+57	+46	+45	+53	+89	+51	+35	+40	+51
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	555	93%	94%	91%	96%	86%	94%	95%	92%	93%	91%	100%	93%	90%	93%	92%
Disagree	45	7%	6%	9%	4%	14%	6%	5%	8%	7%	9%	-	7%	10%	7%	8%
(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Agree	+510	+85	+88	+83	+91	+71	+87	+91	+84	+85	+83	-	+87	+81	+86	+84
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	292	49%	44%	53%	45%	56%	49%	39%	54%	49%	41%	34%	48%	50%	54%	45%
Disagree	301	50%	54%	47%	51%	44%	50%	60%	46%	50%	57%	55%	51%	49%	45%	55%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	2%	-	4%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	12%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Net Agree	-9	-1	-10	+7	-6	+12	-0	-21	+8	-0	-16	-21	-3	+1	+9	-10
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	529	88%	85%	91%	84%	86%	92%	92%	87%	89%	89%	88%	89%	86%	91%	85%
Disagree	71	12%	15%	9%	16%	14%	8%	8%	13%	11%	11%	12%	11%	14%	9%	15%
(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Agree	+457	+76	+70	+82	+69	+72	+83	+83	+74	+77	+77	+77	+78	+73	+83	+71
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure	454	76%	72%	79%	77%	79%	70%	75%	77%	78%	66%	66%	75%	78%	78%	74%
Disagree	146	24%	28%	21%	23%	21%	30%	25%	23%	22%	34%	34%	25%	22%	22%	26%
(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Agree	+308	+51	+44	+59	+55	+58	+39	+49	+54	+55	+32	+31	+49	+56	+55	+48
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	285	48%	50%	46%	57%	52%	43%	47%	41%	48%	37%	37%	47%	49%	54%	42%
Disagree	312	52%	50%	54%	43%	48%	56%	53%	58%	51%	61%	63%	53%	50%	46%	57%
(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%
Net Agree	-27	-5	-1	-8	+14	+5	-14	-7	-17	-3	-24	-25	-6	-1	+8	-15

	n	Gender (Self-ID and			Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
24. What recent policy decisions Taxes	132	32%	34%	31%	29%	32%	32%	30%	38%	31%	28%	70%	33%	31%	26%	37%
have negatively impacted the Homelessness/Encampment	43	10%	11%	10%	6%	11%	12%	13%	10%	11%	9%	-	12%	8%	11%	10%
ability of local small businesses Politicians/Government	38	9%	10%	8%	8%	13%	10%	11%	6%	10%	4%	-	9%	9%	8%	10%
to remain open and thrive? (OE) Crime/Drugs/Law																
enforcement	26	6%	7%	5%	-	13%	5%	5%	8%	6%	9%	-	8%	4%	7%	6%
Parking cost/Parking tax	17	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	-	6%	6%	5%	2%	-	4%	5%	6%	3%
Cost of living	16	4%	2%	5%	9%	1%	2%	6%	1%	4%	5%	-	2%	7%	3%	5%
Minimum wage increases	12	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	5%	4%	2%	3%	1%	13%	4%	2%	6%	1%
Affordable housing	9	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	-	4%	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	2%	2%
Other	31	8%	10%	5%	13%	7%	7%	5%	6%	7%	15%	18%	7%	9%	10%	6%
None/Nothing	6	1%	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
Don't Know/Unsure	58	14%	11%	18%	18%	12%	19%	6%	17%	15%	12%	-	12%	18%	17%	12%
N/A/Refused/No comment	22	5%	5%	5%	10%	1%	7%	6%	2%	5%	10%	-	6%	4%	4%	6%
25. Why do you agree that Economic Growth and Job																
growth and development has Opportunities	43	15%	20%	11%	20%	17%	7%	18%	11%	16%	7%	-	14%	17%	15%	15%
been a positive for your area? General Positive	43	15%	14%	16%	13%	22%	11%	11%	17%	16%	12%	-	14%	16%	17%	13%
(OE) Business Expansion and																
Diversity	41	14%	15%	14%	15%	15%	9%	15%	15%	14%	22%	-	13%	16%	14%	14%
Community and Social																
Development	33	12%	10%	13%	15%	9%	14%	11%	10%	11%	15%	51%	11%	14%	13%	11%
Housing Improvements																
(Affordability/Stock/Value)	27	10%	6%	13%	5%	14%	10%	8%	11%	10%	-	49%	11%	7%	9%	10%
Infrastructure Development																
and Improvement	23	8%	8%	8%	13%	6%	15%	3%	4%	7%	14%	-	8%	7%	8%	7%
Growth's Positives and																
Negatives	20	7%	5%	9%	-	-	10%	8%	17%	7%	5%	-	7%	8%	6%	8%
Population Increase and																
Urban Spread	19	7%	7%	6%	8%	10%	8%	5%	3%	6%	7%	-	7%	5%	6%	8%
Environmental and																
Recreational Enhancements	8	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	6%	-	3%	4%	-	4%	1%	3%	3%
Other	5	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	3%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	3%	1%
Don't know/Nothing/Refused	24	8%	10%	6%	5%	3%	13%	14%	8%	8%	13%	-	8%	8%	6%	10%
25. Why do you disagree that Overcrowding and																
growth and development has Infrastructure Strain	69	22%	23%	21%	19%	8%	22%	25%	29%	23%	18%	15%	26%	14%	23%	21%
been a positive for your area? Poor Urban Planning and																
(OE) Development Decisions	50	16%	15%	17%	14%	22%	17%	13%	16%	15%	16%	45%	18%	13%	18%	15%
Leadership and Governance																
Criticisms	33	10%	11%	10%	10%	8%	11%	15%	8%	11%	11%	-	9%	14%	13%	9%
Housing Affordability and																
Accessibility Issues	30	10%	8%	11%	11%	5%	13%	11%	8%	11%	4%	-	9%	12%	8%	11%
Traffic Congestion and																
Transportation Issues	28	9%	9%	8%	11%	7%	10%	7%	10%	8%	9%	-	10%	5%	8%	10%
General Negative	19	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%	4%	5%	9%	5%	11%	20%	4%	11%	6%	7%
Increased Crime and Safety																
Concerns	18	6%	4%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	3%	6%	6%	-	4%	10%	3%	7%
Businesses Closing	17	5%	5%	6%	6%	10%	4%	6%	3%	6%	5%	-	5%	6%	5%	6%
Inflation	17	5%	8%	3%	7%	10%	6%	3%	3%	5%	1%	20%	6%	3%	7%	4%
Increase in Homelessness	14	4%	4%	5%	5%	9%	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	-	4%	5%	6%	3%
Other	13	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	2%	6%	3%	11%	-	4%	5%	3%	5%
Don't know/Nothing/Refused	6	2%	2%	2%	-	6%	-	3%	1%	1%	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	3%

	n	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
26. Which level of government do you think has the greatest impact on your quality of life?																
Local government, such as city or county government	227	38%	39%	37%	42%	39%	38%	32%	40%	39%	34%	28%	38%	38%	43%	34%
State government	270	45%	47%	43%	42%	44%	48%	48%	43%	45%	46%	47%	48%	39%	43%	46%
Federal government	100	17%	13%	20%	16%	18%	13%	19%	17%	16%	20%	25%	14%	22%	14%	19%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways																
1 - Very little impact	43	7%	6%	8%	15%	9%	8%	3%	3%	7%	7%	-	4%	13%	7%	7%
2	18	3%	2%	4%	6%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	13%	2%	4%	3%	3%
3	25	4%	3%	5%	8%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	7%	-	2%	9%	5%	3%
4	62	10%	7%	13%	13%	11%	13%	6%	10%	11%	6%	8%	9%	12%	12%	9%
5	58	10%	9%	10%	9%	10%	8%	7%	14%	10%	11%	6%	10%	8%	12%	8%
6	62	10%	10%	10%	7%	8%	12%	16%	9%	11%	9%	6%	11%	9%	10%	11%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	333	56%	62%	50%	43%	54%	53%	62%	62%	55%	57%	67%	60%	45%	52%	59%
Mean	600	5.65	5.90	5.42	4.86	5.50	5.59	6.06	6.03	5.64	5.67	5.93	5.94	5.05	5.54	5.75
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns																
1 - Very little impact	11	2%	1%	2%	6%	-	3%	1%	1%	1%	5%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%
2	8	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	1%
3	31	5%	7%	4%	6%	6%	5%	2%	6%	5%	4%	22%	4%	8%	6%	5%
4	54	9%	9%	9%	9%	6%	13%	5%	12%	9%	9%	12%	10%	6%	11%	7%
5	127	21%	21%	21%	21%	25%	19%	25%	17%	21%	19%	22%	22%	20%	22%	20%
6	139	23%	25%	21%	27%	20%	23%	26%	20%	23%	25%	12%	22%	25%	23%	23%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	228	38%	35%	41%	30%	42%	34%	41%	42%	39%	37%	32%	39%	37%	35%	41%
Mean	599	5.69	5.63	5.74	5.40	5.83	5.49	5.95	5.70	5.73	5.60	5.19	5.72	5.61	5.59	5.77
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break-ins																
1 - Very little impact	11	2%	2%	1%	4%	4%	1%	-	0%	2%	-	13%	1%	4%	2%	2%
2	15	3%	1%	4%	4%	3%	5%	1%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	3%	3%	2%
3	21	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	4%	3%	-	3%	4%	5%	2%
4	56	9%	9%	10%	15%	12%	10%	6%	6%	10%	6%	6%	9%	10%	12%	7%
5	110	18%	20%	17%	24%	16%	23%	14%	17%	18%	21%	17%	16%	22%	19%	18%
6	127	21%	19%	23%	22%	17%	15%	22%	27%	21%	25%	20%	21%	22%	19%	23%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	261	43%	46%	41%	28%	46%	44%	53%	44%	43%	46%	44%	47%	35%	40%	46%
Mean	600	5.77	5.81	5.73	5.29	5.67	5.71	6.13	5.92	5.73	6.05	5.51	5.90	5.49	5.60	5.91
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure																
1 - Very little impact	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	4%	-	1%	3%	-	1%	2%	-	2%
2	14	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	-	3%	1%	2%	2%
3	22	4%	3%	4%	5%	7%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	-	4%	3%	3%	4%
4	75	12%	13%	12%	10%	15%	12%	13%	12%	13%	9%	-	13%	11%	13%	12%
5	162	27%	28%	26%	34%	28%	29%	22%	24%	27%	30%	26%	27%	28%	28%	26%
6	120	20%	22%	18%	19%	13%	23%	22%	22%	19%	23%	18%	19%	21%	21%	19%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	200	33%	31%	35%	30%	34%	34%	32%	36%	33%	30%	57%	33%	35%	31%	35%
Mean	600	5.55	5.57	5.53	5.49	5.45	5.71	5.43	5.66	5.54	5.50	6.31	5.51	5.64	5.57	5.53

	n	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Homeowner	Non-Homeowner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business																
1 - Very little impact	9	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	13%	-	5%	1%	2%
2	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%
3	18	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	1%	-	4%	1%	3%	3%
4	59	10%	9%	11%	12%	8%	11%	10%	9%	9%	12%	20%	10%	10%	9%	10%
5	125	21%	20%	22%	24%	15%	20%	22%	22%	21%	20%	23%	20%	22%	23%	19%
6	124	21%	22%	19%	21%	19%	18%	18%	26%	21%	24%	-	20%	21%	23%	19%
7 - Very significant impact	255	42%	41%	44%	38%	49%	48%	46%	35%	43%	39%	44%	43%	41%	39%	45%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%
Mean	599	5.79	5.73	5.85	5.67	5.88	5.91	5.91	5.64	5.81	5.77	5.17	5.83	5.70	5.77	5.81
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence																
1 - Very little impact	15	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	1%	2%	2%	5%	-	3%	1%	3%	3%
2	12	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	-	-	4%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%
3	46	8%	9%	7%	8%	12%	6%	7%	5%	7%	8%	19%	6%	10%	9%	7%
4	65	11%	14%	8%	9%	10%	14%	13%	8%	10%	16%	21%	13%	6%	11%	11%
5	91	15%	18%	13%	16%	19%	16%	14%	12%	16%	13%	-	15%	16%	15%	15%
6	106	18%	17%	18%	26%	18%	11%	16%	17%	18%	19%	17%	16%	21%	18%	17%
7 - Very significant impact	263	44%	38%	50%	34%	35%	47%	49%	50%	45%	38%	43%	45%	42%	42%	46%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%
Mean	599	5.63	5.43	5.82	5.48	5.34	5.60	5.84	5.79	5.67	5.40	5.44	5.62	5.66	5.56	5.69
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing																
1 - Very little impact	60	10%	12%	8%	7%	11%	18%	9%	8%	10%	11%	20%	12%	6%	7%	12%
2	38	6%	9%	4%	6%	4%	3%	8%	9%	5%	11%	-	7%	4%	5%	7%
3	68	11%	12%	11%	17%	9%	9%	11%	11%	11%	13%	12%	11%	12%	10%	13%
4	111	18%	19%	18%	17%	15%	19%	23%	17%	19%	18%	11%	20%	15%	19%	18%
5	122	20%	21%	20%	15%	19%	23%	22%	23%	21%	19%	12%	22%	18%	21%	20%
6	53	9%	6%	12%	5%	9%	5%	9%	13%	9%	4%	24%	10%	6%	10%	8%
7 - Very significant impact	147	25%	21%	28%	34%	32%	23%	19%	18%	24%	25%	21%	18%	38%	28%	21%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	4.57	4.27	4.85	4.79	4.84	4.35	4.44	4.49	4.60	4.32	4.53	4.34	5.06	4.84	4.35
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success																
1 - Very little impact	52	9%	12%	5%	5%	9%	9%	11%	9%	8%	15%	11%	10%	6%	6%	11%
2	31	5%	7%	3%	6%	9%	3%	5%	4%	5%	5%	11%	6%	3%	7%	4%
3	44	7%	10%	5%	11%	2%	8%	11%	5%	7%	10%	12%	8%	5%	10%	5%
4	58	10%	10%	9%	7%	8%	5%	11%	14%	9%	14%	-	11%	6%	8%	11%
5	93	16%	14%	17%	12%	9%	18%	20%	17%	16%	16%	12%	16%	14%	19%	13%
6	91	15%	13%	17%	14%	14%	17%	14%	17%	16%	10%	9%	15%	14%	13%	17%
7 - Very significant impact	230	38%	33%	43%	45%	48%	42%	29%	33%	40%	30%	46%	32%	52%	36%	40%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	5.17	4.78	5.54	5.36	5.35	5.36	4.82	5.09	5.26	4.61	5.03	4.92	5.70	5.12	5.22
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health																
1 - Very little impact	40	7%	10%	3%	4%	8%	8%	4%	9%	6%	10%	-	8%	4%	4%	9%
2	28	5%	7%	2%	7%	7%	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	12%	4%	5%	6%	4%
3	34	6%	6%	5%	4%	3%	8%	6%	6%	6%	6%	11%	6%	4%	6%	6%
4	53	9%	11%	7%	13%	9%	9%	7%	8%	8%	18%	-	9%	9%	9%	9%
5	95	16%	17%	14%	8%	11%	13%	19%	24%	15%	19%	-	18%	10%	17%	15%
6	87	14%	13%	16%	15%	12%	14%	16%	15%	15%	9%	26%	16%	12%	16%	13%
7 - Very significant impact	263	44%	35%	52%	49%	50%	46%	45%	34%	45%	36%	52%	38%	55%	43%	45%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	5.41	4.97	5.83	5.55	5.42	5.42	5.66	5.10	5.44	5.02	5.74	5.26	5.74	5.47	5.36

	n	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Homeowner	Non-Homeowner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas																
1 - Very little impact	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	0%	1%	1%
2	12	2%	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	3%	2%
3	33	5%	7%	4%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%	-	6%	5%	5%	6%
4	79	13%	17%	10%	17%	10%	16%	12%	12%	13%	9%	22%	16%	8%	14%	12%
5	159	27%	27%	26%	19%	21%	41%	27%	26%	26%	31%	22%	27%	25%	25%	28%
6	128	21%	19%	24%	26%	23%	8%	22%	25%	21%	23%	24%	20%	23%	23%	20%
7 - Very significant impact	181	30%	27%	33%	30%	40%	28%	29%	26%	31%	28%	32%	27%	37%	29%	31%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	5.47	5.33	5.60	5.54	5.81	5.27	5.39	5.37	5.46	5.51	5.66	5.34	5.73	5.43	5.50
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs																
1 - Very little impact	18	3%	4%	2%	2%	8%	1%	1%	4%	3%	4%	-	3%	4%	2%	4%
2	15	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	3%	0%	4%	2%	4%	11%	3%	1%	3%	2%
3	31	5%	7%	3%	5%	5%	8%	5%	3%	5%	9%	-	6%	3%	7%	3%
4	90	15%	16%	14%	16%	20%	17%	11%	13%	15%	11%	-	15%	14%	17%	13%
5	142	24%	25%	22%	22%	18%	29%	31%	19%	23%	31%	21%	26%	19%	24%	23%
6	120	20%	18%	22%	23%	19%	14%	21%	21%	21%	16%	22%	19%	21%	19%	20%
7 - Very significant impact	184	31%	25%	36%	30%	26%	27%	31%	37%	31%	25%	46%	27%	37%	26%	34%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	5.36	5.09	5.62	5.41	5.00	5.21	5.55	5.51	5.39	5.09	5.83	5.26	5.57	5.20	5.49
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit																
1 - Very little impact	51	8%	11%	6%	5%	7%	9%	7%	13%	8%	17%	-	11%	4%	8%	9%
2	22	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	1%	5%	4%	3%	6%	-	4%	3%	4%	4%
3	64	11%	13%	9%	10%	15%	7%	14%	8%	11%	7%	11%	12%	8%	13%	9%
4	110	18%	21%	16%	17%	13%	25%	18%	20%	18%	12%	32%	22%	10%	20%	17%
5	104	17%	17%	18%	14%	13%	20%	24%	15%	18%	20%	12%	18%	17%	16%	19%
6	85	14%	10%	18%	18%	15%	11%	13%	14%	14%	11%	18%	12%	18%	15%	14%
7 - Very significant impact	163	27%	24%	30%	34%	33%	26%	19%	26%	27%	26%	27%	21%	41%	24%	30%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	4.84	4.57	5.09	5.21	4.96	4.86	4.64	4.65	4.88	4.50	5.20	4.52	5.50	4.73	4.93
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning																
1 - Very little impact	48	8%	12%	4%	4%	7%	10%	10%	8%	7%	16%	11%	9%	6%	5%	10%
2	31	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	8%	11%	6%	4%	7%	4%
3	37	6%	8%	4%	7%	7%	7%	6%	5%	6%	10%	9%	6%	7%	6%	6%
4	64	11%	11%	10%	6%	7%	11%	15%	12%	10%	13%	-	12%	7%	12%	10%
5	111	19%	19%	18%	12%	19%	16%	23%	21%	19%	17%	6%	21%	14%	18%	19%
6	80	13%	13%	13%	19%	10%	12%	11%	14%	14%	10%	12%	14%	12%	14%	13%
7 - Very significant impact	229	38%	30%	46%	44%	44%	39%	32%	34%	39%	27%	52%	33%	50%	37%	39%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	5.19	4.78	5.58	5.49	5.35	5.11	4.98	5.09	5.28	4.44	5.23	5.01	5.56	5.23	5.16
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities																
1 - Very little impact	49	8%	12%	5%	4%	15%	12%	6%	6%	8%	16%	-	10%	4%	7%	9%
2	36	6%	7%	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	8%	6%	1%	11%	7%	3%	4%	7%
3	56	9%	12%	6%	8%	6%	4%	13%	13%	9%	10%	23%	10%	9%	9%	9%
4	78	13%	14%	12%	10%	10%	12%	15%	16%	14%	15%	-	14%	11%	14%	12%
5	141	23%	21%	26%	24%	18%	27%	23%	25%	24%	18%	9%	22%	27%	22%	25%
6	95	16%	16%	16%	17%	14%	12%	18%	16%	15%	20%	12%	17%	13%	19%	13%
7 - Very significant impact	145	24%	18%	30%	32%	30%	28%	19%	17%	24%	20%	46%	20%	33%	25%	24%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	4.82	4.44	5.18	5.26	4.70	4.86	4.75	4.62	4.81	4.59	5.26	4.62	5.23	4.94	4.72

	n	Gender (Self-ID and			Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Homeowner	Non-Homeowner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	86 62 453	14% 10% 75%	11% 7% 82%	17% 13% 70%	29% 13% 58%	17% 11% 72%	14% 13% 73%	9% 6% 85%	6% 10% 84%	14% 11% 75%	16% 6% 77%	13% 8% 79%	9% 9% 82%	26% 12% 62%	15% 12% 73%	13% 9% 78%
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	50 55 495	8% 9% 82%	10% 9% 81%	7% 9% 83%	13% 9% 78%	7% 6% 87%	11% 13% 76%	3% 5% 92%	9% 13% 78%	8% 9% 83%	10% 10% 80%	22% 12% 66%	7% 10% 83%	12% 7% 81%	9% 11% 80%	8% 8% 85%
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break-ins	47 56 498	8% 9% 83%	7% 9% 84%	8% 10% 82%	11% 15% 74%	10% 12% 79%	7% 10% 82%	5% 6% 89%	7% 6% 87%	8% 10% 82%	3% 6% 92%	13% 6% 81%	6% 9% 85%	11% 10% 79%	10% 12% 78%	6% 7% 87%
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	44 75 482	7% 12% 80%	6% 13% 81%	9% 12% 79%	7% 10% 82%	10% 15% 75%	3% 12% 86%	10% 13% 77%	6% 12% 82%	7% 13% 80%	8% 9% 83%	- - 100%	8% 13% 79%	6% 11% 83%	6% 13% 81%	8% 12% 80%
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	36 60 504	6% 10% 84%	8% 9% 83%	4% 11% 84%	5% 12% 83%	8% 8% 83%	4% 11% 85%	4% 10% 86%	8% 9% 82%	6% 9% 84%	4% 13% 83%	13% 20% 67%	6% 10% 84%	6% 10% 83%	6% 9% 85%	6% 11% 83%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	73 66 460	12% 11% 77%	14% 14% 72%	11% 8% 81%	14% 9% 76%	18% 10% 72%	11% 14% 75%	8% 13% 79%	11% 9% 79%	12% 10% 78%	14% 16% 70%	19% 21% 60%	11% 13% 75%	14% 6% 80%	14% 11% 76%	11% 11% 78%
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	167 111 322	28% 18% 54%	33% 19% 47%	23% 18% 60%	29% 17% 54%	25% 15% 60%	29% 19% 51%	27% 23% 50%	28% 17% 54%	27% 19% 55%	35% 18% 47%	32% 11% 58%	30% 20% 50%	22% 15% 62%	22% 19% 59%	33% 18% 49%
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	128 58 414	21% 10% 69%	29% 10% 60%	14% 9% 77%	22% 7% 71%	20% 8% 71%	19% 5% 76%	27% 11% 63%	18% 14% 67%	20% 9% 71%	30% 14% 56%	33% - 67%	25% 11% 64%	13% 6% 80%	23% 8% 68%	20% 11% 70%
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	102 53 445	17% 9% 74%	24% 11% 65%	11% 7% 82%	15% 13% 72%	19% 9% 73%	19% 9% 73%	12% 7% 81%	20% 8% 72%	17% 8% 75%	19% 18% 63%	22% - 78%	19% 9% 72%	14% 9% 78%	15% 9% 76%	18% 9% 73%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	52 79 469	9% 13% 78%	10% 17% 73%	8% 10% 82%	7% 17% 76%	6% 10% 84%	8% 16% 77%	11% 12% 78%	10% 12% 77%	9% 13% 78%	8% 9% 82%	- 22% 78%	10% 16% 75%	7% 8% 85%	9% 14% 77%	8% 12% 79%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	64 90 446	11% 15% 74%	15% 16% 68%	7% 14% 80%	9% 16% 75%	16% 20% 64%	12% 17% 70%	7% 11% 82%	10% 13% 77%	10% 15% 75%	17% 11% 72%	11% - 89%	12% 15% 73%	8% 14% 78%	13% 17% 70%	9% 13% 78%
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	137 110 353	23% 18% 59%	28% 21% 52%	18% 16% 66%	18% 17% 66%	26% 13% 61%	17% 25% 58%	26% 18% 56%	25% 20% 55%	22% 18% 60%	30% 12% 57%	11% 32% 58%	27% 22% 51%	15% 10% 76%	25% 20% 55%	21% 17% 62%
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	116 64 420	19% 11% 70%	27% 11% 62%	12% 10% 77%	19% 6% 75%	19% 7% 74%	22% 11% 67%	19% 15% 66%	19% 12% 69%	18% 10% 72%	34% 13% 53%	31% - 69%	21% 12% 67%	17% 7% 76%	18% 12% 70%	20% 10% 70%
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	141 78 381	24% 13% 63%	32% 14% 55%	16% 12% 72%	16% 10% 73%	29% 10% 62%	20% 12% 67%	24% 15% 61%	26% 16% 58%	23% 14% 63%	27% 15% 58%	33% - 67%	27% 14% 59%	16% 11% 72%	21% 14% 65%	26% 12% 62%

	n	Gender (Self-ID and			Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple)			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate	
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327	
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%	
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	118	20%	18%	21%	14%	14%	27%	26%	17%	18%	30%	21%	21%	16%	16%	23%
	Somewhat less safe	166	28%	29%	26%	24%	27%	30%	25%	31%	28%	26%	33%	29%	26%	28%	27%
	More safe	56	9%	7%	12%	11%	10%	10%	7%	9%	9%	10%	-	7%	14%	6%	12%
	About the same	259	43%	46%	41%	50%	48%	33%	42%	43%	44%	34%	47%	43%	43%	49%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	285	47%	47%	47%	39%	42%	57%	51%	48%	47%	56%	53%	50%	42%	44%	50%
	Same/(DK)	259	43%	46%	41%	50%	48%	33%	42%	43%	44%	34%	47%	43%	43%	49%	38%
	More safe	56	9%	7%	12%	11%	10%	10%	7%	9%	9%	10%	-	7%	14%	6%	12%
	Net More safe	-228	-38	-40	-36	-28	-31	-47	-45	-39	-37	-46	-	-43	-28	-38	-38
42. Importance: More police officers	1 - Not at all important	61	10%	8%	13%	21%	15%	10%	7%	2%	10%	12%	19%	6%	19%	11%	10%
	2	34	6%	4%	7%	13%	7%	4%	4%	2%	6%	4%	-	4%	10%	8%	4%
	3	41	7%	7%	7%	11%	6%	10%	5%	5%	7%	6%	-	7%	7%	6%	7%
	4	83	14%	15%	13%	18%	21%	14%	10%	10%	13%	15%	17%	14%	13%	17%	11%
	5	89	15%	15%	15%	12%	13%	16%	12%	19%	14%	19%	-	17%	10%	15%	15%
	6	77	13%	15%	11%	6%	10%	6%	17%	20%	13%	17%	11%	14%	10%	13%	13%
	7 - Extremely important	213	35%	36%	35%	19%	29%	38%	46%	42%	36%	29%	53%	38%	31%	30%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	Mean	599	4.99	5.17	4.82	3.85	4.53	4.97	5.53	5.68	4.99	4.89	5.25	5.28	4.37	4.77	5.17
43. Importance: New, expanded correctional and detention facilities	1 - Not at all important	72	12%	9%	15%	22%	19%	9%	7%	6%	12%	7%	19%	9%	17%	16%	9%
	2	46	8%	5%	10%	14%	5%	6%	6%	8%	8%	7%	12%	8%	7%	8%	7%
	3	71	12%	12%	11%	14%	12%	9%	11%	11%	12%	15%	6%	12%	10%	13%	11%
	4	105	17%	20%	15%	14%	13%	24%	18%	18%	18%	12%	21%	17%	18%	20%	16%
	5	105	17%	20%	15%	7%	20%	16%	17%	24%	17%	24%	23%	17%	18%	14%	20%
	6	77	13%	10%	16%	15%	7%	11%	15%	15%	12%	16%	-	13%	12%	10%	15%
	7 - Extremely important	125	21%	23%	19%	14%	23%	24%	26%	18%	21%	20%	20%	22%	17%	19%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
Mean	599	4.42	4.59	4.27	3.72	4.25	4.61	4.79	4.62	4.38	4.67	3.97	4.53	4.19	4.13	4.66	
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1 - Not at all important	25	4%	6%	3%	4%	6%	5%	3%	4%	4%	8%	11%	4%	4%	3%	5%
	2	25	4%	6%	3%	6%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	9%	-	4%	5%	4%	4%
	3	35	6%	8%	4%	7%	5%	2%	5%	8%	6%	7%	-	6%	6%	7%	5%
	4	85	14%	20%	9%	9%	14%	14%	14%	19%	13%	18%	12%	18%	6%	15%	14%
	5	120	20%	21%	19%	19%	13%	27%	21%	20%	20%	19%	16%	21%	17%	21%	19%
	6	87	14%	12%	17%	8%	10%	16%	17%	19%	14%	18%	28%	15%	12%	13%	15%
	7 - Extremely important	222	37%	27%	46%	48%	48%	32%	36%	26%	39%	21%	33%	31%	49%	36%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
Mean	599	5.34	4.92	5.72	5.49	5.44	5.36	5.40	5.08	5.41	4.69	5.41	5.20	5.62	5.30	5.37	
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1 - Not at all important	27	4%	7%	2%	1%	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	11%	6%	2%	5%	4%
	2	43	7%	10%	5%	10%	5%	6%	9%	6%	7%	10%	11%	7%	7%	9%	6%
	3	52	9%	11%	7%	8%	6%	11%	11%	7%	9%	10%	9%	8%	9%	9%	8%
	4	133	22%	23%	22%	27%	22%	24%	21%	19%	22%	29%	12%	22%	22%	23%	21%
	5	153	26%	24%	27%	23%	25%	29%	22%	28%	26%	25%	12%	26%	25%	24%	27%
	6	82	14%	13%	15%	10%	14%	15%	15%	15%	13%	11%	37%	16%	10%	12%	15%
	7 - Extremely important	109	18%	13%	23%	20%	22%	10%	17%	19%	19%	10%	8%	14%	26%	17%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
Mean	599	4.71	4.38	5.02	4.72	4.86	4.54	4.60	4.79	4.75	4.39	4.50	4.60	4.93	4.56	4.83	

	n	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple)			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts																
1 - Not at all important	48	8%	10%	6%	12%	12%	9%	6%	4%	7%	9%	28%	8%	8%	8%	8%
2	39	6%	9%	4%	7%	6%	7%	4%	7%	7%	12%	-	7%	4%	8%	5%
3	62	10%	11%	9%	16%	10%	9%	9%	9%	11%	8%	12%	11%	9%	10%	11%
4	138	23%	21%	24%	26%	21%	23%	23%	23%	23%	26%	-	20%	29%	25%	21%
5	127	21%	19%	23%	16%	14%	25%	28%	22%	22%	21%	16%	22%	20%	21%	21%
6	76	13%	11%	14%	10%	18%	11%	10%	15%	13%	7%	13%	12%	14%	11%	14%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	107	18%	17%	18%	12%	18%	16%	21%	20%	17%	17%	31%	18%	16%	15%	20%
Mean	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	0%
	597	4.53	4.36	4.69	4.08	4.45	4.47	4.75	4.76	4.54	4.30	4.37	4.52	4.55	4.41	4.62
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in correctional and detention facilities																
1 - Not at all important	37	6%	7%	5%	3%	11%	5%	6%	7%	6%	9%	11%	6%	6%	4%	7%
2	40	7%	9%	5%	13%	5%	3%	6%	6%	6%	8%	12%	7%	7%	7%	6%
3	51	9%	11%	6%	7%	9%	7%	9%	10%	9%	10%	-	9%	8%	9%	8%
4	100	17%	19%	15%	8%	13%	19%	18%	23%	17%	16%	-	20%	11%	18%	15%
5	136	23%	20%	25%	16%	20%	24%	26%	26%	22%	26%	35%	23%	21%	23%	23%
6	97	16%	14%	18%	19%	18%	17%	14%	14%	17%	7%	9%	17%	14%	16%	16%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	138	23%	20%	26%	34%	24%	23%	21%	16%	23%	23%	33%	18%	34%	22%	24%
Mean	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	599	4.84	4.56	5.10	5.15	4.77	5.01	4.78	4.60	4.87	4.56	4.99	4.70	5.13	4.83	4.84
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs																
1 - Not at all important	22	4%	5%	2%	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%	7%	11%	3%	4%	3%	4%
2	30	5%	8%	2%	7%	7%	5%	2%	5%	5%	4%	12%	6%	4%	6%	4%
3	54	9%	10%	8%	5%	7%	10%	11%	12%	9%	18%	-	10%	7%	11%	8%
4	116	19%	21%	18%	13%	23%	18%	18%	24%	20%	17%	-	21%	17%	17%	22%
5	146	24%	28%	21%	28%	23%	29%	23%	21%	24%	26%	42%	26%	20%	25%	24%
6	83	14%	9%	18%	19%	10%	11%	17%	12%	14%	9%	15%	12%	19%	17%	11%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	148	25%	18%	31%	24%	27%	22%	27%	23%	25%	20%	21%	22%	29%	21%	28%
Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	600	4.96	4.59	5.31	5.07	4.90	4.79	5.17	4.86	4.99	4.57	4.81	4.85	5.20	4.90	5.01
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams																
1 - Not at all important	35	6%	9%	3%	4%	11%	6%	5%	4%	6%	7%	11%	7%	4%	5%	6%
2	31	5%	8%	2%	5%	3%	4%	8%	5%	5%	6%	-	6%	4%	6%	4%
3	37	6%	8%	4%	6%	3%	8%	4%	9%	6%	7%	-	7%	4%	6%	6%
4	70	12%	14%	9%	5%	12%	9%	11%	18%	12%	15%	-	14%	7%	11%	13%
5	111	19%	19%	18%	12%	17%	16%	22%	23%	19%	24%	11%	20%	15%	17%	20%
6	113	19%	19%	19%	28%	16%	22%	19%	13%	18%	19%	33%	19%	19%	20%	18%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	202	34%	22%	45%	41%	39%	33%	30%	28%	34%	23%	46%	27%	48%	35%	33%
Mean	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	599	5.23	4.71	5.72	5.63	5.24	5.26	5.15	5.00	5.25	4.91	5.83	5.00	5.72	5.26	5.21
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs																
1 - Not at all important	16	3%	4%	1%	-	3%	6%	2%	3%	2%	7%	11%	3%	2%	1%	4%
2	23	4%	6%	2%	8%	1%	3%	2%	4%	4%	5%	-	4%	4%	5%	3%
3	26	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	7%	5%	4%	4%	11%	5%	3%	5%	4%
4	106	18%	22%	13%	11%	18%	15%	19%	22%	17%	20%	24%	20%	13%	18%	17%
5	138	23%	21%	25%	22%	19%	23%	25%	25%	23%	24%	-	21%	26%	21%	25%
6	108	18%	16%	19%	24%	15%	14%	18%	18%	18%	16%	15%	20%	14%	20%	16%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	183	30%	25%	35%	31%	40%	34%	28%	24%	31%	25%	40%	27%	37%	29%	31%
Mean	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	599	5.31	5.01	5.58	5.43	5.57	5.29	5.27	5.07	5.35	4.95	5.07	5.22	5.48	5.31	5.30
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities																
1 - Not at all important	36	6%	9%	4%	3%	9%	6%	5%	7%	6%	8%	-	6%	7%	5%	6%
2	30	5%	7%	3%	11%	4%	3%	2%	5%	5%	7%	12%	6%	3%	5%	5%
3	52	9%	11%	6%	5%	9%	5%	11%	11%	8%	14%	-	12%	3%	8%	9%
4	86	14%	18%	11%	13%	16%	17%	10%	16%	14%	19%	11%	16%	11%	13%	15%
5	121	20%	19%	22%	11%	16%	23%	21%	27%	21%	19%	11%	22%	17%	21%	20%
6	103	17%	15%	19%	23%	13%	17%	20%	13%	17%	12%	34%	16%	19%	19%	16%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	170	28%	21%	35%	34%	33%	28%	31%	20%	29%	21%	33%	22%	41%	28%	28%
Mean	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	599	5.03	4.61	5.43	5.21	4.99	5.14	5.24	4.69	5.07	4.56	5.55	4.83	5.46	5.10	4.97

	n	Gender (Self-ID and			Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple)			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
42. Importance: More police officers	136	23%	19%	27%	44%	28%	24%	15%	9%	23%	21%	19%	16%	37%	25%	21%
4/(DK/Ref)	84	14%	15%	13%	18%	21%	15%	10%	10%	14%	15%	17%	15%	13%	17%	11%
5-7 Important	379	63%	66%	60%	38%	51%	61%	75%	81%	63%	64%	64%	69%	50%	58%	68%
43. Importance: New, expanded correctional and detention facilities	188	31%	27%	36%	50%	36%	24%	25%	25%	32%	28%	36%	30%	34%	37%	27%
4/(DK/Ref)	106	18%	20%	15%	14%	13%	25%	18%	18%	18%	12%	21%	18%	18%	20%	16%
5-7 Important	306	51%	53%	49%	36%	51%	51%	57%	57%	49%	60%	43%	53%	48%	43%	58%
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	85	14%	19%	10%	17%	16%	9%	12%	16%	14%	24%	11%	14%	15%	15%	14%
4/(DK/Ref)	86	14%	20%	9%	9%	14%	16%	14%	19%	13%	18%	12%	18%	6%	15%	14%
5-7 Important	429	71%	61%	82%	75%	71%	75%	74%	65%	73%	58%	78%	68%	79%	70%	73%
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	122	20%	27%	14%	20%	17%	21%	25%	19%	20%	24%	31%	22%	18%	23%	18%
4/(DK/Ref)	134	22%	23%	22%	27%	22%	26%	21%	19%	22%	29%	12%	22%	22%	24%	21%
5-7 Important	344	57%	50%	64%	53%	62%	54%	54%	62%	58%	47%	58%	56%	60%	53%	61%
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	149	25%	30%	20%	35%	29%	24%	19%	20%	25%	29%	40%	27%	21%	26%	24%
4/(DK/Ref)	141	23%	22%	24%	26%	21%	24%	23%	24%	23%	26%	-	21%	29%	26%	21%
5-7 Important	310	52%	48%	56%	39%	50%	52%	58%	56%	52%	45%	60%	52%	50%	48%	55%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in correctional and detention facilities	128	21%	28%	16%	23%	25%	15%	21%	22%	21%	27%	22%	22%	20%	21%	22%
4/(DK/Ref)	101	17%	19%	15%	8%	13%	20%	18%	23%	17%	16%	-	20%	11%	19%	15%
5-7 Important	370	62%	53%	70%	69%	62%	64%	61%	55%	62%	56%	78%	58%	69%	60%	63%
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	106	18%	24%	12%	16%	18%	21%	15%	19%	17%	28%	22%	19%	15%	20%	16%
4/(DK/Ref)	116	19%	21%	18%	13%	23%	18%	18%	24%	20%	17%	-	21%	17%	17%	22%
5-7 Important	378	63%	56%	70%	71%	59%	62%	67%	57%	63%	55%	78%	60%	69%	63%	63%
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	103	17%	25%	9%	14%	17%	18%	17%	18%	17%	19%	11%	20%	12%	18%	17%
4/(DK/Ref)	71	12%	15%	9%	5%	12%	10%	11%	18%	12%	15%	-	14%	7%	11%	13%
5-7 Important	426	71%	60%	81%	80%	71%	71%	71%	64%	71%	66%	89%	66%	81%	71%	71%
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	65	11%	15%	7%	12%	7%	12%	11%	12%	10%	16%	21%	12%	9%	11%	11%
4/(DK/Ref)	107	18%	23%	13%	11%	18%	17%	19%	22%	17%	20%	24%	20%	13%	19%	17%
5-7 Important	428	71%	63%	79%	77%	75%	72%	70%	66%	72%	65%	55%	69%	77%	70%	72%
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	118	20%	27%	13%	19%	21%	14%	18%	24%	19%	28%	12%	23%	13%	18%	21%
4/(DK/Ref)	87	15%	19%	11%	13%	16%	18%	10%	16%	14%	19%	11%	16%	11%	14%	15%
5-7 Important	394	66%	55%	76%	68%	63%	68%	72%	60%	67%	52%	78%	61%	76%	68%	64%
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	260	43%	39%	48%	55%	43%	46%	50%	27%	44%	43%	47%	35%	60%	37%	49%
Somewhat concerned	227	38%	39%	37%	35%	38%	38%	33%	43%	36%	43%	53%	41%	31%	40%	36%
Not too concerned	85	14%	17%	12%	9%	12%	12%	14%	20%	14%	13%	-	17%	9%	16%	12%
Not at all concerned (Don't know/Refused)	29	5%	6%	4%	1%	7%	4%	2%	9%	5%	1%	-	7%	1%	7%	3%
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	486	81%	78%	84%	90%	81%	85%	83%	70%	80%	86%	100%	76%	91%	77%	85%
Not concerned (DK/Ref)	114	19%	22%	16%	10%	19%	15%	17%	30%	20%	14%	-	24%	9%	23%	15%
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Concerned	+373	+62	+56	+68	+80	+62	+70	+67	+41	+60	+72	-	+53	+81	+53	+70

	n	%	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple)			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)		
			Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate	
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327	
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%	
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1 - Very pessimistic	112	19%	18%	19%	18%	20%	27%	12%	18%	23%	26%	17%	21%	15%	22%	
	2	174	29%	26%	31%	36%	30%	35%	29%	30%	27%	12%	26%	36%	28%	30%	
	3	237	40%	41%	38%	34%	38%	33%	39%	49%	40%	41%	50%	42%	35%	45%	35%
	4	54	9%	11%	7%	5%	10%	11%	4%	14%	9%	6%	-	11%	6%	8%	10%
	5 - Very optimistic	20	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%	2%	-	5%	3%	2%	12%	4%	2%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	0%	0%
Mean	598	2.56	2.60	2.52	2.58	2.56	2.45	2.22	2.90	2.56	2.41	2.85	2.67	2.33	2.62	2.51	
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1-2 Pessimistic	286	48%	45%	51%	55%	49%	55%	55%	31%	47%	50%	38%	43%	57%	43%	51%
	3/(DK/Ref)	240	40%	41%	39%	34%	38%	33%	40%	50%	40%	42%	50%	42%	36%	45%	36%
	4-5 Optimistic	74	12%	14%	11%	12%	13%	13%	4%	19%	12%	8%	12%	15%	7%	11%	13%
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	Much more often	10	2%	1%	2%	6%	1%	-	1%	0%	2%	2%	-	1%	4%	2%	2%
	Somewhat more often	25	4%	3%	5%	6%	6%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%	6%	4%	5%	5%	3%
	About the same	188	31%	30%	32%	29%	31%	30%	26%	39%	32%	26%	19%	33%	28%	36%	27%
	Somewhat less often	112	19%	22%	16%	24%	17%	19%	17%	17%	18%	21%	23%	16%	23%	20%	17%
	Much less often	265	44%	44%	45%	36%	45%	46%	54%	40%	44%	48%	52%	47%	39%	37%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	More often	35	6%	4%	7%	12%	7%	5%	3%	4%	6%	5%	6%	4%	9%	7%	5%
	Same/(DK)	188	31%	30%	32%	29%	31%	30%	26%	39%	32%	26%	19%	33%	28%	36%	27%
	Less often	377	63%	65%	60%	59%	62%	65%	71%	57%	62%	68%	76%	63%	63%	57%	68%
	Net More often	-342	-57	-61	-53	-48	-56	-60	-69	-53	-56	-63	-70	-59	-54	-50	-63
2. Homeowner	Own/Buying	406	68%	73%	63%	34%	68%	68%	80%	81%	68%	62%	79%	100%	-	73%	63%
	Rent/Lease	168	28%	23%	33%	56%	32%	27%	18%	14%	28%	30%	21%	-	87%	24%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	5%	4%	10%	1%	5%	2%	4%	4%	8%	-	-	13%	2%	6%
2. Homeowner	Homeowner	406	68%	73%	63%	34%	68%	68%	80%	81%	68%	62%	79%	100%	-	73%	63%
	Non-Homeowner	194	32%	27%	37%	66%	32%	32%	20%	19%	32%	38%	21%	-	100%	27%	37%
55. Residence duration	<2 years	3	0%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	0%	0%	1%	-
	2-5 years	55	9%	9%	10%	15%	11%	4%	12%	4%	9%	8%	-	10%	8%	11%	7%
	6-10 years	83	14%	14%	14%	23%	19%	12%	8%	10%	14%	17%	12%	12%	18%	14%	14%
	11-20 years	114	19%	21%	18%	32%	24%	21%	10%	13%	18%	20%	42%	15%	28%	20%	19%
	20+ years	344	57%	57%	58%	30%	46%	62%	70%	72%	58%	55%	46%	63%	46%	54%	60%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56. Party ID	Strong Democrat	100	17%	12%	21%	24%	20%	9%	12%	18%	16%	20%	8%	14%	21%	19%	15%
	Not very strong Democrat	33	5%	5%	6%	6%	6%	12%	3%	3%	6%	3%	-	5%	7%	6%	5%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	52	9%	8%	9%	6%	6%	9%	6%	14%	9%	7%	-	9%	9%	11%	6%
	Independent	66	11%	12%	10%	8%	11%	18%	10%	10%	11%	15%	23%	12%	9%	11%	11%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	84	14%	17%	11%	17%	22%	9%	13%	10%	14%	12%	-	15%	12%	14%	14%
	Not very strong Republican	40	7%	10%	4%	10%	4%	4%	8%	7%	6%	12%	22%	7%	6%	8%	6%
	Strong Republican	95	16%	17%	14%	8%	11%	12%	23%	21%	17%	10%	12%	19%	9%	13%	18%
	Something else	35	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	8%	7%	2%	6%	6%	-	5%	7%	3%	8%
	Prefer not to respond	96	16%	13%	19%	15%	15%	19%	17%	15%	15%	17%	34%	14%	20%	14%	17%
56. Party ID	Democrat	184	31%	25%	36%	36%	32%	30%	21%	35%	31%	29%	8%	28%	37%	36%	26%
	Republican	219	37%	45%	29%	34%	37%	25%	45%	38%	37%	34%	34%	41%	27%	35%	38%
	NPP/Other	197	33%	31%	35%	30%	32%	45%	34%	27%	32%	37%	57%	31%	36%	29%	36%

	n	Gender (Self-ID and			Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple)			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)																
1 - Very liberal	70	12%	9%	14%	25%	12%	8%	8%	7%	12%	7%	8%	10%	16%	11%	12%
2	50	8%	5%	11%	7%	12%	6%	5%	11%	9%	7%	13%	8%	9%	10%	7%
3	55	9%	9%	10%	11%	6%	14%	5%	10%	9%	10%	-	8%	12%	12%	7%
4	120	20%	18%	21%	16%	20%	25%	23%	17%	20%	28%	-	22%	16%	25%	16%
5	81	14%	19%	8%	12%	10%	10%	17%	17%	13%	21%	11%	16%	9%	13%	14%
6	65	11%	13%	9%	7%	10%	13%	16%	8%	11%	8%	12%	11%	10%	10%	12%
7 - Very conservative	82	14%	15%	13%	12%	13%	12%	12%	18%	14%	12%	12%	15%	10%	10%	17%
Prefer not to respond	77	13%	11%	14%	10%	16%	12%	14%	12%	12%	8%	44%	11%	17%	9%	16%
Mean	371	4.15	4.41	3.88	4.01	4.02	4.13	4.49	4.03	4.14	4.18	4.24	4.21	4.00	4.02	4.29
57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)																
1-3 Liberal	175	29%	23%	35%	43%	31%	29%	19%	28%	30%	24%	21%	25%	37%	33%	26%
4/(DK/Ref)	197	33%	30%	36%	26%	36%	37%	37%	29%	32%	35%	44%	32%	34%	34%	32%
5-7 Conservative	228	38%	47%	29%	31%	34%	34%	44%	43%	38%	41%	34%	42%	30%	33%	42%
58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, MR)																
Hispanic or Latino	31	5%	7%	4%	12%	2%	2%	3%	6%	3%	35%	0%	4%	7%	3%	7%
White or Caucasian	533	89%	84%	94%	84%	93%	86%	88%	92%	100%	38%	0%	90%	87%	89%	89%
Black or African American	13	2%	3%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	14%	0%	2%	3%	3%	1%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	9%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Something else	49	8%	11%	5%	7%	6%	8%	13%	6%	3%	54%	0%	8%	8%	7%	9%
Prefer not to respond	11	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	100%	2%	1%	2%	2%
58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, MR)																
White or Caucasian	533	89%	84%	94%	84%	93%	86%	88%	92%	100%	38%	0%	90%	87%	89%	89%
BIPOC	90	15%	21%	9%	21%	8%	16%	17%	12%	6%	100%	0%	14%	18%	14%	16%
Prefer not to respond	11	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	100%	2%	1%	2%	2%
59. Age (Self-ID)																
18-29	110	18%	20%	17%	98%	-	-	-	-	17%	26%	18%	9%	37%	20%	17%
30-39	105	18%	19%	16%	-	99%	-	-	-	18%	9%	27%	17%	18%	17%	18%
40-49	95	16%	16%	16%	-	-	99%	-	-	15%	17%	11%	16%	16%	17%	15%
50-64	129	22%	20%	23%	-	-	-	99%	-	21%	25%	11%	26%	13%	21%	22%
65 or over	154	26%	24%	27%	-	-	-	-	99%	27%	21%	25%	31%	15%	26%	25%
Prefer not to respond	8	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	9%	1%	2%	0%	2%
Age (Self-ID and Sample)																
18-29	112	19%	20%	17%	100%	-	-	-	-	17%	27%	18%	9%	38%	20%	18%
30-39	107	18%	20%	16%	-	100%	-	-	-	19%	10%	27%	18%	18%	17%	18%
40-49	96	16%	16%	16%	-	-	100%	-	-	15%	17%	20%	16%	16%	17%	15%
50-64	131	22%	21%	23%	-	-	-	100%	-	22%	25%	11%	26%	13%	21%	23%
65+/None	155	26%	24%	27%	-	-	-	-	100%	27%	21%	25%	31%	15%	26%	26%
Two-Age Split																
18-49	314	52%	55%	50%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	51%	54%	64%	43%	72%	53%	52%
50+	286	48%	45%	50%	-	-	-	100%	100%	49%	46%	36%	57%	28%	47%	48%
Generation																
18-49	314	52%	55%	50%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	51%	54%	64%	43%	72%	53%	52%
50-64	131	22%	21%	23%	-	-	-	100%	-	22%	25%	11%	26%	13%	21%	23%
65+	155	26%	24%	27%	-	-	-	-	100%	27%	21%	25%	31%	15%	26%	26%
60. Education (Self-ID)																
Some grade school	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	0%
Some high school	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	-	0%	2%	-	2%
Graduated high school	60	10%	9%	11%	11%	16%	12%	9%	5%	10%	7%	-	9%	13%	-	18%
Technical or Vocational school	61	10%	11%	9%	9%	8%	10%	11%	11%	10%	13%	-	10%	10%	-	19%
Some college or Less than 4-year degree	187	31%	30%	32%	30%	28%	27%	34%	34%	31%	32%	22%	29%	35%	-	57%
Graduated college or 4-year degree	147	24%	24%	25%	28%	23%	24%	23%	24%	24%	25%	37%	25%	24%	54%	-
Graduate or Professional Degree	126	21%	21%	21%	20%	21%	23%	20%	21%	22%	17%	18%	25%	14%	46%	-
Prefer not to respond	12	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	23%	2%	2%	-	4%
60. Education (Self-ID)																
College Graduate	273	45%	45%	46%	48%	43%	47%	43%	46%	45%	43%	55%	49%	38%	100%	-
Non-College Graduate	327	55%	55%	54%	52%	57%	53%	57%	54%	55%	57%	45%	51%	62%	-	100%

	n	Gender (Self-ID and			Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)	
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%
61. Gender (Self-ID)																
A man	283	47%	97%	-	50%	53%	47%	45%	43%	44%	66%	70%	50%	40%	46%	48%
A woman	303	51%	-	98%	48%	45%	51%	52%	54%	53%	31%	30%	47%	58%	51%	51%
Non-binary	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	1%	0%
Another gender identity	1	0%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
Prefer not to respond	9	2%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	-	2%	0%	2%	1%
61. Gender (Self-ID)																
Men	283	47%	97%	-	50%	53%	47%	45%	43%	44%	66%	70%	50%	40%	46%	48%
Women	303	51%	-	98%	48%	45%	51%	52%	54%	53%	31%	30%	47%	58%	51%	51%
Other/Ref	14	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%
Gender (Self-ID and Sample)																
Men	290	48%	100%	-	52%	53%	48%	46%	45%	46%	68%	70%	52%	41%	48%	49%
Women	310	52%	-	100%	48%	47%	52%	54%	55%	54%	32%	30%	48%	59%	52%	51%
Sample																
City of Spokane	240	40%	40%	40%	39%	45%	40%	39%	39%	40%	40%	46%	40%	40%	44%	37%
City of Spokane Valley	120	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%	20%	19%	19%	21%	14%	12%	19%	22%	16%	23%
Rest of SC	240	40%	40%	40%	41%	34%	40%	42%	42%	39%	45%	42%	41%	38%	40%	40%
Sample																
City of Spokane	240	40%	40%	40%	39%	45%	40%	39%	39%	40%	40%	46%	40%	40%	44%	37%
Rest of Spokane County	360	60%	60%	60%	61%	55%	60%	61%	61%	60%	60%	54%	60%	60%	56%	63%
State House District (Sample)																
LD3	162	27%	26%	28%	27%	37%	29%	24%	22%	27%	26%	24%	26%	28%	30%	25%
LD4	186	31%	34%	28%	32%	31%	31%	32%	30%	31%	33%	12%	30%	32%	26%	35%
LD6	174	29%	27%	31%	26%	25%	29%	28%	34%	30%	25%	53%	30%	28%	30%	28%
LD7	12	2%	3%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	5%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%
LD9	66	11%	11%	11%	14%	7%	9%	15%	9%	11%	15%	11%	11%	10%	13%	9%
City (Sample)																
Spokane	240	58%	59%	58%	55%	60%	63%	59%	56%	58%	58%	79%	61%	54%	63%	55%
Spokane Valley	121	30%	29%	30%	31%	28%	32%	30%	28%	30%	23%	21%	29%	31%	23%	35%
Another City	50	12%	12%	12%	14%	12%	5%	11%	16%	12%	20%	-	10%	16%	14%	10%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)																
New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	307	51%	56%	47%	72%	60%	61%	58%	18%	51%	55%	54%	42%	70%	45%	56%
Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	144	24%	22%	26%	21%	27%	23%	23%	26%	24%	27%	19%	26%	21%	24%	24%
Perfect Voter (6/6)	149	25%	23%	27%	7%	13%	16%	19%	56%	25%	18%	27%	32%	9%	30%	20%

	n	Gender (Self-ID and		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, Multiple)			2. Homeowner		60. Education (Self-ID)			
		%	Men	Women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White or Caucasian	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Homeowner	Non-Homeowner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate	
Number of cases	600		290	310	112	107	96	131	155	533	90	11	406	194	273	327	
Row percent		100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	22%	26%	89%	15%	2%	68%	32%	45%	55%	
Gender/Two-Age Split	Men: 18-49	161	27%	55%	-	52%	53%	48%	-	-	25%	36%	35%	24%	32%	28%	26%
	Women: 18-49	154	26%	-	50%	48%	47%	52%	-	-	26%	17%	30%	19%	40%	25%	26%
	Men: 50+	130	22%	45%	-	-	-	-	46%	45%	20%	31%	36%	28%	9%	20%	23%
	Women: 50+	156	26%	-	50%	-	-	-	54%	55%	28%	15%	-	29%	19%	27%	26%
Gender/Generation Split	Men: 18-49	161	27%	55%	-	52%	53%	48%	-	-	25%	36%	35%	24%	32%	28%	26%
	Women: 18-49	154	26%	-	50%	48%	47%	52%	-	-	26%	17%	30%	19%	40%	25%	26%
	Men: 50-64	60	10%	21%	-	-	-	-	46%	-	9%	19%	11%	13%	4%	9%	11%
	Women: 50-64	71	12%	-	23%	-	-	-	54%	-	13%	6%	-	13%	9%	12%	12%
	Men: 65+	70	12%	24%	-	-	-	-	-	45%	11%	12%	25%	15%	5%	11%	12%
	Women: 65+	85	14%	-	27%	-	-	-	-	55%	16%	8%	-	16%	10%	15%	14%
Gender/Homeowner	Men: Homeowner	211	35%	73%	-	18%	42%	35%	39%	39%	34%	45%	58%	52%	-	36%	34%
	Women: Homeowner	195	33%	-	63%	16%	26%	32%	41%	42%	35%	16%	21%	48%	-	37%	29%
	Men: Non-Homeowner	80	13%	27%	-	34%	11%	13%	6%	6%	12%	22%	13%	-	41%	12%	14%
	Women: Non-Homeowner	114	19%	-	37%	32%	21%	19%	14%	13%	20%	16%	8%	-	59%	15%	22%
Party/Two-Age Split	Democrat: 18-49	163	27%	24%	30%	62%	54%	38%	-	-	26%	33%	33%	18%	47%	27%	27%
	Republican: 18-49	81	14%	18%	10%	23%	22%	33%	-	-	14%	12%	20%	14%	13%	15%	12%
	NPP/Other: 18-49	70	12%	14%	10%	15%	24%	29%	-	-	12%	9%	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%
	Democrat: 50+	104	17%	12%	22%	-	-	-	31%	41%	18%	17%	13%	19%	13%	21%	14%
	Republican: 50+	145	24%	24%	24%	-	-	-	52%	49%	24%	24%	23%	30%	11%	20%	27%
	NPP/Other: 50+	37	6%	8%	4%	-	-	-	17%	9%	6%	5%	-	7%	4%	5%	7%
Gender/Party	Men: Democrat	106	18%	36%	-	24%	26%	15%	9%	16%	16%	31%	25%	15%	23%	16%	19%
	Women: Democrat	162	27%	-	52%	38%	28%	22%	22%	26%	28%	20%	20%	22%	37%	32%	23%
	Men: Republican	122	20%	42%	-	17%	14%	19%	24%	25%	19%	27%	33%	25%	10%	21%	19%
	Women: Republican	104	17%	-	34%	7%	8%	14%	28%	25%	19%	10%	9%	19%	13%	14%	20%
	Men: NPP/Other	63	11%	22%	-	11%	13%	14%	12%	5%	10%	11%	12%	12%	8%	11%	10%
	Women: NPP/Other	44	7%	-	14%	4%	10%	15%	5%	5%	8%	3%	-	7%	9%	5%	9%

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample			
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
QOL Index	QOL Index (mean)	600	3.67	5.23	2.52	3.50	5.39	3.68	2.35	4.47	3.49	3.50	2.35	2.91	4.31	3.73	3.00
3. Right direction/Wrong track: Spokane region	Right direction	240	40%	63%	24%	37%	65%	39%	22%	48%	41%	36%	21%	29%	47%	45%	31%
	Wrong track	355	59%	37%	76%	62%	35%	60%	78%	50%	58%	63%	79%	71%	52%	54%	69%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	2%	-
4. What is the best thing about living in the Spokane region? (OE)	Nature/Scenery/Landscape	150	25%	28%	18%	29%	26%	28%	22%	28%	21%	26%	32%	25%	28%	24%	23%
	Outdoor recreation/Access to public land	119	20%	21%	19%	20%	23%	21%	17%	20%	19%	21%	12%	21%	20%	23%	18%
	Location/Proximity/Accessibility	102	17%	17%	17%	16%	16%	21%	14%	13%	16%	20%	0%	23%	15%	18%	18%
	Climate/Weather/Has all 4 seasons	77	13%	13%	12%	14%	15%	12%	12%	12%	14%	11%	24%	17%	11%	13%	14%
	Small town feel	55	9%	11%	8%	9%	11%	9%	8%	11%	9%	8%	12%	7%	9%	7%	10%
	Community feel/Friendly people	49	8%	9%	8%	7%	9%	5%	10%	9%	5%	9%	0%	15%	8%	5%	10%
	Entertainment/Activities/Businesses	42	7%	7%	9%	5%	5%	8%	8%	5%	10%	5%	0%	9%	5%	10%	8%
	Close to family/Family oriented	35	6%	4%	6%	7%	4%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	0%	2%	7%	7%	5%
	Cost of living/Affordability	31	5%	6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	3%	6%	7%	4%	0%	2%	6%	5%	4%
	Diversity/Culture	7	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%
	Access to healthcare	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	11%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Other	46	8%	8%	9%	6%	9%	5%	9%	7%	8%	9%	10%	5%	8%	6%	8%	
Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	26	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	7%	6%	5%	2%	10%	2%	5%	5%	3%	
5. And what are the issues facing the Spokane region that you are most frustrated or concerned about? (OE)	Homelessness	228	38%	36%	41%	37%	33%	39%	41%	45%	36%	36%	34%	33%	41%	37%	35%
	Crime/Drugs/Public Safety	143	24%	16%	28%	26%	16%	26%	28%	24%	20%	26%	23%	29%	24%	20%	25%
	Taxes/High Taxes	97	16%	7%	22%	18%	9%	17%	21%	12%	20%	12%	10%	25%	13%	19%	18%
	Inflation/Cost of living	85	14%	18%	12%	13%	15%	17%	11%	12%	17%	14%	10%	12%	12%	17%	14%
	The government/Politics	78	13%	11%	14%	14%	14%	7%	18%	10%	14%	14%	24%	13%	11%	13%	15%
	Affordable housing/Housing	69	11%	18%	7%	10%	16%	12%	7%	11%	8%	15%	0%	15%	14%	8%	11%
	Infrastructure/Roads	45	7%	10%	6%	7%	9%	8%	6%	6%	9%	8%	0%	6%	7%	8%	8%
	Government spending/Budget	21	4%	1%	6%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	0%	4%	3%	3%	4%
	Lack of mental health services/Healthcare	15	3%	3%	0%	4%	5%	3%	0%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	3%	2%	2%
	Jobs/Economy	14	2%	5%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	10%	0%	3%	2%	2%
	Law enforcement/Lack of police	13	2%	0%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	12%	4%	1%	1%	3%
Garbage/Filth	8	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	
Other	47	8%	10%	8%	5%	9%	5%	9%	9%	9%	6%	22%	3%	9%	9%	7%	
None/Don't know/No opinion	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)				State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
6. Do you think the overall quality of life in the Spokane region is getting better, staying the same, or getting worse?																	
Getting much better	9	2%	3%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	
Getting somewhat better	78	13%	22%	6%	12%	24%	12%	6%	20%	12%	10%	10%	6%	19%	14%	7%	
Staying the same	137	23%	32%	18%	20%	34%	22%	15%	24%	22%	27%	-	17%	24%	22%	22%	
Getting somewhat worse	232	39%	31%	45%	39%	27%	41%	46%	31%	41%	37%	58%	52%	33%	40%	44%	
Getting much worse (Don't know/Refused)	144	24%	12%	30%	28%	13%	24%	32%	22%	23%	26%	32%	24%	22%	22%	27%	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6. Do you think the overall quality of life in the Spokane region is getting better, staying the same, or getting worse?																	
Better	87	15%	25%	7%	13%	26%	13%	7%	23%	14%	10%	10%	8%	21%	15%	8%	
Same/(DK)	137	23%	32%	18%	20%	34%	22%	15%	24%	22%	27%	-	17%	24%	22%	22%	
Worse	376	63%	43%	75%	67%	40%	65%	78%	53%	65%	63%	90%	75%	55%	62%	70%	
Net Better	-289	-48	-17	-68	-55	-14	-53	-70	-30	-51	-52	-80	-68	-34	-47	-63	
7. Have you actively considered moving out of the area?																	
Yes	406	68%	50%	80%	70%	50%	65%	84%	57%	72%	68%	90%	79%	59%	69%	76%	
No	194	32%	50%	20%	30%	50%	35%	16%	43%	28%	32%	10%	21%	41%	31%	24%	
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7. Have you actively considered moving out of the area?																	
Yes	406	68%	50%	80%	70%	50%	65%	84%	57%	72%	68%	90%	79%	59%	69%	76%	
No/(DK/Ref)	194	32%	50%	20%	30%	50%	35%	16%	43%	28%	32%	10%	21%	41%	31%	24%	
Net Yes	+212	+35	+1	+60	+40	-1	+30	+67	+14	+43	+35	+80	+58	+18	+37	+51	
8. What is the main reason you have considered moving? (OE)																	
Taxes	129	21%	6%	36%	20%	7%	20%	34%	11%	26%	22%	33%	32%	13%	26%	28%	
Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	100	17%	12%	16%	22%	12%	19%	18%	14%	16%	19%	20%	20%	18%	18%	15%	
Government/Leadership/Politics	117	19%	9%	30%	17%	11%	14%	31%	13%	23%	20%	24%	23%	13%	20%	25%	
Crime/Drugs/Public safety	80	13%	5%	18%	17%	4%	15%	19%	9%	16%	15%	34%	9%	10%	19%	14%	
Homelessness	41	7%	1%	11%	7%	2%	8%	9%	5%	6%	10%	12%	5%	6%	6%	8%	
Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	31	5%	8%	3%	5%	8%	4%	4%	6%	4%	5%	10%	7%	5%	5%	6%	
Declining quality of life	27	5%	3%	3%	7%	4%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	0%	5%	4%	4%	5%	
Growth/Development/Space Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	3%	
Weather	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%	1%	3%	0%	4%	1%	1%	2%	
Weather	11	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%	3%	
Weather	10	2%	4%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	
Traffic/Congestion	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	11%	3%	1%	1%	2%	
Closer to family	7	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	
Want to move abroad	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%	
Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	4	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	
Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Other	14	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	6%	1%	2%	4%	
None/Don't know/No opinion	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample			
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservat ive	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	65	11%	18%	6%	10%	20%	8%	6%	18%	10%	6%	10%	12%	15%	11%	7%
	Somewhat agree	215	36%	48%	25%	36%	48%	41%	22%	35%	38%	41%	24%	20%	37%	39%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	181	30%	25%	34%	31%	23%	30%	36%	29%	26%	28%	46%	47%	29%	27%	34%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	138	23%	9%	35%	23%	9%	20%	36%	18%	26%	25%	20%	21%	19%	23%	27%
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Strongly agree	332	55%	33%	73%	56%	33%	56%	72%	42%	58%	58%	78%	68%	45%	55%	66%
	Somewhat agree	179	30%	44%	22%	26%	42%	30%	21%	32%	32%	31%	-	20%	32%	35%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	61	10%	16%	3%	12%	20%	10%	2%	18%	7%	8%	10%	7%	15%	7%	7%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	6%	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	3%	3%	12%	3%	7%	3%	2%
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Strongly agree	166	28%	45%	13%	27%	53%	25%	10%	40%	21%	23%	20%	29%	38%	25%	19%
	Somewhat agree	228	38%	37%	41%	36%	32%	38%	42%	30%	39%	46%	22%	39%	34%	36%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	119	20%	13%	26%	20%	9%	20%	28%	18%	25%	17%	34%	15%	17%	22%	22%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	88	15%	5%	20%	17%	6%	16%	20%	11%	16%	15%	23%	18%	11%	17%	17%
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Strongly agree	53	9%	14%	2%	11%	20%	6%	2%	18%	4%	9%	-	1%	16%	3%	4%
	Somewhat agree	99	17%	26%	10%	15%	27%	18%	8%	24%	12%	14%	20%	18%	22%	12%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	135	22%	28%	24%	15%	23%	24%	21%	18%	24%	28%	10%	14%	21%	29%	20%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	312	52%	31%	64%	59%	30%	53%	68%	40%	60%	49%	70%	64%	40%	56%	62%
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Strongly agree	180	30%	11%	38%	39%	14%	32%	40%	34%	25%	36%	-	24%	37%	21%	28%
	Somewhat agree	228	38%	42%	38%	35%	42%	38%	35%	29%	43%	34%	56%	53%	29%	42%	45%
	Somewhat disagree	132	22%	32%	17%	18%	33%	18%	17%	27%	22%	19%	32%	14%	25%	29%	16%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	47	8%	11%	6%	6%	11%	10%	4%	8%	7%	9%	-	7%	7%	6%	9%
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	122	20%	34%	12%	17%	36%	18%	10%	30%	16%	19%	10%	12%	29%	17%	14%
	Somewhat agree	175	29%	34%	23%	32%	37%	27%	25%	29%	29%	28%	56%	30%	29%	26%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	127	21%	16%	24%	22%	16%	26%	21%	18%	21%	22%	11%	27%	19%	21%	23%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	175	29%	14%	41%	29%	11%	28%	44%	22%	34%	30%	22%	31%	23%	35%	33%
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	38	6%	7%	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%	4%	6%	7%	11%	9%	4%	6%	8%
	Somewhat agree	177	30%	42%	20%	29%	43%	32%	18%	29%	32%	28%	46%	27%	27%	34%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	180	30%	34%	31%	25%	31%	28%	31%	24%	31%	31%	33%	37%	25%	30%	35%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	203	34%	16%	43%	40%	18%	33%	47%	43%	30%	35%	10%	24%	44%	30%	26%
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Strongly agree	194	32%	15%	50%	29%	12%	28%	51%	26%	33%	37%	34%	33%	32%	30%	34%
	Somewhat agree	198	33%	30%	33%	35%	25%	41%	31%	27%	36%	35%	44%	31%	28%	36%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	116	19%	30%	10%	19%	32%	19%	10%	25%	19%	16%	22%	16%	22%	22%	15%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	92	15%	25%	6%	17%	30%	12%	7%	21%	12%	13%	-	19%	19%	12%	14%

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)				State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	26 130 197 246 1	4% 22% 33% 41% 0%	4% 30% 40% 25% 1%	5% 16% 30% 49% -	3% 20% 29% 47% -	7% 29% 38% 26% -	2% 23% 32% 43% -	5% 15% 30% 50% 1%	4% 21% 29% 47% -	4% 23% 36% 36% -	3% 23% 32% 43% -	22% 22% 34% 22% -	7% 18% 35% 39% 2%	3% 23% 26% 48% -	3% 26% 38% 33% -	6% 18% 37% 38% 0%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	217 217 128 31 7	36% 36% 21% 5% 1%	19% 39% 32% 8% 1%	43% 38% 15% 3% 1%	44% 32% 18% 5% 1%	24% 39% 28% 8% 2%	35% 32% 27% 6% 0%	47% 38% 11% 2% 2%	38% 37% 19% 6% -	37% 35% 25% 3% 1%	37% 34% 19% 9% 1%	24% 76% -	31% 35% 27% 2% -	40% 36% 18% 7% -	33% 36% 26% 3% 2%	34% 37% 22% 4% 2%
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	334 221 40 5 -	56% 37% 7% 1% -	53% 37% 9% 1% -	61% 33% 5% 0% -	52% 41% 6% 1% -	55% 35% 9% 1% -	48% 43% 7% 1% -	63% 33% 5% -	55% 38% 6% 1% -	53% 39% 7% 0% -	65% 28% 7% -	44% 56% -	45% 47% 8% -	60% 34% 5% 1%	42% 49% 7% 2%	58% 34% 8% -
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	68 224 142 159 7	11% 37% 24% 27% 1%	19% 51% 19% 11% 1%	6% 25% 26% 40% 2%	10% 38% 25% 26% 1%	23% 45% 20% 12% 1%	10% 46% 25% 18% 1%	3% 25% 25% 45% 1%	13% 40% 20% 25% 1%	7% 35% 24% 30% 2%	12% 37% 27% 23% 1%	12% 22% 32% 34% -	15% 39% 19% 27% -	14% 40% 21% 25% 1%	9% 37% 23% 30% 1%	10% 35% 27% 26% 2%
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	356 173 42 29 -	59% 29% 7% 5% -	62% 29% 7% 1% -	59% 27% 6% 7% -	56% 30% 8% 6% -	60% 31% 6% 3% -	63% 25% 7% 4% -	55% 31% 7% 7% -	62% 26% 7% 5% -	54% 30% 10% 6% -	63% 27% 6% 4% -	34% 42% 11% 12% -	62% 34% -	63% 26% 6% 4% -	53% 34% 11% 2% -	58% 29% 5% 7% -
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure	Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	200 254 86 60 -	33% 42% 14% 10% -	56% 33% 8% 3% -	21% 47% 17% 15% -	26% 46% 17% 11% -	61% 29% 4% 5% -	23% 54% 15% 8% -	21% 42% 21% 16% -	45% 35% 16% 4% -	29% 44% 13% 14% -	32% 46% 12% 10% -	32% 34% 22% 12% -	22% 46% 19% 13% -	42% 38% 14% 6% -	29% 48% 13% 10% -	28% 43% 15% 14% -
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	56 229 200 112 2	9% 38% 33% 19% 0%	13% 44% 35% 7% 1%	7% 35% 34% 24% -	9% 36% 31% 23% 1%	14% 47% 32% 8% -	10% 37% 33% 19% 1%	5% 33% 35% 27% 1%	13% 38% 29% 19% -	6% 39% 35% 20% 1%	8% 41% 33% 18% -	10% 44% 46% -	13% 28% 37% 21% 2%	11% 37% 32% 19% -	5% 39% 36% 18% 1%	9% 39% 33% 19% 0%

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)				State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	280	47%	66%	31%	47%	68%	49%	28%	53%	48%	47%	34%	32%	52%	50%	40%
	Disagree	320	53%	34%	69%	53%	32%	51%	72%	47%	52%	53%	66%	68%	48%	50%	60%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-39	-7	+32	-39	-7	+36	-1	-44	+6	-5	-7	-32	-36	+4	+1	-21
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Agree	511	85%	77%	95%	82%	75%	86%	93%	74%	90%	90%	78%	88%	78%	90%	90%
	Disagree	87	14%	22%	5%	18%	25%	14%	6%	25%	10%	10%	22%	10%	22%	10%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	-	2%	0%	-	0%
	Net Agree	+425	+71	+55	+90	+64	+50	+71	+86	+49	+80	+80	+56	+78	+56	+80	+81
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Agree	394	66%	82%	54%	63%	85%	64%	52%	71%	60%	68%	42%	67%	72%	61%	62%
	Disagree	206	34%	18%	46%	37%	15%	36%	48%	29%	40%	32%	58%	33%	28%	39%	38%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+188	+31	+65	+8	+26	+70	+27	+5	+41	+19	+37	-16	+35	+44	+21	+23
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Agree	152	25%	40%	12%	27%	47%	24%	10%	42%	15%	23%	20%	19%	39%	15%	17%
	Disagree	447	74%	59%	88%	73%	53%	76%	89%	58%	85%	77%	80%	79%	61%	85%	82%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	-295	-49	-20	-76	-47	-6	-52	-79	-16	-69	-54	-60	-59	-23	-70	-65
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Agree	408	68%	53%	75%	73%	56%	70%	76%	63%	68%	70%	56%	76%	66%	63%	73%
	Disagree	179	30%	43%	23%	25%	43%	28%	21%	36%	30%	28%	32%	22%	32%	35%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	13	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	12%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	Net Agree	+228	+38	+10	+52	+49	+13	+42	+54	+28	+39	+42	+25	+55	+34	+28	+48
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Agree	297	50%	69%	35%	49%	73%	45%	35%	59%	45%	47%	66%	42%	58%	43%	44%
	Disagree	302	50%	31%	65%	51%	27%	54%	65%	40%	55%	53%	34%	58%	41%	57%	56%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-4	-1	+38	-31	-3	+46	-9	-30	+19	-10	-6	+33	-16	+17	-13	-12
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	215	36%	49%	26%	35%	50%	39%	23%	33%	38%	34%	57%	36%	31%	40%	38%
	Disagree	383	64%	50%	74%	65%	49%	61%	77%	67%	62%	66%	43%	62%	69%	60%	61%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	-168	-28	-1	-48	-30	+1	-23	-55	-34	-23	-32	+14	-25	-37	-19	-23
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Agree	392	65%	45%	84%	64%	38%	70%	83%	53%	69%	72%	78%	65%	59%	67%	71%
	Disagree	208	35%	55%	16%	36%	62%	30%	17%	47%	31%	28%	22%	35%	41%	33%	29%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+183	+31	-10	+67	+27	-25	+39	+65	+7	+38	+43	+56	+30	+19	+33	+41
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	156	26%	34%	21%	24%	36%	25%	19%	24%	27%	25%	44%	25%	26%	29%	25%
	Disagree	443	74%	65%	79%	76%	64%	75%	80%	76%	73%	75%	56%	73%	74%	71%	75%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	-287	-48	-31	-57	-53	-28	-50	-61	-51	-45	-49	-12	-49	-48	-43	-50

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	434	72%	58%	81%	76%	63%	66%	85%	75%	72%	71%	100%	65%	75%	69%	71%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	159	26%	41%	18%	23%	36%	33%	14%	25%	27%	28%	-	29%	25%	30%	27%
Net Agree	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	6%	-	2%	2%
	+275	+46	+18	+63	+53	+27	+33	+71	+50	+45	+43	-	+37	+50	+39	+45
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	555	93%	90%	94%	93%	90%	92%	95%	93%	92%	93%	100%	92%	93%	91%	92%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	45	7%	10%	6%	7%	10%	8%	5%	7%	8%	7%	-	8%	7%	9%	8%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+510	+85	+80	+89	+86	+80	+83	+91	+86	+83	+86	-	+84	+87	+82	+85
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	292	49%	69%	32%	48%	67%	56%	28%	53%	43%	50%	34%	54%	53%	46%	45%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	301	50%	30%	66%	51%	31%	43%	70%	46%	55%	50%	66%	46%	46%	53%	53%
Net Agree	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%
	-9	-1	+39	-35	-2	+36	+13	-42	+8	-12	-0	-32	+7	+7	-7	-7
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	529	88%	91%	87%	86%	91%	88%	86%	88%	84%	90%	77%	96%	89%	87%	88%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	71	12%	9%	13%	14%	9%	12%	14%	12%	16%	10%	23%	4%	11%	13%	12%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+457	+76	+83	+74	+73	+81	+76	+72	+76	+68	+80	+53	+92	+79	+73	+75
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure	454	76%	89%	68%	72%	90%	77%	63%	80%	73%	78%	66%	68%	80%	77%	71%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	146	24%	11%	32%	28%	10%	23%	37%	20%	27%	22%	34%	32%	20%	23%	29%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+308	+51	+78	+36	+44	+81	+55	+26	+60	+46	+56	+32	+36	+60	+53	+42
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	285	48%	57%	42%	45%	60%	47%	38%	51%	45%	49%	54%	41%	49%	45%	48%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	312	52%	42%	58%	55%	40%	52%	61%	49%	55%	51%	46%	58%	51%	55%	52%
Net Agree	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	0%
	-27	-5	+15	-16	-10	+21	-5	-23	+3	-10	-2	+8	-17	-3	-10	-4



	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%
26. Which level of government do you think has the greatest impact on your quality of life?																
Local government, such as city or county government	227	38%	45%	31%	39%	43%	44%	29%	51%	33%	38%	34%	21%	50%	31%	30%
State government	270	45%	27%	58%	48%	31%	44%	57%	32%	52%	44%	55%	58%	33%	55%	52%
Federal government	100	17%	27%	11%	13%	26%	12%	13%	17%	14%	18%	11%	21%	17%	13%	18%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways																
1 - Very little impact	43	7%	9%	4%	9%	13%	6%	4%	11%	4%	6%	-	9%	11%	3%	6%
2	18	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	3%	1%	4%	1%	3%	-	3%	3%	1%	4%
3	25	4%	9%	2%	3%	8%	4%	1%	4%	3%	5%	10%	6%	4%	4%	4%
4	62	10%	17%	6%	8%	15%	13%	4%	11%	10%	12%	-	6%	13%	9%	8%
5	58	10%	18%	5%	7%	17%	9%	5%	12%	12%	8%	-	5%	12%	13%	6%
6	62	10%	11%	7%	13%	13%	11%	7%	11%	8%	12%	35%	5%	11%	10%	9%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	333	56%	33%	74%	57%	29%	54%	77%	46%	63%	53%	55%	63%	46%	61%	63%
Mean	600	5.65	4.95	6.24	5.65	4.72	5.66	6.36	5.27	5.99	5.62	6.26	5.59	5.29	6.02	5.83
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns																
1 - Very little impact	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	3%	2%	-	2%
2	8	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	-	-	2%	1%	0%
3	31	5%	7%	2%	7%	6%	8%	3%	5%	8%	2%	12%	6%	4%	8%	5%
4	54	9%	11%	8%	8%	14%	6%	8%	12%	8%	8%	10%	7%	10%	11%	6%
5	127	21%	27%	21%	16%	26%	19%	19%	19%	25%	19%	34%	16%	20%	27%	19%
6	139	23%	23%	22%	25%	20%	28%	22%	27%	20%	21%	12%	28%	26%	17%	23%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	228	38%	29%	43%	41%	30%	37%	45%	32%	36%	47%	32%	38%	35%	35%	43%
Mean	599	5.69	5.45	5.87	5.71	5.39	5.70	5.91	5.52	5.60	5.94	5.43	5.73	5.62	5.58	5.81
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break-ins																
1 - Very little impact	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	-	-	4%	1%	-
2	15	3%	4%	2%	2%	6%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	5%	2%	1%	4%
3	21	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	2%	-	4%	4%	6%	2%
4	56	9%	15%	5%	9%	16%	10%	4%	12%	10%	8%	10%	3%	11%	9%	8%
5	110	18%	22%	14%	19%	24%	21%	12%	21%	18%	17%	32%	14%	18%	20%	18%
6	127	21%	21%	22%	21%	19%	19%	25%	21%	20%	21%	12%	26%	20%	21%	23%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	261	43%	32%	53%	44%	30%	45%	53%	37%	46%	46%	46%	47%	42%	42%	45%
Mean	600	5.77	5.42	6.04	5.80	5.29	5.84	6.08	5.55	5.86	5.81	5.94	5.93	5.66	5.76	5.89
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure																
1 - Very little impact	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	-	1%
2	14	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	12%	2%	3%	1%	2%
3	22	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	2%	6%	3%	5%	4%	10%	2%	2%	6%	4%
4	75	12%	12%	12%	13%	11%	16%	11%	13%	14%	10%	-	14%	13%	15%	11%
5	162	27%	23%	26%	32%	25%	30%	26%	26%	29%	23%	22%	34%	24%	35%	26%
6	120	20%	20%	19%	21%	18%	22%	19%	26%	14%	19%	33%	25%	22%	9%	24%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	200	33%	38%	35%	27%	41%	26%	34%	28%	36%	40%	22%	21%	34%	35%	32%
Mean	600	5.55	5.66	5.56	5.44	5.75	5.40	5.52	5.50	5.57	5.66	5.20	5.39	5.54	5.50	5.58

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample			
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	1 - Very little impact	9	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	0%	
	2	10	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	4%	1%	-	3%	
	3	18	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	-	4%	3%	2%	
	4	59	10%	10%	8%	12%	12%	11%	7%	14%	11%	8%	11%	2%	11%	13%	6%
	5	125	21%	26%	19%	17%	23%	25%	16%	22%	25%	18%	20%	14%	20%	26%	19%
	6	124	21%	22%	20%	20%	23%	21%	18%	23%	14%	25%	47%	16%	26%	16%	18%
	7 - Very significant impact	255	42%	34%	48%	44%	36%	37%	52%	34%	43%	43%	22%	63%	35%	40%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	0%
Mean	599	5.79	5.63	5.91	5.81	5.68	5.69	5.97	5.56	5.74	5.87	5.80	6.31	5.65	5.67	6.00	
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	1 - Very little impact	15	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	-	6%	2%	1%	4%	
	2	12	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	-	2%	3%	2%	
	3	46	8%	10%	4%	9%	11%	9%	4%	10%	6%	5%	10%	11%	9%	7%	
	4	65	11%	11%	9%	13%	11%	8%	13%	14%	11%	9%	10%	8%	13%	12%	
	5	91	15%	17%	13%	16%	17%	19%	11%	15%	17%	14%	-	14%	16%	21%	
	6	106	18%	21%	20%	12%	20%	14%	19%	20%	18%	14%	33%	18%	18%	17%	
	7 - Very significant impact	263	44%	37%	50%	45%	34%	46%	50%	36%	44%	52%	47%	41%	40%	40%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	
Mean	599	5.63	5.47	5.90	5.48	5.30	5.64	5.87	5.40	5.76	5.77	5.98	5.40	5.48	5.68		
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	1 - Very little impact	60	10%	3%	13%	13%	2%	11%	16%	10%	12%	10%	6%	11%	12%	8%	
	2	38	6%	3%	8%	9%	3%	7%	8%	5%	7%	7%	12%	6%	6%	7%	
	3	68	11%	8%	16%	9%	10%	8%	15%	6%	15%	10%	12%	17%	14%	14%	
	4	111	18%	12%	25%	17%	11%	20%	23%	18%	21%	14%	22%	23%	14%	19%	
	5	122	20%	22%	19%	21%	17%	27%	18%	23%	15%	22%	22%	24%	21%	25%	
	6	53	9%	11%	5%	11%	15%	8%	5%	9%	7%	11%	11%	7%	11%	8%	
	7 - Very significant impact	147	25%	40%	15%	21%	43%	19%	15%	29%	24%	26%	10%	16%	30%	24%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mean	600	4.57	5.44	4.02	4.38	5.53	4.47	3.93	4.81	4.38	4.67	4.08	4.38	4.82	4.36		
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	1 - Very little impact	52	9%	2%	10%	14%	2%	8%	14%	9%	11%	6%	12%	8%	10%	7%	
	2	31	5%	2%	9%	5%	2%	5%	8%	4%	6%	3%	12%	11%	3%	6%	
	3	44	7%	5%	9%	8%	4%	7%	10%	9%	8%	6%	10%	3%	8%	9%	
	4	58	10%	8%	12%	9%	7%	9%	13%	11%	9%	8%	22%	10%	10%	6%	
	5	93	16%	15%	17%	14%	15%	18%	14%	17%	14%	17%	-	16%	16%	17%	
	6	91	15%	14%	17%	14%	13%	18%	15%	13%	14%	18%	24%	16%	14%	15%	
	7 - Very significant impact	230	38%	54%	26%	37%	58%	35%	26%	37%	38%	42%	20%	37%	39%	38%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mean	600	5.17	5.91	4.73	4.96	6.00	5.20	4.51	5.08	5.01	5.52	4.37	5.09	5.17	5.08		
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	1 - Very little impact	40	7%	3%	10%	6%	1%	7%	10%	8%	6%	7%	12%	4%	7%	5%	
	2	28	5%	2%	7%	4%	2%	4%	7%	5%	7%	3%	-	2%	3%	5%	
	3	34	6%	3%	5%	9%	3%	7%	7%	4%	5%	8%	-	7%	5%	9%	
	4	53	9%	4%	14%	8%	4%	10%	11%	6%	15%	4%	21%	9%	5%	17%	
	5	95	16%	14%	17%	17%	12%	17%	17%	15%	14%	16%	56%	16%	15%	12%	
	6	87	14%	17%	13%	14%	17%	15%	12%	17%	15%	13%	-	13%	15%	19%	
	7 - Very significant impact	263	44%	57%	34%	42%	60%	40%	35%	47%	38%	48%	11%	48%	49%	37%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mean	600	5.41	6.01	4.96	5.37	6.15	5.30	4.95	5.53	5.23	5.49	4.53	5.61	5.57	5.27		

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas																
1 - Very little impact	7	1%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	1%
2	12	2%	1%	2%	3%	-	4%	2%	3%	-	4%	12%	-	3%	-	2%
3	33	5%	3%	9%	4%	3%	3%	9%	8%	3%	3%	-	11%	6%	2%	6%
4	79	13%	9%	18%	12%	11%	10%	18%	14%	14%	11%	10%	16%	14%	12%	13%
5	159	27%	29%	22%	29%	25%	31%	24%	25%	28%	25%	56%	23%	25%	35%	24%
6	128	21%	25%	20%	19%	22%	23%	19%	20%	21%	22%	22%	22%	21%	19%	23%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	181	30%	33%	27%	31%	39%	28%	26%	29%	31%	33%	-	28%	30%	31%	30%
Mean	600	5.47	5.74	5.23	5.48	5.83	5.45	5.21	5.37	5.58	5.51	4.76	5.40	5.41	5.60	5.46
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs																
1 - Very little impact	18	3%	1%	4%	4%	0%	3%	5%	4%	4%	2%	-	3%	3%	7%	2%
2	15	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	-	6%	2%	1%	4%
3	31	5%	3%	8%	4%	2%	6%	7%	3%	5%	6%	22%	3%	4%	4%	6%
4	90	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%	17%	13%	14%	15%	12%	12%	24%	12%	18%	16%
5	142	24%	23%	22%	26%	21%	29%	21%	29%	23%	22%	45%	13%	26%	23%	22%
6	120	20%	20%	16%	24%	20%	21%	20%	24%	18%	20%	10%	18%	21%	15%	21%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	184	31%	38%	31%	24%	40%	23%	30%	24%	32%	35%	11%	34%	31%	32%	29%
Mean	600	5.36	5.68	5.18	5.26	5.74	5.23	5.19	5.31	5.34	5.51	4.77	5.27	5.45	5.23	5.34
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit																
1 - Very little impact	51	8%	2%	13%	9%	2%	6%	16%	6%	10%	8%	-	13%	8%	9%	9%
2	22	4%	1%	5%	5%	2%	2%	6%	2%	4%	4%	12%	2%	3%	2%	5%
3	64	11%	6%	14%	12%	5%	12%	14%	7%	12%	9%	35%	17%	6%	12%	14%
4	110	18%	11%	23%	20%	12%	22%	21%	21%	16%	15%	44%	23%	16%	16%	22%
5	104	17%	22%	17%	14%	17%	18%	17%	17%	18%	19%	-	15%	19%	21%	14%
6	85	14%	18%	12%	13%	17%	15%	11%	15%	17%	16%	10%	3%	15%	16%	12%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	163	27%	41%	16%	27%	45%	25%	15%	32%	23%	29%	-	27%	33%	23%	24%
Mean	600	4.84	5.67	4.26	4.71	5.73	4.89	4.11	5.12	4.71	4.97	3.61	4.41	5.11	4.79	4.59
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning																
1 - Very little impact	48	8%	4%	12%	8%	3%	5%	14%	7%	10%	6%	12%	9%	7%	8%	9%
2	31	5%	3%	7%	6%	2%	5%	7%	6%	4%	6%	10%	5%	5%	3%	6%
3	37	6%	3%	9%	6%	3%	7%	8%	4%	8%	7%	-	3%	5%	12%	4%
4	64	11%	7%	11%	14%	4%	14%	13%	11%	9%	10%	-	20%	8%	7%	15%
5	111	19%	14%	21%	19%	12%	21%	22%	20%	18%	17%	56%	14%	20%	19%	17%
6	80	13%	12%	14%	14%	13%	14%	13%	15%	15%	11%	12%	9%	14%	17%	11%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	229	38%	57%	25%	34%	62%	34%	24%	38%	35%	44%	10%	39%	41%	33%	38%
Mean	600	5.19	5.92	4.68	5.08	6.06	5.18	4.53	5.29	5.07	5.32	4.52	5.07	5.38	5.08	5.06
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities																
1 - Very little impact	49	8%	1%	17%	5%	1%	4%	17%	6%	9%	8%	-	12%	6%	11%	8%
2	36	6%	2%	8%	8%	3%	6%	8%	5%	8%	4%	23%	3%	5%	9%	6%
3	56	9%	4%	13%	11%	3%	9%	14%	7%	12%	8%	12%	9%	7%	11%	11%
4	78	13%	13%	12%	14%	10%	15%	13%	14%	12%	13%	33%	9%	12%	13%	14%
5	141	23%	24%	23%	24%	23%	25%	22%	24%	20%	25%	-	32%	23%	20%	25%
6	95	16%	19%	15%	14%	19%	17%	12%	16%	15%	15%	32%	16%	18%	12%	16%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	145	24%	37%	13%	25%	41%	24%	12%	27%	23%	26%	-	19%	29%	24%	20%
Mean	600	4.82	5.59	4.13	4.86	5.71	4.97	4.01	5.02	4.64	4.93	4.05	4.71	5.09	4.55	4.68



	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample			
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	118	20%	10%	28%	20%	7%	20%	29%	18%	21%	20%	21%	19%	20%	20%	19%
	Somewhat less safe	166	28%	20%	32%	31%	20%	33%	29%	24%	29%	27%	24%	34%	25%	31%	28%
	More safe	56	9%	14%	5%	9%	14%	9%	6%	13%	8%	9%	-	7%	12%	7%	9%
	About the same	259	43%	56%	35%	41%	58%	38%	36%	45%	42%	44%	55%	40%	43%	43%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	285	47%	30%	60%	50%	27%	54%	58%	43%	50%	47%	45%	53%	45%	51%	48%
	Same/(DK)	259	43%	56%	35%	41%	58%	38%	36%	45%	42%	44%	55%	40%	43%	43%	44%
	More safe	56	9%	14%	5%	9%	14%	9%	6%	13%	8%	9%	-	7%	12%	7%	9%
	Net More safe	-228	-38	-16	-54	-41	-13	-45	-52	-30	-42	-38	-	-46	-34	-44	-39
42. Importance: More police officers	1 - Not at all important	61	10%	17%	4%	10%	19%	8%	5%	16%	7%	9%	-	11%	14%	6%	8%
	2	34	6%	9%	3%	5%	10%	5%	2%	10%	5%	4%	-	5%	8%	7%	3%
	3	41	7%	9%	5%	7%	12%	6%	4%	3%	12%	3%	22%	9%	3%	13%	8%
	4	83	14%	21%	8%	13%	20%	17%	7%	17%	11%	18%	-	6%	17%	10%	13%
	5	89	15%	15%	11%	19%	15%	19%	11%	18%	13%	13%	10%	17%	16%	18%	12%
	6	77	13%	9%	16%	13%	7%	14%	17%	11%	12%	14%	12%	17%	11%	14%	14%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	213	35%	19%	52%	32%	16%	31%	54%	25%	39%	40%	56%	36%	31%	34%	41%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	599	4.99	4.14	5.75	4.92	3.85	4.99	5.84	4.45	5.14	5.24	5.80	5.06	4.72	5.03	5.24	
43. Importance: New, expanded correctional and detention facilities	1 - Not at all important	72	12%	18%	7%	12%	21%	8%	8%	17%	10%	9%	-	14%	16%	10%	9%
	2	46	8%	11%	6%	6%	12%	5%	7%	9%	8%	7%	-	5%	8%	7%	8%
	3	71	12%	15%	6%	15%	14%	14%	8%	18%	9%	8%	23%	13%	14%	10%	10%
	4	105	17%	15%	18%	19%	16%	22%	14%	16%	19%	19%	20%	11%	17%	21%	16%
	5	105	17%	17%	18%	18%	15%	19%	18%	17%	15%	19%	12%	22%	18%	12%	20%
	6	77	13%	12%	16%	10%	11%	12%	15%	10%	15%	14%	-	13%	11%	16%	13%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	125	21%	11%	29%	21%	10%	20%	30%	13%	23%	23%	45%	22%	17%	23%	23%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	599	4.42	3.82	4.98	4.37	3.63	4.54	4.92	3.90	4.59	4.67	5.25	4.48	4.14	4.60	4.62	
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1 - Not at all important	25	4%	1%	6%	5%	1%	4%	7%	6%	3%	4%	-	3%	6%	2%	4%
	2	25	4%	4%	5%	3%	1%	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	10%	8%	4%	4%	5%
	3	35	6%	3%	8%	7%	1%	6%	9%	2%	6%	7%	10%	12%	3%	8%	8%
	4	85	14%	5%	19%	17%	6%	16%	18%	12%	18%	13%	24%	9%	11%	19%	15%
	5	120	20%	20%	20%	20%	17%	23%	20%	21%	24%	13%	44%	22%	20%	25%	18%
	6	87	14%	18%	14%	12%	17%	13%	14%	15%	17%	13%	11%	10%	16%	16%	12%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	222	37%	49%	28%	35%	56%	33%	26%	40%	28%	47%	-	37%	42%	27%	37%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	599	5.34	5.89	4.98	5.22	6.14	5.20	4.84	5.47	5.17	5.50	4.35	5.19	5.50	5.16	5.26	
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1 - Not at all important	27	4%	2%	7%	4%	1%	4%	7%	5%	5%	4%	-	4%	5%	5%	3%
	2	43	7%	6%	10%	6%	6%	4%	11%	6%	11%	5%	12%	5%	5%	11%	7%
	3	52	9%	6%	8%	12%	4%	12%	9%	9%	8%	9%	10%	10%	8%	6%	11%
	4	133	22%	18%	27%	21%	21%	22%	24%	26%	18%	20%	33%	29%	22%	17%	25%
	5	153	26%	32%	23%	23%	28%	26%	23%	27%	24%	27%	44%	20%	27%	25%	24%
	6	82	14%	14%	13%	14%	13%	14%	14%	12%	15%	16%	-	9%	13%	15%	14%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	109	18%	22%	13%	21%	26%	17%	13%	16%	17%	20%	-	22%	20%	20%	15%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	599	4.71	5.01	4.39	4.78	5.13	4.72	4.37	4.64	4.62	4.91	4.10	4.71	4.79	4.71	4.62	

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample			
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1 - Not at all important	48	8%	5%	9%	10%	7%	7%	10%	8%	7%	10%	-	6%	9%	4%	9%
	2	39	6%	5%	7%	7%	6%	6%	8%	7%	9%	4%	12%	3%	6%	13%	3%
	3	62	10%	9%	12%	10%	10%	11%	10%	12%	10%	8%	11%	16%	11%	10%	9%
	4	138	23%	26%	20%	24%	24%	26%	19%	25%	27%	18%	-	25%	22%	28%	22%
	5	127	21%	28%	18%	18%	26%	22%	16%	20%	17%	26%	20%	24%	22%	19%	22%
	6	76	13%	11%	14%	13%	11%	11%	16%	11%	13%	16%	-	12%	12%	12%	14%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	107	18%	16%	19%	18%	15%	16%	21%	17%	17%	19%	45%	13%	18%	14%	19%
	Mean	3	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	12%	-	-	-	1%
	597	4.53	4.66	4.51	4.43	4.54	4.48	4.56	4.44	4.42	4.69	5.35	4.48	4.50	4.37	4.63	
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in correctional and detention facilities	1 - Not at all important	37	6%	2%	10%	6%	1%	6%	10%	5%	7%	7%	-	3%	6%	5%	7%
	2	40	7%	3%	11%	5%	3%	6%	10%	5%	10%	5%	12%	5%	5%	9%	7%
	3	51	9%	6%	9%	11%	4%	12%	9%	10%	10%	6%	-	10%	9%	11%	7%
	4	100	17%	14%	16%	20%	13%	18%	18%	19%	12%	16%	32%	23%	17%	10%	20%
	5	136	23%	25%	23%	20%	20%	24%	23%	23%	26%	19%	34%	23%	22%	29%	21%
	6	97	16%	19%	14%	16%	21%	17%	12%	16%	13%	23%	21%	9%	18%	12%	16%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	138	23%	31%	17%	22%	36%	17%	18%	23%	21%	25%	-	28%	23%	24%	22%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	599	4.84	5.40	4.41	4.78	5.57	4.68	4.41	4.86	4.65	4.99	4.52	4.97	4.91	4.82	4.77	
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1 - Not at all important	22	4%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	6%	2%	5%	3%	-	7%	3%	3%	5%
	2	30	5%	2%	7%	5%	3%	6%	6%	5%	4%	4%	-	5%	4%	4%	6%
	3	54	9%	7%	11%	9%	6%	9%	12%	11%	5%	10%	22%	11%	10%	4%	11%
	4	116	19%	19%	23%	15%	17%	17%	23%	21%	22%	17%	66%	7%	19%	20%	19%
	5	146	24%	21%	25%	27%	23%	28%	23%	29%	24%	23%	-	22%	29%	26%	19%
	6	83	14%	21%	9%	13%	19%	11%	12%	13%	13%	14%	-	22%	13%	16%	14%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	148	25%	28%	20%	26%	30%	26%	19%	18%	26%	30%	12%	25%	23%	25%	26%
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	600	4.96	5.31	4.66	4.98	5.36	4.98	4.64	4.80	5.01	5.11	4.13	5.00	4.96	5.12	4.88	
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1 - Not at all important	35	6%	1%	8%	8%	1%	7%	9%	4%	7%	5%	-	9%	5%	7%	6%
	2	31	5%	3%	9%	3%	1%	5%	8%	5%	4%	6%	10%	5%	5%	3%	7%
	3	37	6%	5%	7%	6%	4%	6%	8%	5%	10%	5%	-	2%	6%	10%	4%
	4	70	12%	4%	17%	12%	5%	11%	18%	13%	14%	8%	36%	9%	12%	15%	9%
	5	111	19%	16%	20%	19%	15%	19%	21%	18%	15%	16%	32%	34%	17%	18%	20%
	6	113	19%	20%	17%	20%	20%	21%	16%	20%	21%	20%	10%	8%	19%	17%	20%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	202	34%	51%	22%	31%	54%	31%	21%	35%	29%	38%	11%	34%	37%	30%	32%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	599	5.23	5.95	4.70	5.16	6.11	5.17	4.62	5.34	5.08	5.37	4.65	5.13	5.35	5.04	5.22	
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1 - Not at all important	16	3%	1%	3%	4%	0%	2%	5%	4%	2%	4%	-	-	3%	2%	2%
	2	23	4%	3%	6%	1%	2%	2%	7%	3%	4%	2%	12%	9%	2%	3%	6%
	3	26	4%	2%	7%	4%	2%	4%	7%	4%	4%	3%	11%	7%	4%	5%	4%
	4	106	18%	12%	22%	19%	9%	19%	23%	17%	20%	16%	33%	13%	16%	22%	17%
	5	138	23%	24%	21%	23%	22%	24%	23%	23%	25%	22%	12%	19%	21%	21%	25%
	6	108	18%	19%	19%	16%	19%	19%	16%	16%	16%	19%	20%	24%	16%	18%	20%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	183	30%	39%	22%	33%	46%	29%	20%	33%	27%	34%	12%	28%	37%	28%	25%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	599	5.31	5.68	4.96	5.34	5.93	5.33	4.81	5.33	5.24	5.42	4.52	5.26	5.45	5.24	5.19	
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1 - Not at all important	36	6%	2%	10%	5%	2%	5%	10%	6%	6%	6%	-	8%	6%	7%	5%
	2	30	5%	3%	8%	3%	2%	5%	7%	4%	6%	4%	12%	6%	4%	6%	5%
	3	52	9%	4%	10%	11%	2%	10%	13%	7%	12%	8%	10%	4%	6%	13%	9%
	4	86	14%	10%	17%	15%	10%	14%	18%	9%	22%	11%	34%	12%	10%	24%	15%
	5	121	20%	18%	21%	21%	18%	22%	20%	21%	18%	19%	32%	26%	20%	16%	23%
	6	103	17%	22%	13%	17%	22%	18%	13%	20%	13%	23%	-	8%	21%	14%	14%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	170	28%	40%	20%	27%	42%	26%	19%	33%	21%	30%	11%	36%	33%	21%	28%
	Mean	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	599	5.03	5.69	4.49	5.02	5.79	5.01	4.47	5.27	4.66	5.21	4.31	5.13	5.27	4.62	5.00	

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)				State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC	
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240	
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%	
42. Importance: More police officers	1-3 Not important	136	23%	35%	12%	23%	42%	19%	11%	29%	24%	15%	22%	25%	25%	20%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	84	14%	22%	8%	13%	20%	17%	7%	17%	11%	18%	-	6%	17%	13%	
	5-7 Important	379	63%	43%	79%	64%	38%	64%	82%	54%	65%	67%	78%	69%	58%	67%	
43. Importance: New, expanded correctional and detention facilities	1-3 Not important	188	31%	45%	19%	33%	48%	27%	22%	44%	27%	24%	23%	32%	38%	27%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	106	18%	15%	18%	19%	17%	22%	14%	16%	20%	19%	20%	11%	17%	21%	
	5-7 Important	306	51%	40%	63%	48%	36%	51%	63%	40%	53%	56%	57%	57%	46%	56%	
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1-3 Not important	85	14%	8%	18%	15%	3%	15%	22%	11%	13%	15%	21%	22%	12%	17%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	86	14%	5%	19%	17%	7%	16%	18%	12%	19%	13%	24%	9%	11%	16%	
	5-7 Important	429	71%	87%	62%	67%	90%	69%	60%	77%	68%	72%	55%	69%	77%	67%	
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1-3 Not important	122	20%	14%	25%	21%	11%	21%	27%	20%	24%	17%	23%	20%	18%	21%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	134	22%	19%	27%	21%	22%	22%	24%	26%	19%	20%	33%	29%	22%	26%	
	5-7 Important	344	57%	67%	49%	58%	67%	57%	50%	55%	57%	63%	44%	51%	60%	53%	
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1-3 Not important	149	25%	18%	28%	27%	22%	24%	27%	27%	26%	21%	23%	25%	26%	22%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	141	23%	26%	20%	24%	25%	26%	20%	25%	27%	18%	12%	25%	22%	23%	
	5-7 Important	310	52%	55%	52%	48%	53%	49%	53%	48%	46%	61%	65%	50%	52%	55%	
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in correctional and detention facilities	1-3 Not important	128	21%	10%	30%	22%	9%	24%	29%	20%	27%	18%	12%	17%	20%	21%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	101	17%	14%	16%	20%	14%	18%	18%	19%	13%	16%	32%	23%	17%	20%	
	5-7 Important	370	62%	75%	54%	58%	77%	58%	53%	61%	60%	66%	55%	60%	63%	59%	
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1-3 Not important	106	18%	11%	22%	19%	11%	18%	23%	19%	14%	17%	22%	23%	17%	22%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	116	19%	19%	23%	15%	17%	17%	23%	21%	22%	17%	66%	7%	19%	19%	
	5-7 Important	378	63%	70%	54%	66%	72%	65%	54%	60%	64%	66%	12%	69%	64%	59%	
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1-3 Not important	103	17%	9%	24%	17%	5%	18%	26%	15%	20%	17%	10%	16%	15%	17%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	71	12%	5%	17%	12%	5%	11%	18%	13%	14%	8%	36%	9%	12%	10%	
	5-7 Important	426	71%	86%	59%	70%	89%	71%	57%	73%	65%	75%	53%	76%	72%	73%	
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1-3 Not important	65	11%	6%	16%	10%	4%	9%	18%	11%	10%	9%	23%	16%	10%	12%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	107	18%	13%	22%	19%	9%	19%	23%	17%	21%	16%	33%	13%	16%	17%	
	5-7 Important	428	71%	82%	62%	72%	87%	72%	59%	72%	69%	75%	44%	71%	74%	71%	
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1-3 Not important	118	20%	9%	29%	20%	6%	20%	30%	17%	25%	18%	23%	17%	17%	20%	
	4/(DK/Ref)	87	15%	10%	17%	15%	10%	14%	18%	9%	22%	11%	34%	12%	10%	15%	
	5-7 Important	394	66%	81%	54%	65%	83%	66%	52%	74%	53%	71%	44%	70%	74%	65%	
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Very concerned	260	43%	44%	37%	50%	44%	47%	40%	45%	42%	46%	22%	40%	45%	43%	
	Somewhat concerned	227	38%	39%	39%	35%	41%	38%	35%	31%	43%	36%	43%	44%	32%	40%	
	Not too concerned	85	14%	12%	18%	11%	11%	10%	20%	18%	12%	14%	23%	9%	18%	12%	
	Not at all concerned	29	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	5%	7%	3%	4%	12%	7%	5%	5%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Concerned	486	81%	83%	76%	85%	86%	84%	75%	75%	85%	82%	65%	84%	77%	83%	
	Not concerned	114	19%	17%	24%	15%	14%	16%	25%	25%	15%	18%	35%	16%	23%	17%	
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Net Concerned	+373	+62	+66	+52	+70	+71	+69	+50	+51	+70	+65	+30	+68	+54	+66	

		n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample		
				Democrat	Republican	NPP/Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC
Number of cases		600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1 - Very pessimistic	112	19%	15%	21%	20%	13%	15%	26%	16%	20%	22%	-	17%	20%	16%	19%
	2	174	29%	30%	25%	33%	31%	32%	25%	30%	29%	32%	32%	18%	28%	29%	30%
	3	237	40%	41%	40%	39%	41%	41%	37%	38%	41%	34%	46%	54%	39%	43%	39%
	4	54	9%	10%	10%	7%	10%	9%	8%	11%	7%	11%	21%	3%	11%	7%	8%
	5 - Very optimistic	20	3%	4%	5%	1%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	-	5%	3%	4%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	0%
Mean		598	2.56	2.68	2.61	2.38	2.67	2.57	2.46	2.64	2.54	2.43	2.89	2.70	2.55	2.61	2.54
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1-2 Pessimistic	286	48%	44%	46%	53%	45%	47%	50%	47%	48%	54%	32%	36%	47%	45%	49%
	3/(DK/Ref)	240	40%	41%	40%	39%	41%	41%	38%	38%	41%	34%	46%	56%	39%	44%	39%
	4-5 Optimistic	74	12%	15%	14%	8%	14%	11%	12%	15%	11%	13%	21%	8%	14%	11%	11%
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	Much more often	10	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	2%	0%
	Somewhat more often	25	4%	7%	1%	5%	10%	3%	1%	8%	4%	2%	-	2%	7%	3%	2%
	About the same	188	31%	48%	21%	27%	47%	29%	22%	38%	28%	32%	32%	24%	36%	30%	28%
	Somewhat less often	112	19%	17%	20%	18%	17%	21%	18%	18%	16%	21%	12%	21%	18%	17%	19%
	Much less often	265	44%	27%	58%	46%	23%	46%	59%	32%	51%	45%	56%	51%	36%	48%	51%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	More often	35	6%	8%	2%	8%	13%	4%	2%	11%	5%	3%	-	3%	10%	5%	2%
	Same/(DK)	188	31%	48%	21%	27%	47%	29%	22%	38%	28%	32%	32%	24%	36%	30%	28%
	Less often	377	63%	43%	78%	64%	40%	67%	77%	51%	68%	65%	68%	72%	54%	65%	70%
	Net More often	-342	-57	-35	-76	-56	-27	-63	-75	-39	-63	-62	-	-69	-44	-60	-68
2. Homeowner	Own/Buying	406	68%	61%	76%	64%	59%	67%	75%	66%	66%	69%	68%	70%	68%	65%	69%
	Rent/Lease	168	28%	33%	19%	32%	36%	29%	21%	30%	30%	26%	32%	22%	29%	31%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	-	7%	3%	4%	6%
2. Homeowner	Homeowner	406	68%	61%	76%	64%	59%	67%	75%	66%	66%	69%	68%	70%	68%	65%	69%
	Non-Homeowner	194	32%	39%	24%	36%	41%	33%	25%	34%	34%	31%	32%	30%	32%	35%	31%
55. Residence duration	<2 years	3	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	2-5 years	55	9%	15%	6%	7%	16%	9%	4%	13%	12%	5%	-	7%	9%	12%	8%
	6-10 years	83	14%	14%	14%	13%	15%	14%	13%	13%	12%	18%	12%	11%	12%	11%	17%
	11-20 years	114	19%	22%	16%	20%	20%	22%	16%	19%	17%	19%	22%	26%	19%	18%	20%
	20+ years	344	57%	49%	63%	59%	49%	55%	66%	54%	59%	59%	66%	56%	59%	59%	55%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
56. Party ID	Strong Democrat	100	17%	54%	-	-	48%	5%	3%	21%	16%	18%	10%	8%	22%	13%	13%
	Not very strong Democrat	33	5%	18%	-	-	11%	4%	2%	7%	4%	5%	10%	4%	7%	6%	4%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	52	9%	28%	-	-	15%	11%	2%	10%	6%	10%	10%	9%	9%	8%	8%
	Independent	66	11%	-	-	34%	5%	21%	7%	12%	13%	9%	12%	8%	12%	12%	10%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	84	14%	-	38%	-	5%	15%	21%	11%	15%	12%	10%	24%	13%	11%	16%
	Not very strong Republican	40	7%	-	18%	-	1%	4%	14%	4%	8%	9%	-	5%	4%	10%	7%
	Strong Republican	95	16%	-	43%	-	0%	2%	39%	10%	20%	14%	23%	24%	8%	23%	20%
	Something else	35	6%	-	-	18%	7%	6%	5%	8%	7%	4%	12%	2%	7%	8%	3%
	Prefer not to respond	96	16%	-	-	49%	8%	32%	8%	17%	11%	21%	12%	15%	17%	9%	19%
	56. Party ID	Democrat	184	31%	100%	-	-	74%	20%	7%	38%	26%	32%	30%	21%	38%	27%
Republican	219	37%	-	100%	-	-	6%	21%	73%	25%	43%	34%	34%	54%	26%	44%	44%
NPP/Other	197	33%	-	-	100%	-	20%	59%	19%	37%	31%	34%	36%	36%	29%	32%	

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%
57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)																
1 - Very liberal	70	12%	23%	3%	10%	40%	-	-	17%	10%	12%	-	5%	18%	9%	6%
2	50	8%	25%	0%	1%	29%	-	-	12%	7%	8%	-	8%	11%	9%	5%
3	55	9%	21%	1%	7%	31%	-	-	8%	7%	12%	20%	10%	9%	5%	11%
4	120	20%	16%	16%	28%	-	61%	-	19%	22%	22%	-	15%	19%	23%	20%
5	81	14%	5%	26%	8%	-	-	36%	14%	16%	9%	10%	20%	13%	17%	12%
6	65	11%	2%	25%	4%	-	-	28%	7%	12%	11%	22%	13%	7%	11%	15%
7 - Very conservative	82	14%	2%	26%	11%	-	-	36%	10%	17%	12%	24%	17%	10%	17%	16%
Prefer not to respond	77	13%	5%	2%	32%	-	39%	-	13%	10%	16%	24%	11%	13%	9%	15%
Mean	371	4.15	3.11	5.08	4.11	2.52	4.00	5.44	3.96	4.31	4.06	4.66	4.31	3.90	4.24	4.34
57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)																
1-3 Liberal	175	29%	70%	5%	18%	100%	-	-	36%	24%	31%	20%	23%	39%	23%	22%
4/(DK/Ref)	197	33%	21%	19%	59%	-	100%	-	32%	32%	37%	24%	26%	31%	31%	35%
5-7 Conservative	228	38%	9%	76%	23%	-	-	100%	31%	44%	31%	56%	50%	29%	46%	43%
58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, MR)																
Hispanic or Latino	31	5%	8%	4%	3%	6%	4%	6%	5%	4%	6%	0%	9%	6%	2%	6%
White or Caucasian	533	89%	91%	90%	86%	91%	87%	88%	89%	88%	91%	100%	85%	89%	92%	87%
Black or African American	13	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%
Something else	49	8%	4%	9%	11%	3%	10%	11%	7%	10%	6%	10%	11%	8%	7%	9%
Prefer not to respond	11	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%
58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, MR)																
White or Caucasian	533	89%	91%	90%	86%	91%	87%	88%	89%	88%	91%	100%	85%	89%	92%	87%
BIPOC	90	15%	14%	14%	17%	12%	16%	16%	15%	16%	13%	10%	20%	15%	11%	17%
Prefer not to respond	11	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%
59. Age (Self-ID)																
18-29	110	18%	22%	17%	16%	27%	15%	14%	18%	18%	17%	10%	24%	18%	18%	19%
30-39	105	18%	18%	17%	17%	19%	15%	15%	23%	18%	15%	-	12%	19%	19%	15%
40-49	95	16%	16%	11%	21%	16%	17%	15%	17%	16%	16%	20%	13%	16%	16%	16%
50-64	129	22%	15%	26%	22%	14%	24%	25%	18%	22%	21%	10%	30%	20%	21%	23%
65 or over	154	26%	29%	27%	21%	24%	23%	29%	21%	24%	30%	59%	22%	25%	24%	27%
Prefer not to respond	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	0%
Age (Self-ID and Sample)																
18-29	112	19%	22%	17%	17%	27%	15%	15%	18%	19%	17%	10%	24%	18%	19%	19%
30-39	107	18%	18%	18%	17%	19%	19%	16%	24%	18%	15%	-	12%	20%	19%	15%
40-49	96	16%	16%	11%	22%	16%	18%	15%	17%	16%	16%	20%	13%	16%	16%	16%
50-64	131	22%	15%	27%	23%	14%	25%	25%	19%	22%	21%	10%	30%	21%	21%	23%
65+/None	155	26%	29%	27%	21%	24%	23%	29%	21%	25%	30%	59%	22%	25%	25%	27%
Two-Age Split																
18-49	314	52%	56%	46%	56%	62%	52%	46%	59%	53%	48%	30%	49%	54%	54%	50%
50+	286	48%	44%	54%	44%	38%	48%	54%	41%	47%	52%	70%	51%	46%	46%	50%
Generation																
18-49	314	52%	56%	46%	56%	62%	52%	46%	59%	53%	48%	30%	49%	54%	54%	50%
50-64	131	22%	15%	27%	23%	14%	25%	25%	19%	22%	21%	10%	30%	21%	21%	23%
65+	155	26%	29%	27%	21%	24%	23%	29%	21%	25%	30%	59%	22%	25%	25%	27%
60. Education (Self-ID)																
Some grade school	1	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Some high school	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	-	2%	-	10%	2%	-	3%	1%
Graduated high school	60	10%	8%	12%	10%	13%	8%	10%	13%	12%	9%	-	4%	11%	9%	10%
Technical or Vocational school	61	10%	7%	14%	9%	7%	7%	15%	7%	13%	8%	11%	13%	9%	16%	8%
Some college or Less than 4-year degree	187	31%	29%	29%	35%	26%	34%	33%	27%	33%	33%	47%	28%	28%	34%	33%
Graduated college or 4-year degree	147	24%	24%	25%	24%	23%	28%	23%	26%	21%	27%	12%	28%	27%	20%	24%
Graduate or Professional Degree	126	21%	30%	18%	16%	29%	19%	17%	25%	17%	21%	20%	26%	23%	17%	21%
Prefer not to respond	12	2%	-	1%	5%	0%	5%	1%	2%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	2%	2%
60. Education (Self-ID)																
College Graduate	273	45%	54%	43%	40%	52%	47%	39%	50%	38%	47%	32%	54%	50%	37%	46%
Non-College Graduate	327	55%	46%	57%	60%	48%	53%	61%	50%	62%	53%	68%	46%	50%	63%	54%

	n	%	56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample		
			Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%
61. Gender (Self-ID)																
A man	283	47%	38%	59%	42%	38%	40%	60%	45%	51%	44%	65%	47%	47%	46%	48%
A woman	303	51%	60%	41%	52%	60%	55%	40%	51%	46%	55%	35%	53%	50%	51%	51%
Non-binary	4	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Another gender identity	1	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Prefer not to respond	9	2%	1%	-	4%	1%	4%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	3%	1%
61. Gender (Self-ID)																
Men	283	47%	38%	59%	42%	38%	40%	60%	45%	51%	44%	65%	47%	47%	46%	48%
Women	303	51%	60%	41%	52%	60%	55%	40%	51%	46%	55%	35%	53%	50%	51%	51%
Other/Ref	14	2%	2%	-	6%	2%	5%	-	4%	3%	1%	-	-	2%	3%	2%
Gender (Self-ID and Sample)																
Men	290	48%	39%	59%	45%	38%	44%	60%	46%	53%	45%	65%	47%	48%	48%	49%
Women	310	52%	61%	41%	55%	62%	56%	40%	54%	47%	55%	35%	53%	52%	52%	51%
Sample																
City of Spokane	240	40%	50%	28%	44%	54%	38%	31%	100%	-	45%	-	-	100%	-	-
City of Spokane Valley	120	20%	17%	24%	18%	16%	19%	24%	-	65%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Rest of SC	240	40%	32%	48%	39%	30%	43%	45%	-	35%	55%	100%	100%	-	-	100%
Sample																
City of Spokane	240	40%	50%	28%	44%	54%	38%	31%	100%	-	45%	-	-	100%	-	-
Rest of Spokane County	360	60%	50%	72%	56%	46%	62%	69%	-	100%	55%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
State House District (Sample)																
LD3	162	27%	33%	19%	30%	34%	27%	22%	100%	-	-	-	-	68%	-	-
LD4	186	31%	27%	36%	29%	25%	30%	36%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	28%
LD6	174	29%	30%	27%	30%	31%	33%	24%	-	-	100%	-	-	33%	-	40%
LD7	12	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	5%
LD9	66	11%	8%	16%	8%	9%	9%	15%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	28%
City (Sample)																
Spokane	240	58%	67%	45%	63%	70%	59%	47%	100%	-	88%	-	-	100%	-	-
Spokane Valley	121	30%	24%	39%	26%	22%	29%	37%	-	89%	-	-	-	-	100%	3%
Another City	50	12%	9%	16%	11%	8%	12%	16%	-	11%	12%	100%	100%	-	-	97%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)																
New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	307	51%	53%	49%	53%	55%	53%	47%	61%	57%	40%	20%	46%	53%	56%	47%
Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	144	24%	20%	24%	28%	20%	26%	25%	20%	21%	26%	69%	28%	23%	22%	26%
Perfect Voter (6/6)	149	25%	27%	28%	19%	25%	21%	28%	19%	22%	34%	11%	26%	24%	22%	27%

	n	56. Party ID				57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)			State House District (Sample)					Sample		
		%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/Other	1-3 Liberal	4/ (DK/Ref)	5-7 Conservative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of SC
Number of cases	600		184	219	197	175	197	228	162	186	174	12	66	240	120	240
Row percent		100%	31%	37%	33%	29%	33%	38%	27%	31%	29%	2%	11%	40%	20%	40%
Gender/Two-Age Split																
Men: 18-49	161	27%	23%	29%	28%	26%	25%	29%	30%	28%	22%	30%	29%	29%	26%	26%
Women: 18-49	154	26%	33%	17%	28%	36%	27%	17%	29%	25%	26%	-	20%	25%	28%	24%
Men: 50+	130	22%	16%	30%	17%	12%	18%	31%	16%	25%	23%	34%	18%	19%	22%	23%
Women: 50+	156	26%	28%	24%	27%	26%	29%	23%	24%	22%	29%	35%	33%	27%	24%	27%
Gender/Generation Split																
Men: 18-49	161	27%	23%	29%	28%	26%	25%	29%	30%	28%	22%	30%	29%	29%	26%	26%
Women: 18-49	154	26%	33%	17%	28%	36%	27%	17%	29%	25%	26%	-	20%	25%	28%	24%
Men: 50-64	60	10%	6%	15%	7%	4%	8%	16%	7%	13%	9%	10%	11%	9%	11%	10%
Women: 50-64	71	12%	9%	11%	15%	10%	17%	9%	12%	9%	13%	-	19%	12%	10%	13%
Men: 65+	70	12%	10%	15%	10%	8%	10%	15%	9%	12%	14%	24%	7%	10%	12%	13%
Women: 65+	85	14%	19%	12%	11%	16%	13%	14%	12%	12%	16%	35%	15%	15%	13%	14%
Gender/Homeowner																
Men: Homeowner	211	35%	26%	46%	32%	25%	31%	47%	32%	40%	34%	44%	28%	34%	35%	37%
Women: Homeowner	195	33%	36%	30%	32%	34%	36%	28%	34%	26%	35%	23%	42%	34%	30%	32%
Men: Non-Homeowner	80	13%	13%	13%	13%	14%	13%	13%	14%	13%	11%	20%	18%	14%	13%	12%
Women: Non-Homeowner	114	19%	25%	11%	22%	27%	20%	12%	20%	21%	20%	12%	11%	18%	22%	19%
Party/Two-Age Split																
Democrat: 18-49	163	27%	40%	18%	26%	44%	25%	16%	33%	25%	25%	20%	27%	32%	26%	23%
Republican: 18-49	81	14%	5%	20%	14%	5%	13%	20%	11%	15%	14%	10%	14%	10%	13%	17%
NPP/Other: 18-49	70	12%	11%	8%	16%	13%	13%	9%	15%	13%	9%	-	7%	12%	15%	10%
Democrat: 50+	104	17%	35%	9%	11%	32%	16%	8%	18%	15%	20%	-	17%	23%	15%	13%
Republican: 50+	145	24%	5%	39%	26%	4%	25%	39%	17%	23%	27%	70%	29%	18%	25%	30%
NPP/Other: 50+	37	6%	5%	6%	7%	3%	8%	7%	6%	9%	4%	-	6%	5%	6%	7%
Gender/Party																
Men: Democrat	106	18%	24%	15%	15%	25%	16%	13%	19%	17%	18%	20%	16%	22%	16%	14%
Women: Democrat	162	27%	51%	11%	22%	51%	25%	10%	33%	23%	28%	-	28%	33%	25%	22%
Men: Republican	122	20%	6%	34%	19%	5%	17%	35%	14%	23%	21%	45%	21%	15%	23%	23%
Women: Republican	104	17%	3%	26%	21%	4%	22%	24%	13%	15%	20%	35%	22%	13%	15%	24%
Men: NPP/Other	63	11%	9%	10%	12%	9%	11%	12%	14%	13%	7%	-	9%	11%	9%	11%
Women: NPP/Other	44	7%	7%	4%	11%	7%	10%	5%	7%	9%	7%	-	4%	6%	12%	6%

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
QOL Index		3.67	3.66	3.27	4.09	6.18	5.15	4.00	3.56	3.37	3.49	3.96	3.57	3.65
3. Right direction/Wrong track: Spokane region														
Right direction	240	40%	39%	34%	49%	71%	62%	45%	39%	35%	40%	44%	35%	40%
Wrong track	355	59%	60%	66%	50%	29%	36%	54%	61%	64%	59%	55%	65%	58%
(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
4. What is the best thing about living in the Spokane region? (OE)														
Nature/Scenery/Landscape	150	25%	27%	24%	21%	0%	26%	24%	27%	25%	20%	34%	17%	28%
Outdoor recreation/Access to public land	119	20%	20%	21%	18%	41%	32%	22%	19%	17%	18%	21%	27%	15%
Location/Proximity/Accessibility	102	17%	17%	17%	17%	41%	34%	15%	12%	16%	19%	18%	12%	18%
Climate/Weather/Has all 4 seasons	77	13%	12%	11%	17%	30%	14%	9%	12%	14%	8%	11%	14%	18%
Small town feel	55	9%	8%	12%	9%	0%	11%	12%	11%	8%	10%	12%	6%	9%
Community feel/Friendly people	49	8%	6%	8%	14%	0%	3%	10%	7%	9%	9%	6%	8%	10%
Entertainment/Activities/Businesses	42	7%	8%	6%	6%	0%	1%	12%	6%	7%	9%	7%	8%	4%
Close to family/Family oriented	35	6%	6%	6%	5%	30%	3%	1%	3%	8%	7%	6%	7%	4%
Cost of living/Affordability	31	5%	6%	4%	5%	0%	2%	7%	8%	5%	7%	6%	5%	2%
Diversity/Culture	7	1%	0%	3%	2%	0%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Access to healthcare	7	1%	1%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%
Other	46	8%	7%	10%	8%	0%	6%	10%	9%	7%	8%	5%	12%	7%
Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	26	4%	3%	7%	5%	29%	4%	1%	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	6%
5. And what are the issues facing the Spokane region that you are most frustrated or concerned about? (OE)														
Homelessness	228	38%	38%	35%	40%	41%	31%	35%	42%	39%	34%	37%	36%	44%
Crime/Drugs/Public Safety	143	24%	22%	27%	25%	0%	16%	23%	24%	26%	26%	22%	16%	30%
Taxes/High Taxes	97	16%	14%	20%	18%	0%	11%	10%	15%	19%	17%	12%	20%	16%
Inflation/Cost of living	85	14%	16%	12%	13%	0%	14%	16%	12%	15%	15%	18%	11%	12%
The government/Politics	78	13%	13%	12%	15%	0%	9%	14%	13%	14%	15%	7%	20%	11%
Affordable housing/Housing	69	11%	12%	12%	10%	0%	15%	12%	13%	10%	9%	18%	6%	12%
Infrastructure/Roads	45	7%	6%	8%	9%	29%	18%	5%	9%	6%	7%	9%	8%	5%
Government spending/Budget	21	4%	3%	5%	4%	0%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	7%	1%
Lack of mental health services/Healthcare	15	3%	4%	2%	1%	0%	8%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%
Jobs/Economy	14	2%	4%	0%	2%	0%	4%	0%	4%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%
Law enforcement/Lack of police	13	2%	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	4%	1%	2%	2%
Garbage/Filth	8	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Other	47	8%	8%	8%	8%	30%	8%	7%	5%	8%	9%	5%	10%	8%
None/Don't know/No opinion	5	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)				55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
6. Do you think the overall quality of life in the Spokane region is getting better, staying the same, or getting worse?														
Getting much better	9	2%	2%	-	3%	-	-	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Getting somewhat better	78	13%	14%	10%	15%	41%	17%	11%	14%	12%	15%	14%	10%	12%
Staying the same	137	23%	22%	22%	24%	30%	40%	31%	21%	18%	22%	30%	23%	16%
Getting somewhat worse	232	39%	40%	38%	36%	29%	30%	41%	40%	39%	36%	36%	41%	43%
Getting much worse	144	24%	22%	31%	23%	-	13%	15%	23%	29%	26%	19%	25%	26%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. Do you think the overall quality of life in the Spokane region is getting better, staying the same, or getting worse?														
Better	87	15%	16%	10%	17%	41%	17%	13%	16%	14%	16%	15%	12%	14%
Same/(DK)	137	23%	22%	22%	24%	30%	40%	31%	21%	18%	22%	30%	23%	16%
Worse	376	63%	62%	69%	58%	29%	43%	56%	63%	68%	61%	55%	65%	70%
Net Better	-289	-48	-46	-59	-41	+12	-26	-43	-46	-54	-45	-40	-53	-55
7. Have you actively considered moving out of the area?														
Yes	406	68%	70%	69%	62%	29%	53%	67%	71%	70%	75%	66%	66%	63%
No	194	32%	30%	31%	38%	71%	47%	33%	29%	30%	25%	34%	34%	37%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Have you actively considered moving out of the area?														
Yes	406	68%	70%	69%	62%	29%	53%	67%	71%	70%	75%	66%	66%	63%
No/(DK/Ref)	194	32%	30%	31%	38%	71%	47%	33%	29%	30%	25%	34%	34%	37%
Net Yes	+212	+35	+40	+38	+23	-42	+6	+34	+41	+39	+50	+33	+32	+25
8. What is the main reason you have considered moving? (OE)														
Taxes	129	21%	16%	25%	30%	0%	21%	19%	14%	25%	22%	11%	28%	26%
Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	100	17%	20%	17%	10%	0%	11%	19%	19%	16%	15%	17%	11%	22%
Government/Leadership/Politics	117	19%	14%	25%	24%	0%	9%	16%	17%	23%	23%	12%	23%	19%
Crime/Drugs/Public safety	80	13%	13%	15%	11%	0%	8%	13%	15%	14%	14%	14%	10%	15%
Homelessness	41	7%	6%	10%	5%	0%	0%	4%	7%	8%	5%	8%	9%	5%
Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	31	5%	7%	3%	3%	0%	11%	2%	6%	5%	8%	5%	3%	5%
Declining quality of life	27	5%	5%	7%	1%	0%	3%	10%	3%	4%	4%	7%	4%	3%
Growth/Development/Space Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	1%	4%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%
Weather	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	4%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%
Schools/School district/SPS/Education	11	2%	2%	3%	1%	0%	0%	2%	5%	1%	3%	4%	0%	0%
Weather	10	2%	2%	0%	1%	29%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Traffic/Congestion	9	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Closer to family	7	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	5%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Want to move abroad	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Other	14	2%	3%	1%	3%	0%	6%	0%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%
None/Don't know/No opinion	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split				
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+	
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156	
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%	
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	65	11%	11%	8%	15%	30%	17%	9%	13%	10%	9%	16%	10%	9%
	Somewhat agree	215	36%	36%	35%	37%	-	56%	52%	27%	32%	36%	36%	39%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	181	30%	31%	30%	30%	70%	12%	24%	35%	33%	30%	26%	25%	38%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	138 -	23% -	23% -	28% -	19% -	- -	15% -	15% -	25% -	26% -	25% -	22% -	26% -	20% -
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Strongly agree	332	55%	53%	60%	56%	-	34%	57%	59%	57%	61%	54%	56%	51%
	Somewhat agree	179	30%	31%	26%	32%	59%	39%	36%	28%	27%	24%	33%	31%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	61	10%	12%	8%	10%	41%	23%	4%	8%	10%	11%	10%	8%	12%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	26 2	4% 0%	5% 0%	5% -	2% 0%	- -	4% -	2% 1%	4% -	5% 0%	5% -	3% -	5% 1%	5% 1%
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Strongly agree	166	28%	31%	23%	24%	71%	41%	28%	30%	24%	31%	34%	21%	23%
	Somewhat agree	228	38%	38%	37%	39%	29%	38%	42%	37%	38%	34%	36%	46%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	119	20%	17%	24%	20%	-	14%	21%	19%	21%	19%	25%	15%	
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	88 -	15% -	13% -	16% -	16% -	- -	7% -	9% -	14% -	18% -	14% -	11% -	8% -	24% -
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Strongly agree	53	9%	11%	6%	8%	41%	16%	8%	11%	7%	10%	9%	9%	7%
	Somewhat agree	99	17%	16%	15%	18%	29%	25%	13%	14%	17%	17%	18%	17%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	135	22%	23%	20%	23%	30%	29%	24%	20%	22%	24%	21%	29%	16%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	312 1	52% 0%	50% 0%	59% -	51% -	- -	30% -	53% 1%	55% -	55% -	49% -	52% -	46% -	60% 1%
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Strongly agree	180	30%	28%	31%	33%	-	18%	26%	26%	34%	30%	23%	31%	35%
	Somewhat agree	228	38%	43%	37%	29%	29%	36%	37%	39%	38%	40%	39%	37%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	132	22%	20%	22%	25%	71%	35%	24%	26%	18%	22%	25%	24%	18%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	47 13	8% 2%	7% 2%	5% 4%	11% 2%	- -	11% -	8% 4%	9% 1%	7% 2%	8% -	12% 1%	3% 4%	8% 3%
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	122	20%	25%	15%	16%	71%	33%	26%	25%	15%	24%	26%	12%	18%
	Somewhat agree	175	29%	31%	30%	26%	-	31%	27%	32%	29%	28%	35%	28%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	127	21%	20%	22%	23%	-	20%	20%	19%	23%	21%	18%	24%	23%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	175 1	29% 0%	24% 0%	34% -	35% -	29% -	16% -	28% -	23% 1%	34% -	27% -	21% -	36% 1%	34% -
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	38	6%	6%	7%	6%	-	6%	9%	4%	7%	7%	7%	6%	5%
	Somewhat agree	177	30%	26%	32%	34%	-	50%	30%	33%	25%	27%	31%	25%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	180	30%	34%	26%	26%	100%	28%	34%	26%	30%	28%	29%	33%	31%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	203 1	34% 0%	34% 0%	35% -	33% -	- -	14% -	27% 3%	37% -	38% -	39% -	32% 1%	36% -	29% -
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Strongly agree	194	32%	26%	37%	41%	-	18%	33%	19%	39%	24%	20%	43%	44%
	Somewhat agree	198	33%	32%	31%	37%	-	30%	25%	39%	33%	38%	21%	38%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	116	19%	22%	17%	16%	59%	27%	22%	17%	18%	23%	26%	15%	13%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	92 -	15% -	20% -	16% -	6% -	41% -	24% -	20% -	25% -	9% -	15% -	33% -	3% -	9% -

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety														
Strongly agree	26	4%	3%	4%	7%	-	4%	1%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%
Somewhat agree	130	22%	19%	21%	27%	-	29%	21%	22%	21%	14%	25%	24%	26%
Somewhat disagree	197	33%	34%	32%	32%	41%	46%	34%	30%	31%	33%	33%	36%	29%
Strongly disagree	246	41%	43%	44%	34%	59%	22%	41%	44%	43%	49%	38%	35%	40%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively														
Strongly agree	217	36%	33%	35%	44%	-	24%	35%	33%	40%	43%	26%	42%	34%
Somewhat agree	217	36%	39%	37%	29%	70%	41%	34%	36%	36%	32%	37%	41%	35%
Somewhat disagree	128	21%	21%	21%	23%	30%	25%	23%	25%	19%	16%	27%	14%	27%
Strongly disagree	31	5%	6%	5%	4%	-	5%	5%	6%	5%	8%	7%	3%	3%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	1%	-	-	5%	4%	-	0%	-	3%	-	1%
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety														
Strongly agree	334	56%	54%	57%	58%	-	48%	64%	49%	57%	64%	51%	52%	55%
Somewhat agree	221	37%	39%	36%	34%	41%	47%	29%	44%	34%	30%	39%	42%	38%
Somewhat disagree	40	7%	5%	8%	8%	59%	5%	6%	5%	7%	5%	9%	4%	7%
Strongly disagree	5	1%	1%	-	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community														
Strongly agree	68	11%	10%	12%	14%	-	16%	11%	11%	11%	11%	14%	7%	12%
Somewhat agree	224	37%	40%	35%	34%	30%	45%	51%	38%	33%	33%	42%	36%	39%
Somewhat disagree	142	24%	24%	25%	21%	41%	17%	21%	21%	26%	22%	24%	25%	24%
Strongly disagree	159	27%	24%	28%	30%	29%	15%	16%	29%	30%	30%	20%	32%	25%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	-	-	-	6%	1%	1%	0%	4%	-	1%	-
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health														
Strongly agree	356	59%	60%	54%	62%	29%	62%	65%	53%	60%	59%	62%	59%	57%
Somewhat agree	173	29%	26%	33%	30%	30%	27%	25%	36%	27%	23%	31%	29%	33%
Somewhat disagree	42	7%	8%	8%	4%	41%	9%	3%	4%	8%	10%	5%	8%	6%
Strongly disagree	29	5%	5%	5%	4%	-	2%	6%	6%	5%	8%	2%	4%	5%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure														
Strongly agree	200	33%	31%	36%	35%	100%	42%	45%	36%	28%	33%	40%	27%	32%
Somewhat agree	254	42%	44%	42%	40%	-	43%	38%	47%	42%	36%	42%	48%	45%
Somewhat disagree	86	14%	13%	14%	17%	-	6%	6%	8%	20%	14%	11%	16%	16%
Strongly disagree	60	10%	12%	8%	8%	-	10%	11%	10%	10%	16%	7%	9%	8%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area														
Strongly agree	56	9%	10%	7%	11%	-	17%	15%	5%	8%	11%	13%	9%	5%
Somewhat agree	229	38%	40%	36%	37%	41%	51%	32%	41%	37%	39%	39%	40%	35%
Somewhat disagree	200	33%	32%	33%	36%	59%	24%	34%	33%	34%	30%	32%	31%	39%
Strongly disagree	112	19%	18%	23%	16%	-	8%	15%	20%	21%	20%	15%	20%	21%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)				55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	280	47%	47%	42%	51%	30%	73%	61%	40%	41%	45%	52%	49%	42%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	320	53%	53%	58%	49%	70%	27%	39%	60%	59%	55%	48%	51%	58%
Net Agree	-39	-7	-7	-16	+3	-41	+46	+22	-20	-17	-11	+4	-2	-16
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	511	85%	83%	87%	88%	59%	73%	93%	87%	85%	84%	87%	87%	82%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	87	14%	16%	13%	12%	41%	27%	5%	13%	15%	16%	13%	12%	17%
Net Agree	+425	+71	+67	+73	+76	+18	+46	+88	+75	+70	+69	+75	+75	+66
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	394	66%	69%	60%	63%	100%	79%	70%	67%	62%	65%	70%	67%	60%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	206	34%	31%	40%	37%	-	21%	30%	33%	38%	35%	30%	33%	40%
Net Agree	+188	+31	+39	+20	+26	-	+58	+40	+34	+23	+31	+40	+35	+20
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	152	25%	27%	21%	26%	70%	41%	21%	26%	23%	26%	27%	26%	22%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	447	74%	73%	79%	74%	30%	59%	77%	74%	77%	74%	73%	74%	77%
Net Agree	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
Net Agree	-295	-49	-46	-58	-48	+41	-19	-56	-49	-53	-47	-46	-49	-54
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	408	68%	71%	69%	62%	29%	55%	63%	65%	73%	71%	62%	69%	70%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	179	30%	28%	28%	36%	71%	45%	32%	35%	25%	29%	37%	27%	26%
Net Agree	13	2%	2%	4%	2%	-	-	4%	1%	2%	-	1%	4%	3%
Net Agree	+228	+38	+43	+41	+26	-42	+9	+31	+30	+48	+41	+26	+42	+44
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	297	50%	56%	44%	42%	71%	64%	52%	57%	44%	52%	62%	40%	43%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	302	50%	44%	56%	58%	29%	36%	48%	42%	56%	48%	38%	60%	57%
Net Agree	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-
Net Agree	-4	-1	+12	-11	-16	+42	+27	+5	+15	-12	+5	+23	-20	-14
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	215	36%	32%	39%	40%	-	56%	39%	37%	32%	33%	39%	31%	40%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	383	64%	68%	61%	60%	100%	41%	61%	63%	68%	67%	60%	69%	60%
Net Agree	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Net Agree	-168	-28	-36	-21	-19	-	+15	-23	-26	-36	-33	-22	-38	-20
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	392	65%	58%	68%	78%	-	49%	58%	58%	73%	62%	41%	82%	78%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	208	35%	42%	32%	22%	100%	51%	42%	42%	27%	38%	59%	18%	22%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Agree	+183	+31	+16	+35	+55	-	-3	+16	+16	+45	+24	-17	+64	+57
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	156	26%	23%	25%	34%	-	32%	23%	26%	26%	19%	28%	29%	29%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	443	74%	77%	75%	66%	100%	68%	76%	74%	74%	81%	72%	71%	70%
Net Agree	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
Net Agree	-287	-48	-54	-51	-32	-	-35	-53	-47	-48	-63	-43	-43	-41

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	434	72%	72%	72%	74%	70%	65%	69%	69%	75%	76%	63%	83%	69%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	159	26%	26%	27%	26%	30%	30%	27%	31%	24%	24%	33%	17%	30%
Net Agree	7	1%	2%	1%	-	-	5%	4%	-	0%	-	3%	-	1%
	+275	+46	+45	+45	+47	+41	+35	+42	+38	+51	+52	+30	+66	+38
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	555	93%	93%	92%	92%	41%	95%	94%	94%	92%	93%	90%	94%	93%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	45	7%	7%	8%	8%	59%	5%	6%	6%	8%	7%	10%	6%	7%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+510	+85	+86	+84	+83	-18	+91	+88	+87	+84	+87	+80	+89	+85
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	292	49%	49%	47%	49%	30%	61%	62%	49%	44%	44%	56%	43%	51%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	301	50%	48%	53%	51%	70%	33%	37%	50%	56%	52%	44%	57%	49%
Net Agree	7	1%	2%	-	-	-	6%	1%	1%	0%	4%	-	1%	-
	-9	-1	+1	-6	-3	-41	+28	+25	-1	-12	-8	+12	-14	+2
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	529	88%	86%	87%	92%	59%	89%	91%	90%	87%	82%	93%	89%	90%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	71	12%	14%	13%	8%	41%	11%	9%	10%	13%	18%	7%	11%	10%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+457	+76	+73	+75	+85	+18	+77	+81	+79	+74	+63	+86	+77	+79
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure	454	76%	75%	77%	75%	100%	85%	83%	83%	70%	69%	82%	75%	77%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	146	24%	25%	23%	25%	-	15%	17%	17%	30%	31%	18%	25%	23%
Net Agree	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	+308	+51	+50	+55	+50	-	+69	+66	+65	+40	+38	+64	+50	+53
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	285	48%	49%	43%	48%	41%	68%	48%	46%	45%	50%	52%	49%	39%
Disagree (DK/Ref)	312	52%	50%	57%	52%	59%	32%	49%	54%	55%	50%	47%	51%	60%
Net Agree	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
	-27	-5	-1	-14	-3	-18	+35	-2	-7	-11	+0	+5	-2	-21

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)				55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
24. What recent policy decisions have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive? (OE)														
Taxes	132	32%	29%	35%	38%	-	25%	30%	29%	35%	31%	31%	39%	31%
Homelessness/Encampment	43	10%	9%	13%	12%	-	9%	11%	8%	11%	13%	5%	9%	14%
Politicians/Government	38	9%	11%	5%	10%	100%	9%	12%	9%	8%	11%	8%	9%	8%
Crime/Drugs/Law enforcement	26	6%	5%	6%	10%	-	5%	6%	4%	7%	8%	4%	7%	7%
Parking cost/Parking tax	17	4%	3%	6%	5%	-	9%	6%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	7%
Cost of living	16	4%	5%	3%	2%	-	-	2%	7%	4%	2%	6%	2%	5%
Minimum wage increases	12	3%	3%	2%	4%	-	7%	5%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%
Affordable housing	9	2%	3%	2%	1%	-	5%	-	3%	2%	-	3%	2%	4%
Other	31	8%	9%	9%	3%	-	4%	4%	14%	7%	13%	4%	6%	6%
None/Nothing	6	1%	1%	3%	1%	-	-	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	-
Don't Know/Unsure	58	14%	17%	13%	9%	-	22%	17%	16%	12%	10%	25%	12%	12%
N/A/Refused/No comment	22	5%	6%	4%	5%	-	3%	8%	4%	5%	6%	8%	5%	3%
25. Why do you agree that growth and development has been a positive for your area? (OE)														
Economic Growth and Job Opportunities	43	15%	19%	11%	10%	-	15%	15%	24%	12%	21%	10%	18%	11%
General Positive	43	15%	16%	12%	15%	-	18%	24%	16%	12%	10%	22%	19%	9%
Business Expansion and Diversity	41	14%	14%	12%	16%	-	10%	13%	11%	17%	14%	13%	16%	14%
Community and Social Development	33	12%	14%	8%	10%	-	18%	9%	5%	13%	12%	14%	8%	12%
Housing Improvements (Affordability/Stock/Value)	27	10%	9%	7%	12%	100%	13%	5%	10%	9%	7%	12%	4%	15%
Infrastructure Development and Improvement	23	8%	7%	15%	5%	-	6%	12%	7%	8%	12%	10%	2%	6%
Growth's Positives and Negatives	20	7%	3%	9%	14%	-	2%	3%	3%	11%	1%	4%	10%	16%
Population Increase and Urban Spread	19	7%	5%	11%	6%	-	14%	-	10%	5%	9%	9%	5%	3%
Environmental and Recreational Enhancements	8	3%	2%	2%	5%	-	2%	2%	4%	3%	5%	1%	2%	3%
Other	5	2%	0%	3%	3%	-	-	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%
Don't know/Nothing/Refused	24	8%	10%	10%	4%	-	3%	12%	8%	9%	7%	5%	14%	9%
25. Why do you disagree that growth and development has been a positive for your area? (OE)														
Overcrowding and Infrastructure Strain	69	22%	21%	19%	28%	50%	41%	23%	15%	22%	17%	16%	30%	25%
Poor Urban Planning and Development Decisions	50	16%	17%	18%	12%	50%	17%	16%	22%	14%	16%	19%	14%	15%
Leadership and Governance Criticisms	33	10%	10%	10%	12%	-	-	9%	9%	12%	10%	9%	13%	10%
Housing Affordability and Accessibility Issues	30	10%	9%	11%	9%	-	-	8%	6%	12%	9%	10%	7%	11%
Traffic Congestion and Transportation Issues	28	9%	10%	5%	10%	-	15%	6%	10%	9%	9%	10%	10%	7%
General Negative	19	6%	6%	7%	6%	-	5%	10%	6%	6%	3%	8%	10%	5%
Increased Crime and Safety Concerns	18	6%	6%	7%	3%	-	-	3%	7%	6%	5%	8%	4%	6%
Businesses Closing	17	5%	6%	5%	4%	-	9%	10%	5%	4%	6%	7%	2%	6%
Inflation	17	5%	6%	4%	5%	-	6%	8%	6%	5%	11%	4%	4%	3%
Increase in Homelessness	14	4%	5%	3%	3%	-	-	3%	11%	3%	5%	6%	2%	4%
Other	13	4%	2%	6%	6%	-	7%	2%	1%	5%	7%	1%	2%	7%
Don't know/Nothing/Refused	6	2%	1%	5%	1%	-	-	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration						Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
26. Which level of government do you think has the greatest impact on your quality of life?														
Local government, such as city or county government	227	38%	40%	35%	37%	-	45%	32%	46%	36%	42%	37%	36%	36%
State government	270	45%	41%	51%	48%	-	36%	49%	39%	48%	48%	41%	47%	45%
Federal government	100	17%	19%	14%	14%	100%	19%	19%	15%	16%	10%	21%	17%	19%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways														
1 - Very little impact	43	7%	10%	7%	3%	71%	8%	7%	13%	5%	8%	13%	4%	3%
2	18	3%	3%	3%	2%	-	5%	1%	5%	2%	2%	6%	1%	2%
3	25	4%	5%	3%	4%	-	6%	3%	4%	4%	3%	8%	4%	2%
4	62	10%	12%	9%	9%	-	21%	12%	7%	9%	8%	17%	7%	9%
5	58	10%	10%	5%	14%	29%	11%	13%	5%	10%	10%	7%	8%	13%
6	62	10%	10%	10%	10%	-	9%	14%	10%	10%	8%	10%	13%	11%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	333	56%	50%	65%	58%	-	39%	50%	56%	60%	61%	38%	63%	61%
Mean	600	5.65	5.40	5.91	5.93	2.17	5.05	5.65	5.39	5.87	5.76	4.82	6.08	6.01
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns														
1 - Very little impact	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%
2	8	1%	2%	1%	0%	-	5%	-	1%	1%	0%	2%	3%	-
3	31	5%	5%	7%	4%	41%	9%	4%	6%	4%	7%	5%	6%	3%
4	54	9%	9%	6%	13%	59%	10%	4%	10%	9%	10%	8%	8%	10%
5	127	21%	21%	22%	20%	-	24%	19%	17%	23%	18%	26%	25%	17%
6	139	23%	25%	22%	20%	-	27%	27%	28%	20%	26%	22%	24%	21%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	228	38%	36%	40%	41%	-	22%	42%	35%	41%	37%	34%	33%	48%
Mean	599	5.69	5.63	5.76	5.73	3.59	5.15	5.90	5.57	5.78	5.66	5.48	5.60	6.00
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break-ins														
1 - Very little impact	11	2%	2%	2%	0%	-	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%	3%	1%	-
2	15	3%	3%	1%	3%	-	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	6%	2%	1%
3	21	3%	4%	3%	3%	-	8%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	6%	3%
4	56	9%	10%	8%	8%	59%	13%	8%	11%	8%	12%	12%	4%	7%
5	110	18%	20%	19%	15%	41%	26%	24%	21%	15%	22%	20%	16%	15%
6	127	21%	20%	21%	24%	-	19%	24%	22%	21%	17%	19%	21%	28%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	261	43%	41%	46%	46%	-	30%	38%	36%	50%	41%	37%	51%	46%
Mean	600	5.77	5.65	5.89	5.90	4.41	5.37	5.75	5.53	5.93	5.66	5.43	6.00	6.03
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure														
1 - Very little impact	7	1%	1%	2%	0%	-	-	-	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%
2	14	2%	2%	4%	1%	-	-	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%
3	22	4%	4%	3%	3%	-	5%	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%
4	75	12%	11%	16%	13%	-	14%	13%	12%	12%	14%	10%	12%	14%
5	162	27%	25%	29%	28%	-	26%	33%	26%	26%	32%	29%	24%	22%
6	120	20%	22%	13%	22%	41%	19%	21%	16%	21%	20%	16%	23%	21%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	200	33%	34%	32%	33%	59%	36%	25%	35%	34%	29%	36%	34%	34%
Mean	600	5.55	5.58	5.37	5.66	6.59	5.67	5.39	5.46	5.59	5.53	5.55	5.62	5.50

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration						Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business														
1 - Very little impact	9	1%	2%	2%	-	-	-	2%	5%	0%	3%	-	2%	1%
2	10	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	4%	4%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%
3	18	3%	3%	2%	3%	71%	1%	4%	6%	1%	2%	2%	5%	3%
4	59	10%	11%	9%	8%	-	12%	9%	10%	10%	9%	12%	8%	10%
5	125	21%	21%	15%	26%	-	31%	17%	22%	20%	17%	22%	23%	21%
6	124	21%	16%	27%	24%	29%	7%	18%	21%	23%	25%	14%	19%	24%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	255	42%	44%	44%	38%	-	43%	44%	36%	45%	41%	48%	41%	39%
Mean	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
Mean	599	5.79	5.73	5.89	5.83	3.88	5.66	5.68	5.47	5.96	5.74	5.89	5.72	5.80
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence														
1 - Very little impact	15	3%	1%	5%	3%	-	3%	5%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%
2	12	2%	1%	2%	4%	-	-	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
3	46	8%	9%	8%	4%	-	14%	6%	9%	7%	10%	7%	7%	6%
4	65	11%	12%	10%	10%	30%	14%	7%	8%	12%	15%	7%	13%	9%
5	91	15%	15%	15%	15%	41%	20%	9%	20%	14%	18%	17%	18%	9%
6	106	18%	19%	19%	14%	29%	22%	19%	21%	15%	17%	20%	16%	17%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	263	44%	43%	40%	50%	-	28%	51%	38%	47%	35%	43%	41%	57%
Mean	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	1%
Mean	599	5.63	5.66	5.47	5.72	5.00	5.22	5.76	5.57	5.69	5.33	5.61	5.56	6.02
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing														
1 - Very little impact	60	10%	9%	13%	10%	-	3%	9%	7%	12%	14%	8%	10%	8%
2	38	6%	4%	8%	10%	-	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	3%	13%	4%
3	68	11%	12%	12%	9%	-	7%	15%	10%	12%	15%	9%	8%	13%
4	111	18%	20%	14%	19%	-	16%	21%	15%	20%	18%	16%	21%	19%
5	122	20%	20%	20%	21%	59%	23%	17%	25%	19%	15%	23%	28%	17%
6	53	9%	5%	14%	13%	-	6%	8%	7%	10%	5%	8%	6%	16%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	147	25%	30%	19%	19%	41%	40%	23%	29%	21%	27%	33%	13%	23%
Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	4.57	4.73	4.36	4.46	5.82	5.31	4.48	4.80	4.39	4.38	4.98	4.14	4.74
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success														
1 - Very little impact	52	9%	7%	10%	11%	-	1%	8%	7%	11%	14%	1%	11%	9%
2	31	5%	4%	8%	5%	-	2%	4%	8%	5%	7%	4%	8%	2%
3	44	7%	6%	10%	8%	-	5%	4%	7%	9%	11%	3%	8%	8%
4	58	10%	8%	11%	12%	-	6%	6%	8%	12%	8%	6%	13%	12%
5	93	16%	16%	13%	17%	-	28%	16%	13%	15%	12%	14%	17%	20%
6	91	15%	15%	16%	15%	-	11%	18%	17%	15%	10%	19%	17%	15%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	230	38%	44%	32%	32%	100%	46%	44%	40%	35%	38%	53%	27%	34%
Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	5.17	5.45	4.85	4.91	7.00	5.75	5.48	5.22	4.97	4.79	5.95	4.76	5.14
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health														
1 - Very little impact	40	7%	6%	7%	8%	-	3%	2%	9%	8%	11%	1%	9%	5%
2	28	5%	5%	4%	4%	30%	2%	4%	5%	5%	8%	4%	6%	1%
3	34	6%	5%	8%	4%	-	6%	3%	5%	7%	7%	3%	6%	7%
4	53	9%	9%	12%	6%	-	10%	11%	9%	8%	12%	8%	9%	6%
5	95	16%	12%	16%	24%	29%	17%	18%	15%	15%	11%	10%	25%	18%
6	87	14%	15%	11%	16%	41%	14%	16%	13%	14%	10%	17%	16%	15%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	263	44%	47%	41%	39%	-	49%	47%	43%	43%	41%	56%	28%	48%
Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	5.41	5.51	5.23	5.40	4.52	5.73	5.76	5.29	5.33	4.98	5.98	4.97	5.68

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration						Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas														
1 - Very little impact	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	3%
2	12	2%	1%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	-	3%	3%
3	33	5%	5%	5%	7%	-	2%	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	8%	3%
4	79	13%	14%	11%	14%	29%	12%	11%	15%	13%	21%	8%	12%	12%
5	159	27%	25%	27%	30%	-	28%	22%	24%	28%	24%	28%	31%	23%
6	128	21%	20%	26%	20%	-	24%	23%	16%	22%	17%	22%	22%	25%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	181	30%	34%	27%	25%	71%	31%	35%	34%	27%	30%	36%	23%	30%
Mean	600	5.47	5.57	5.45	5.28	6.12	5.65	5.63	5.42	5.41	5.37	5.73	5.27	5.47
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs														
1 - Very little impact	18	3%	3%	5%	2%	-	6%	2%	3%	3%	6%	1%	2%	3%
2	15	3%	2%	2%	4%	-	5%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%
3	31	5%	3%	8%	6%	30%	1%	6%	7%	5%	9%	3%	5%	3%
4	90	15%	16%	13%	15%	-	11%	13%	18%	15%	18%	17%	14%	10%
5	142	24%	24%	29%	18%	41%	31%	26%	22%	23%	24%	22%	27%	23%
6	120	20%	22%	15%	21%	-	17%	23%	18%	20%	16%	22%	20%	22%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	184	31%	30%	28%	35%	29%	29%	28%	30%	32%	22%	34%	29%	38%
Mean	600	5.36	5.39	5.18	5.47	4.99	5.24	5.40	5.28	5.40	4.85	5.59	5.38	5.64
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit														
1 - Very little impact	51	8%	6%	7%	14%	-	2%	7%	9%	10%	10%	3%	12%	9%
2	22	4%	2%	7%	4%	-	-	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	5%
3	64	11%	8%	15%	12%	-	13%	13%	5%	12%	16%	6%	10%	11%
4	110	18%	19%	15%	19%	-	15%	10%	24%	19%	21%	15%	21%	17%
5	104	17%	15%	22%	18%	-	19%	19%	14%	18%	15%	17%	20%	19%
6	85	14%	17%	11%	12%	41%	16%	26%	8%	13%	9%	20%	12%	15%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	163	27%	33%	22%	21%	59%	34%	24%	36%	24%	26%	36%	21%	24%
Mean	600	4.84	5.17	4.58	4.41	6.59	5.35	5.06	5.01	4.64	4.61	5.44	4.53	4.75
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning														
1 - Very little impact	48	8%	7%	11%	7%	-	3%	6%	8%	9%	12%	1%	12%	7%
2	31	5%	5%	6%	6%	-	4%	8%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	3%
3	37	6%	5%	7%	7%	-	11%	5%	6%	6%	10%	4%	7%	4%
4	64	11%	11%	9%	12%	-	9%	9%	10%	12%	9%	6%	13%	14%
5	111	19%	17%	18%	21%	-	21%	16%	14%	20%	17%	15%	22%	22%
6	80	13%	13%	16%	11%	-	8%	16%	18%	12%	15%	12%	11%	15%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	229	38%	42%	33%	36%	100%	43%	39%	40%	36%	30%	56%	30%	36%
Mean	600	5.19	5.33	4.97	5.12	7.00	5.37	5.26	5.27	5.10	4.79	5.89	4.77	5.27
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities														
1 - Very little impact	49	8%	6%	12%	9%	-	5%	12%	6%	8%	16%	4%	6%	5%
2	36	6%	5%	6%	9%	-	1%	-	7%	8%	9%	2%	6%	7%
3	56	9%	9%	10%	10%	-	12%	9%	5%	11%	5%	7%	22%	6%
4	78	13%	13%	9%	18%	-	13%	11%	13%	14%	13%	9%	15%	16%
5	141	23%	24%	22%	24%	29%	26%	24%	27%	22%	20%	25%	21%	27%
6	95	16%	15%	18%	15%	-	10%	21%	13%	17%	15%	15%	17%	17%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	145	24%	28%	24%	16%	71%	33%	24%	30%	21%	22%	38%	13%	22%
Mean	600	4.82	5.02	4.73	4.49	6.42	5.14	4.93	5.05	4.65	4.46	5.46	4.42	4.89

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)				55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men:	Women:	Men: 50+	Women:
			18-49	18-49	50+						50+			
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	86	14%	18%	12%	8%	71%	19%	11%	22%	11%	14%	27%	8%	7%
	62	10%	12%	9%	9%	-	21%	12%	7%	9%	8%	17%	7%	9%
	453	75%	70%	79%	83%	29%	59%	77%	71%	80%	79%	55%	85%	84%
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	50	8%	9%	9%	6%	41%	17%	6%	10%	6%	9%	11%	10%	4%
	55	9%	9%	6%	13%	59%	10%	6%	10%	9%	10%	8%	8%	10%
	495	82%	82%	85%	81%	-	73%	88%	79%	85%	81%	81%	83%	86%
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break-ins	47	8%	9%	6%	7%	-	12%	6%	9%	7%	7%	12%	8%	4%
	56	9%	10%	8%	8%	59%	13%	8%	11%	8%	12%	12%	4%	7%
	498	83%	81%	86%	85%	41%	75%	86%	79%	85%	81%	75%	88%	88%
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	44	7%	8%	9%	4%	-	5%	7%	11%	7%	5%	9%	7%	9%
	75	12%	11%	16%	13%	-	14%	13%	12%	12%	14%	10%	12%	14%
	482	80%	81%	75%	83%	100%	81%	79%	77%	81%	81%	81%	82%	78%
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	36	6%	7%	6%	4%	71%	6%	11%	12%	3%	8%	4%	8%	5%
	60	10%	12%	9%	8%	-	12%	11%	10%	10%	9%	12%	8%	11%
	504	84%	81%	86%	88%	29%	82%	79%	78%	88%	83%	84%	84%	84%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	73	12%	11%	15%	11%	-	17%	13%	13%	11%	16%	13%	11%	8%
	66	11%	12%	11%	10%	30%	14%	7%	8%	12%	15%	7%	13%	9%
	460	77%	77%	74%	79%	70%	69%	79%	79%	77%	70%	79%	75%	82%
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	167	28%	25%	33%	29%	-	15%	30%	24%	31%	35%	20%	32%	25%
	111	18%	20%	14%	19%	-	16%	21%	15%	20%	18%	16%	21%	19%
	322	54%	55%	52%	53%	100%	70%	48%	61%	50%	47%	64%	47%	56%
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	128	21%	17%	28%	24%	-	9%	16%	22%	24%	32%	8%	26%	19%
	58	10%	8%	11%	12%	-	6%	6%	8%	12%	8%	6%	13%	12%
	414	69%	75%	61%	64%	100%	85%	78%	70%	64%	60%	86%	61%	69%
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	102	17%	17%	20%	15%	30%	11%	8%	19%	19%	26%	9%	21%	13%
	53	9%	9%	12%	6%	-	10%	11%	9%	8%	12%	8%	9%	6%
	445	74%	74%	68%	79%	70%	79%	81%	71%	73%	62%	84%	69%	81%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	52	9%	7%	9%	12%	-	4%	8%	11%	9%	8%	6%	12%	9%
	79	13%	14%	11%	14%	29%	12%	11%	15%	13%	21%	8%	12%	12%
	469	78%	79%	80%	75%	71%	84%	81%	74%	78%	72%	86%	76%	79%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	64	11%	9%	15%	11%	30%	12%	10%	12%	10%	20%	5%	10%	8%
	90	15%	16%	13%	15%	-	11%	13%	18%	15%	18%	17%	14%	10%
	446	74%	75%	72%	74%	70%	77%	77%	70%	75%	62%	78%	76%	82%
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	137	23%	16%	30%	30%	-	15%	21%	18%	26%	28%	12%	26%	25%
	110	18%	19%	15%	19%	-	15%	10%	24%	19%	21%	15%	21%	17%
	353	59%	65%	55%	50%	100%	69%	69%	59%	54%	50%	73%	53%	58%
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	116	19%	17%	24%	20%	-	19%	19%	19%	20%	28%	11%	25%	14%
	64	11%	11%	9%	12%	-	9%	9%	10%	12%	9%	6%	13%	14%
	420	70%	72%	67%	69%	100%	72%	72%	71%	69%	62%	83%	62%	72%
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	141	24%	20%	27%	27%	-	18%	21%	18%	27%	30%	13%	34%	18%
	78	13%	13%	9%	18%	-	13%	11%	13%	14%	13%	9%	15%	16%
	381	63%	67%	64%	55%	100%	68%	68%	70%	59%	57%	78%	51%	66%

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	118	20%	16%	25%	-	12%	10%	15%	25%	16%	20%	21%	22%
	Somewhat less safe	166	28%	27%	30%	30%	21%	33%	30%	27%	31%	24%	27%	29%
	More safe	56	9%	11%	7%	8%	-	9%	12%	11%	8%	8%	13%	5%
	About the same (Don't know/Refused)	259	43%	46%	38%	43%	70%	58%	45%	44%	40%	45%	43%	46%
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	285	47%	43%	55%	30%	33%	43%	45%	52%	46%	44%	49%	51%
	Same/(DK)	259	43%	46%	38%	43%	70%	58%	45%	44%	45%	43%	46%	39%
	More safe	56	9%	11%	7%	8%	-	9%	12%	11%	8%	8%	13%	5%
	Net More safe	-228	-38	-32	-48	-41	-	-24	-31	-35	-43	-38	-31	-43
42. Importance: More police officers	1 - Not at all important	61	10%	13%	8%	7%	41%	16%	12%	18%	6%	11%	20%	4%
	2	34	6%	7%	6%	2%	-	10%	5%	6%	5%	7%	10%	1%
	3	41	7%	9%	7%	3%	30%	17%	1%	7%	7%	7%	11%	7%
	4	83	14%	15%	13%	12%	29%	18%	23%	16%	10%	19%	16%	9%
	5	89	15%	13%	16%	17%	-	14%	17%	13%	15%	17%	11%	13%
	6	77	13%	11%	9%	20%	-	11%	13%	10%	14%	11%	4%	20%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	213	35%	32%	41%	38%	-	14%	29%	29%	43%	29%	28%	46%
	Mean	599	4.99	4.71	5.13	5.42	2.47	3.95	4.85	4.50	5.37	4.73	4.10	5.70
43. Importance: New, expanded correctional and detention facilities	1 - Not at all important	72	12%	14%	8%	11%	41%	13%	16%	19%	8%	13%	21%	4%
	2	46	8%	9%	4%	8%	-	15%	6%	7%	7%	6%	10%	4%
	3	71	12%	15%	8%	8%	-	27%	9%	14%	9%	12%	12%	13%
	4	105	17%	17%	20%	16%	-	15%	16%	16%	19%	19%	15%	21%
	5	105	17%	16%	18%	21%	29%	11%	22%	12%	19%	18%	11%	24%
	6	77	13%	10%	15%	15%	-	2%	8%	13%	16%	8%	14%	11%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	125	21%	19%	25%	20%	30%	16%	23%	19%	21%	23%	17%	23%
	Mean	599	4.42	4.19	4.82	4.53	3.95	3.68	4.40	4.08	4.67	4.41	3.92	4.81
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1 - Not at all important	25	4%	5%	4%	3%	-	-	3%	6%	5%	8%	1%	2%
	2	25	4%	4%	4%	4%	-	3%	7%	5%	4%	5%	3%	6%
	3	35	6%	5%	6%	8%	-	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	3%	9%
	4	85	14%	13%	17%	13%	30%	18%	9%	14%	15%	19%	5%	22%
	5	120	20%	19%	23%	20%	-	21%	18%	21%	20%	18%	21%	25%
	6	87	14%	12%	11%	22%	-	13%	12%	12%	16%	10%	13%	15%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	222	37%	41%	36%	29%	70%	39%	45%	37%	34%	32%	54%	21%
	Mean	599	5.34	5.40	5.27	5.25	6.11	5.53	5.48	5.28	5.28	4.94	5.95	4.90
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1 - Not at all important	27	4%	3%	7%	5%	-	-	3%	5%	7%	-	6%	4%
	2	43	7%	8%	8%	6%	-	8%	5%	8%	11%	3%	9%	6%
	3	52	9%	7%	15%	7%	-	8%	10%	12%	7%	9%	7%	12%
	4	133	22%	24%	19%	21%	30%	18%	27%	20%	22%	24%	20%	19%
	5	153	26%	25%	21%	30%	29%	36%	24%	24%	25%	22%	29%	25%
	6	82	14%	13%	13%	16%	41%	12%	17%	12%	14%	11%	14%	14%
	7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	109	18%	20%	17%	15%	-	17%	14%	18%	19%	14%	22%	12%
	Mean	599	4.71	4.80	4.48	4.75	5.11	4.87	4.74	4.59	4.71	4.35	5.09	4.41

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)				55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts														
1 - Not at all important	48	8%	9%	6%	8%	-	9%	10%	9%	7%	13%	9%	6%	4%
2	39	6%	5%	8%	7%	-	8%	4%	9%	6%	10%	4%	7%	5%
3	62	10%	12%	12%	6%	41%	15%	8%	13%	9%	15%	9%	8%	10%
4	138	23%	24%	25%	19%	29%	36%	21%	23%	21%	23%	23%	19%	26%
5	127	21%	22%	15%	25%	-	17%	23%	19%	22%	12%	24%	27%	22%
6	76	13%	12%	10%	18%	-	7%	12%	11%	14%	11%	16%	12%	13%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	107	18%	15%	23%	17%	30%	7%	21%	15%	20%	16%	15%	20%	21%
(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	-
Mean	597	4.53	4.42	4.58	4.69	4.48	3.93	4.67	4.28	4.67	4.06	4.59	4.72	4.78
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in correctional and detention facilities														
1 - Not at all important	37	6%	7%	6%	5%	-	-	8%	6%	7%	9%	3%	6%	7%
2	40	7%	7%	7%	6%	-	5%	5%	9%	7%	11%	4%	7%	5%
3	51	9%	11%	6%	6%	-	14%	5%	9%	9%	11%	5%	12%	7%
4	100	17%	13%	20%	22%	-	26%	16%	13%	17%	16%	11%	22%	19%
5	136	23%	22%	23%	25%	30%	10%	17%	25%	25%	14%	26%	27%	25%
6	97	16%	15%	19%	17%	29%	11%	24%	11%	17%	17%	19%	11%	17%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	138	23%	26%	20%	18%	41%	35%	24%	26%	20%	23%	32%	15%	20%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-
Mean	599	4.84	4.86	4.83	4.78	6.11	5.15	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.60	5.37	4.51	4.83
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs														
1 - Not at all important	22	4%	4%	2%	4%	-	6%	4%	3%	3%	7%	1%	3%	3%
2	30	5%	6%	4%	4%	-	2%	7%	7%	4%	10%	2%	6%	2%
3	54	9%	7%	13%	10%	30%	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%	5%	12%	11%
4	116	19%	18%	21%	21%	29%	16%	11%	19%	22%	17%	19%	25%	18%
5	146	24%	25%	21%	26%	-	27%	25%	24%	24%	31%	22%	24%	21%
6	83	14%	13%	16%	14%	-	17%	16%	16%	12%	11%	16%	7%	20%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	148	25%	27%	24%	21%	41%	25%	29%	21%	25%	14%	35%	24%	26%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	600	4.96	5.02	4.95	4.85	4.94	5.05	5.11	4.85	4.95	4.43	5.44	4.77	5.19
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams														
1 - Not at all important	35	6%	5%	5%	8%	-	3%	4%	5%	7%	13%	1%	4%	5%
2	31	5%	4%	6%	8%	-	2%	8%	6%	5%	6%	2%	11%	3%
3	37	6%	4%	8%	9%	-	9%	7%	8%	5%	7%	4%	9%	4%
4	70	12%	12%	16%	7%	30%	6%	10%	11%	13%	11%	6%	18%	12%
5	111	19%	18%	14%	24%	-	19%	18%	16%	20%	15%	15%	25%	21%
6	113	19%	19%	20%	18%	-	20%	17%	20%	19%	21%	22%	16%	15%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	202	34%	38%	32%	26%	70%	41%	37%	33%	32%	26%	50%	16%	40%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-
Mean	599	5.23	5.44	5.15	4.90	6.11	5.61	5.27	5.19	5.17	4.80	5.99	4.59	5.46
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs														
1 - Not at all important	16	3%	3%	2%	4%	-	-	4%	2%	3%	5%	-	3%	2%
2	23	4%	4%	3%	4%	-	2%	3%	6%	3%	7%	2%	5%	2%
3	26	4%	3%	6%	5%	-	8%	5%	1%	5%	4%	3%	6%	5%
4	106	18%	18%	17%	18%	-	13%	13%	23%	18%	18%	11%	27%	16%
5	138	23%	23%	24%	21%	-	25%	24%	18%	24%	19%	24%	23%	26%
6	108	18%	16%	20%	21%	-	23%	17%	16%	18%	19%	17%	13%	22%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	183	30%	33%	28%	27%	100%	29%	34%	31%	29%	27%	43%	24%	27%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-
Mean	599	5.31	5.36	5.31	5.20	7.00	5.46	5.38	5.25	5.27	5.06	5.83	4.96	5.33
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities														
1 - Not at all important	36	6%	6%	6%	6%	-	-	7%	7%	6%	10%	1%	7%	6%
2	30	5%	5%	4%	5%	-	6%	3%	9%	4%	9%	3%	5%	3%
3	52	9%	7%	8%	12%	-	6%	6%	3%	12%	7%	6%	16%	7%
4	86	14%	15%	17%	12%	-	18%	16%	15%	13%	19%	11%	17%	10%
5	121	20%	17%	20%	26%	-	17%	20%	21%	21%	15%	18%	23%	25%
6	103	17%	16%	17%	19%	29%	12%	11%	17%	19%	16%	20%	15%	17%
7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	170	28%	33%	27%	20%	71%	39%	36%	27%	25%	24%	40%	17%	31%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-
Mean	599	5.03	5.14	5.00	4.85	6.71	5.40	5.16	4.97	4.95	4.63	5.62	4.58	5.24

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
42. Importance: More police officers														
1-3 Not important	136	23%	28%	21%	13%	71%	42%	18%	31%	18%	24%	41%	12%	12%
4/(DK/Ref)	84	14%	15%	13%	12%	29%	18%	23%	17%	10%	20%	16%	9%	10%
5-7 Important	379	63%	56%	65%	75%	-	39%	59%	53%	72%	56%	42%	79%	78%
43. Importance: New, expanded correctional and detention facilities														
1-3 Not important	188	31%	38%	21%	27%	41%	55%	31%	40%	25%	31%	44%	21%	28%
4/(DK/Ref)	106	18%	17%	20%	16%	-	15%	16%	17%	19%	19%	15%	21%	16%
5-7 Important	306	51%	45%	58%	56%	59%	30%	53%	43%	56%	49%	41%	58%	57%
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse														
1-3 Not important	85	14%	14%	14%	16%	-	9%	16%	14%	15%	20%	7%	17%	12%
4/(DK/Ref)	86	14%	14%	17%	13%	30%	18%	9%	15%	15%	19%	5%	22%	12%
5-7 Important	429	71%	72%	70%	71%	70%	73%	75%	70%	71%	60%	88%	61%	76%
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures														
1-3 Not important	122	20%	18%	29%	17%	-	16%	17%	25%	20%	27%	10%	27%	17%
4/(DK/Ref)	134	22%	24%	19%	21%	30%	18%	27%	21%	22%	25%	24%	20%	19%
5-7 Important	344	57%	58%	51%	61%	70%	65%	56%	53%	57%	48%	65%	52%	64%
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts														
1-3 Not important	149	25%	26%	26%	21%	41%	32%	22%	31%	22%	38%	21%	21%	19%
4/(DK/Ref)	141	23%	25%	26%	19%	29%	36%	21%	24%	22%	24%	23%	20%	26%
5-7 Important	310	52%	49%	48%	60%	30%	31%	57%	45%	56%	39%	55%	59%	56%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in correctional and detention facilities														
1-3 Not important	128	21%	24%	19%	18%	-	18%	18%	24%	22%	30%	12%	25%	19%
4/(DK/Ref)	101	17%	13%	20%	22%	-	26%	16%	14%	17%	16%	11%	22%	19%
5-7 Important	370	62%	63%	62%	60%	100%	56%	66%	62%	61%	54%	77%	53%	62%
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs														
1-3 Not important	106	18%	17%	19%	18%	30%	16%	19%	20%	17%	27%	9%	20%	15%
4/(DK/Ref)	116	19%	18%	21%	21%	29%	16%	11%	19%	22%	17%	19%	25%	18%
5-7 Important	378	63%	66%	60%	60%	41%	69%	70%	61%	61%	56%	72%	55%	67%
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams														
1-3 Not important	103	17%	13%	19%	24%	-	14%	18%	20%	17%	25%	7%	25%	12%
4/(DK/Ref)	71	12%	12%	16%	7%	30%	6%	10%	12%	13%	12%	6%	18%	12%
5-7 Important	426	71%	75%	66%	68%	70%	80%	71%	68%	70%	62%	87%	57%	76%
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs														
1-3 Not important	65	11%	10%	11%	13%	-	10%	12%	10%	11%	16%	4%	13%	10%
4/(DK/Ref)	107	18%	18%	17%	18%	-	13%	13%	24%	18%	19%	11%	27%	16%
5-7 Important	428	71%	72%	72%	69%	100%	77%	75%	66%	71%	65%	85%	60%	74%
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities														
1-3 Not important	118	20%	19%	19%	23%	-	13%	17%	19%	22%	26%	10%	28%	16%
4/(DK/Ref)	87	15%	15%	17%	12%	-	18%	16%	16%	13%	20%	11%	17%	10%
5-7 Important	394	66%	67%	65%	65%	100%	69%	67%	65%	65%	54%	78%	55%	74%
52. Concern: Personal financial situation														
Very concerned	260	43%	48%	46%	30%	30%	36%	44%	52%	42%	47%	50%	29%	46%
Somewhat concerned	227	38%	35%	38%	44%	70%	47%	38%	34%	37%	37%	38%	42%	35%
Not too concerned	85	14%	13%	11%	20%	-	16%	14%	8%	16%	12%	10%	23%	14%
Not at all concerned (Don't know/Refused)	29	5%	4%	5%	6%	-	2%	4%	6%	5%	5%	3%	7%	5%
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52. Concern: Personal financial situation														
Concerned	486	81%	83%	85%	74%	100%	82%	82%	86%	79%	84%	87%	71%	81%
Not concerned (DK/Ref)	114	19%	17%	15%	26%	-	18%	18%	14%	21%	16%	13%	29%	19%
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Concerned	+373	+62	+66	+69	+48	-	+65	+64	+71	+58	+67	+74	+41	+62

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)				55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split				
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+	
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156	
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%	
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1 - Very pessimistic	112	19%	19%	19%	-	18%	19%	20%	19%	19%	18%	17%	20%	
	2	174	29%	35%	28%	100%	26%	32%	28%	28%	30%	38%	23%	25%	
	3	237	40%	34%	42%	49%	-	40%	31%	40%	42%	29%	42%	47%	
	4	54	9%	8%	8%	12%	-	10%	14%	8%	8%	9%	13%	6%	
	5 - Very optimistic	20	3%	3%	3%	4%	-	7%	3%	4%	3%	2%	6%	5%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-	-	
Mean	598	2.56	2.48	2.56	2.71	2.00	2.75	2.55	2.57	2.53	2.48	2.59	2.76	2.45	
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1-2 Pessimistic	286	48%	54%	47%	36%	100%	44%	51%	48%	47%	49%	56%	39%	45%
	3/(DK/Ref)	240	40%	34%	42%	49%	-	40%	32%	40%	42%	40%	29%	42%	48%
	4-5 Optimistic	74	12%	12%	11%	15%	-	16%	17%	12%	11%	11%	14%	18%	7%
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	Much more often	10	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
	Somewhat more often	25	4%	6%	3%	3%	71%	8%	5%	4%	3%	4%	8%	1%	
	About the same	188	31%	30%	28%	38%	-	49%	27%	29%	31%	29%	31%	32%	
	Somewhat less often	112	19%	20%	19%	15%	29%	18%	25%	23%	16%	20%	20%	23%	
	Much less often	265	44%	42%	50%	43%	-	22%	42%	41%	50%	44%	40%	43%	
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	More often	35	6%	8%	4%	4%	71%	11%	6%	8%	4%	6%	10%	2%	
	Same/(DK)	188	31%	30%	28%	38%	-	49%	27%	29%	31%	29%	31%	32%	
	Less often	377	63%	62%	69%	58%	29%	40%	67%	64%	66%	65%	59%	66%	
	Net More often	-342	-57	-55	-65	-54	+42	-29	-60	-56	-62	-58	-49	-64	
2. Homeowner	Own/Buying	406	68%	56%	72%	88%	70%	71%	58%	53%	74%	61%	50%	87%	
	Rent/Lease	168	28%	40%	24%	8%	30%	27%	37%	41%	22%	34%	44%	9%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	5%	4%	4%	-	2%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%	
2. Homeowner	Homeowner	406	68%	56%	72%	88%	70%	71%	58%	53%	74%	61%	50%	87%	
	Non-Homeowner	194	32%	44%	28%	12%	30%	29%	42%	47%	26%	39%	50%	13%	
55. Residence duration	<2 years	3	0%	1%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	
	2-5 years	55	9%	15%	7%	1%	-	100%	-	-	-	10%	12%		
	6-10 years	83	14%	16%	19%	5%	-	-	100%	-	-	16%	20%		
	11-20 years	114	19%	23%	18%	12%	-	-	-	100%	-	28%	24%		
	20+ years	344	57%	46%	56%	82%	-	-	-	-	100%	47%	43%		
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
56. Party ID	Strong Democrat	100	17%	16%	15%	19%	-	31%	12%	19%	15%	12%	24%		
	Not very strong Democrat	33	5%	6%	5%	5%	-	4%	10%	8%	4%	7%	8%		
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	52	9%	10%	5%	9%	29%	14%	8%	9%	8%	6%	8%		
	Independent	66	11%	10%	13%	11%	-	11%	11%	15%	10%	14%	10%		
	Independent, closer to Republican party	84	14%	15%	13%	12%	-	15%	19%	14%	13%	20%	12%		
	Not very strong Republican	40	7%	7%	8%	4%	30%	4%	3%	7%	8%	9%	3%		
	Strong Republican	95	16%	12%	15%	24%	-	6%	16%	8%	20%	11%	10%		
	Something else	35	6%	7%	7%	2%	41%	6%	9%	4%	5%	7%	7%		
	Prefer not to respond	96	16%	17%	18%	12%	-	9%	12%	16%	18%	13%	19%		
56. Party ID	Democrat	184	31%	32%	26%	34%	29%	49%	30%	35%	26%	26%	40%		
	Republican	219	37%	35%	36%	41%	30%	25%	38%	30%	40%	40%	25%		
	NPP/Other	197	33%	34%	38%	26%	41%	26%	32%	35%	34%	36%	26%		

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)														
1 - Very liberal	70	12%	14%	6%	12%	41%	22%	11%	13%	10%	13%	18%	5%	10%
2	50	8%	9%	6%	10%	-	13%	5%	12%	7%	6%	11%	4%	11%
3	55	9%	8%	12%	8%	-	15%	15%	6%	8%	9%	11%	8%	8%
4	120	20%	21%	21%	16%	30%	24%	24%	21%	18%	18%	22%	18%	21%
5	81	14%	14%	15%	11%	29%	3%	10%	12%	16%	14%	7%	25%	10%
6	65	11%	12%	8%	12%	-	12%	8%	11%	11%	13%	7%	14%	10%
7 - Very conservative	82	14%	9%	17%	20%	-	3%	18%	8%	16%	14%	11%	17%	14%
Prefer not to respond	77	13%	12%	15%	12%	-	8%	9%	17%	13%	13%	13%	9%	16%
Mean	371	4.15	4.19	4.09	4.12	4.50	3.79	4.04	4.05	4.27	4.31	3.78	4.52	3.98
57. Political Ideology (Self-ID)														
1-3 Liberal	175	29%	31%	24%	29%	41%	50%	31%	31%	25%	28%	41%	17%	29%
4/(DK/Ref)	197	33%	34%	36%	28%	30%	32%	33%	38%	31%	31%	34%	28%	37%
5-7 Conservative	228	38%	35%	40%	43%	29%	18%	36%	31%	44%	41%	25%	55%	34%
58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, MR)														
Hispanic or Latino	31	5%	6%	6%	3%	0%	10%	7%	6%	4%	8%	4%	5%	4%
White or Caucasian	533	89%	88%	89%	90%	100%	91%	87%	86%	90%	84%	91%	84%	96%
Black or African American	13	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%	2%	5%	4%	1%	5%	1%	1%	2%
Asian or Pacific Islander	8	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%
Something else	49	8%	7%	11%	8%	0%	2%	7%	9%	9%	8%	6%	16%	4%
Prefer not to respond	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%
58. Ethnicity (Self-ID, MR)														
White or Caucasian	533	89%	88%	89%	90%	100%	91%	87%	86%	90%	84%	91%	84%	96%
BIPOC	90	15%	16%	17%	11%	0%	14%	18%	15%	14%	20%	10%	22%	9%
Prefer not to respond	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%
59. Age (Self-ID)														
18-29	110	18%	26%	15%	6%	-	31%	29%	31%	9%	36%	34%	-	-
30-39	105	18%	21%	20%	9%	-	22%	24%	23%	14%	35%	32%	-	-
40-49	95	16%	19%	15%	10%	30%	8%	13%	17%	17%	29%	32%	-	-
50-64	129	22%	24%	21%	17%	-	28%	13%	12%	26%	-	-	45%	45%
65 or over	154	26%	9%	28%	58%	70%	10%	19%	17%	32%	-	-	54%	54%
Prefer not to respond	8	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Age (Self-ID and Sample)														
18-29	112	19%	26%	16%	6%	-	31%	31%	31%	10%	36%	35%	-	-
30-39	107	18%	21%	20%	9%	-	22%	24%	23%	14%	35%	32%	-	-
40-49	96	16%	19%	15%	11%	30%	8%	13%	18%	17%	29%	32%	-	-
50-64	131	22%	25%	21%	17%	-	28%	13%	12%	27%	-	-	46%	46%
65+/None	155	26%	9%	28%	58%	70%	12%	19%	17%	32%	-	-	54%	54%
Two-Age Split														
18-49	314	52%	66%	51%	25%	30%	60%	68%	71%	41%	100%	100%	-	-
50+	286	48%	34%	49%	75%	70%	40%	32%	29%	59%	-	-	100%	100%
Generation														
18-49	314	52%	66%	51%	25%	30%	60%	68%	71%	41%	100%	100%	-	-
50-64	131	22%	25%	21%	17%	-	28%	13%	12%	27%	-	-	46%	46%
65+	155	26%	9%	28%	58%	70%	12%	19%	17%	32%	-	-	54%	54%
60. Education (Self-ID)														
Some grade school	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	-	-
Some high school	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	3%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%
Graduated high school	60	10%	15%	7%	4%	-	5%	14%	15%	9%	10%	16%	9%	6%
Technical or Vocational school	61	10%	9%	11%	12%	-	11%	9%	6%	12%	11%	8%	12%	11%
Some college or Less than 4-year degree	187	31%	32%	32%	27%	-	28%	29%	27%	33%	28%	30%	33%	35%
Graduated college or 4-year degree	147	24%	22%	22%	31%	29%	31%	15%	28%	25%	26%	24%	21%	26%
Graduate or Professional Degree	126	21%	18%	24%	25%	71%	25%	31%	19%	18%	21%	21%	21%	20%
Prefer not to respond	12	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	-	-	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%
60. Education (Self-ID)														
College Graduate	273	45%	40%	46%	56%	100%	56%	45%	47%	43%	48%	45%	42%	46%
Non-College Graduate	327	55%	60%	54%	44%	-	44%	55%	53%	57%	52%	55%	58%	54%

	n	%	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
			New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
61. Gender (Self-ID)														
A man	283	47%	52%	42%	42%	29%	43%	48%	51%	46%	98%	-	97%	-
A woman	303	51%	46%	56%	55%	71%	53%	52%	47%	51%	-	99%	-	97%
Non-binary	4	1%	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
Another gender identity	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	1%	-	-	-
Prefer not to respond	9	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	4%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	3%	3%
61. Gender (Self-ID)														
Men	283	47%	52%	42%	42%	29%	43%	48%	51%	46%	98%	-	97%	-
Women	303	51%	46%	56%	55%	71%	53%	52%	47%	51%	-	99%	-	97%
Other/Ref	14	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	4%	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%
Gender (Self-ID and Sample)														
Men	290	48%	53%	43%	44%	29%	45%	48%	52%	48%	100%	-	100%	-
Women	310	52%	47%	57%	56%	71%	55%	52%	48%	52%	-	100%	-	100%
Sample														
City of Spokane	240	40%	41%	38%	39%	59%	40%	34%	40%	41%	43%	40%	36%	41%
City of Spokane Valley	120	20%	22%	18%	18%	41%	25%	16%	18%	20%	19%	22%	21%	18%
Rest of SC	240	40%	37%	43%	44%	-	35%	50%	41%	38%	38%	38%	43%	41%
Sample														
City of Spokane	240	40%	41%	38%	39%	59%	40%	34%	40%	41%	43%	40%	36%	41%
Rest of Spokane County	360	60%	59%	62%	61%	41%	60%	66%	60%	59%	57%	60%	64%	59%
State House District (Sample)														
LD3	162	27%	32%	23%	20%	59%	37%	26%	28%	25%	30%	31%	20%	25%
LD4	186	31%	35%	27%	27%	41%	40%	26%	27%	32%	32%	31%	37%	26%
LD6	174	29%	23%	32%	40%	-	15%	37%	28%	30%	24%	30%	31%	32%
LD7	12	2%	1%	6%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	3%
LD9	66	11%	10%	13%	12%	-	8%	9%	15%	11%	12%	9%	9%	14%
City (Sample)														
Spokane	240	58%	58%	58%	61%	59%	52%	59%	55%	60%	60%	58%	57%	58%
Spokane Valley	121	30%	31%	28%	28%	41%	33%	28%	27%	30%	27%	34%	33%	26%
Another City	50	12%	11%	15%	11%	-	14%	13%	18%	10%	13%	9%	11%	16%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)														
New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	307	51%	100%	-	-	100%	80%	59%	61%	41%	66%	63%	43%	31%
Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	144	24%	-	100%	-	-	18%	32%	23%	23%	22%	24%	20%	28%
Perfect Voter (6/6)	149	25%	-	-	100%	-	2%	9%	16%	36%	11%	12%	37%	41%

	n	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)				55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age Split			
		%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Men: 18-49	Women: 18-49	Men: 50+	Women: 50+
Number of cases	600		307	144	149	3	55	83	114	344	161	154	130	156
Row percent		100%	51%	24%	25%	0%	9%	14%	19%	57%	27%	26%	22%	26%
Gender/Two-Age Split														
Men: 18-49	161	27%	35%	25%	12%	-	28%	31%	39%	22%	100%	-	-	-
Women: 18-49	154	26%	32%	26%	13%	30%	32%	38%	33%	19%	-	100%	-	-
Men: 50+	130	22%	18%	18%	32%	29%	17%	17%	13%	26%	-	-	100%	-
Women: 50+	156	26%	16%	31%	43%	41%	23%	14%	15%	33%	-	-	-	100%
Gender/Generation Split														
Men: 18-49	161	27%	35%	25%	12%	-	28%	31%	39%	22%	100%	-	-	-
Women: 18-49	154	26%	32%	26%	13%	30%	32%	38%	33%	19%	-	100%	-	-
Men: 50-64	60	10%	13%	8%	7%	-	12%	7%	5%	12%	-	-	46%	-
Women: 50-64	71	12%	12%	13%	10%	-	16%	5%	7%	15%	-	-	-	46%
Men: 65+	70	12%	6%	11%	25%	29%	5%	10%	9%	14%	-	-	54%	-
Women: 65+	85	14%	4%	18%	33%	41%	6%	9%	8%	18%	-	-	-	54%
Gender/Homeowner														
Men: Homeowner	211	35%	33%	33%	42%	29%	32%	29%	32%	38%	61%	-	87%	-
Women: Homeowner	195	33%	23%	38%	46%	41%	39%	29%	21%	36%	-	50%	-	76%
Men: Non-Homeowner	80	13%	20%	10%	3%	-	13%	19%	20%	10%	39%	-	13%	-
Women: Non-Homeowner	114	19%	24%	18%	9%	30%	16%	23%	27%	16%	-	50%	-	24%
Party/Two-Age Split														
Democrat: 18-49	163	27%	36%	25%	11%	30%	45%	34%	37%	19%	43%	61%	-	-
Republican: 18-49	81	14%	12%	19%	12%	-	10%	16%	19%	12%	32%	19%	-	-
NPP/Other: 18-49	70	12%	19%	7%	2%	-	5%	18%	15%	10%	25%	20%	-	-
Democrat: 50+	104	17%	11%	17%	32%	-	8%	13%	13%	21%	-	-	28%	44%
Republican: 50+	145	24%	15%	28%	39%	-	23%	14%	11%	31%	-	-	54%	48%
NPP/Other: 50+	37	6%	8%	4%	4%	70%	9%	4%	5%	6%	-	-	18%	9%
Gender/Party														
Men: Democrat	106	18%	22%	14%	13%	-	18%	21%	22%	16%	43%	-	28%	-
Women: Democrat	162	27%	25%	28%	30%	30%	35%	27%	28%	25%	-	61%	-	44%
Men: Republican	122	20%	15%	24%	28%	-	19%	16%	18%	22%	32%	-	54%	-
Women: Republican	104	17%	12%	23%	23%	-	14%	14%	12%	21%	-	19%	-	48%
Men: NPP/Other	63	11%	16%	6%	3%	29%	9%	11%	12%	10%	25%	-	18%	-
Women: NPP/Other	44	7%	11%	5%	3%	41%	6%	11%	8%	6%	-	20%	-	9%