				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
QOL Index	QOL Index (Mean)	600	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.9	3.1	3.2	3.2	4.5	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.5	4.2	3.0
3. Spokane Region Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	239	40%	37%	43%	47%	30%	34%	36%	48%	39%	41%	46%	40%	39%	49%	29%
	Wrong track	358	60%	63%	57%	51%	70%	66%	64%	51%	60%	59%	54%	59%	61%	50%	71%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	1%	2%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%
	Net Right Direction	-120	-20	-26	-14	-4	-41	-31	-28	-3	-21	-17	-9	-19	-23	-1	-42
4. What is the best thing about living in the Spokane region? (Multiple Response)	Nature/Scenery/Landscape	158	26%	25%	27%	31%	24%	30%	35%	16%	28%	21%	9%	28%	23%	31%	21%
	Outdoor recreation/Access to public land	114	19%	18%	20%	13%	28%	25%	18%	15%	18%	20%	41%	21%	14%	22%	15%
	Climate/Weather/Has all 4 seasons	68	11%	13%	10%	13%	11%	7%	10%	14%	11%	12%	8%	10%	13%	11%	12%
	Small town feel	65	11%	9%	12%	8%	10%	9%	12%	14%	11%	10%	8%	11%	11%	11%	11%
	Community feel/Friendly people	57	10%	11%	8%	10%	8%	7%	5%	16%	10%	10%	0%	8%	13%	10%	9%
	Location/Proximity/Accessibility	51	9%	10%	7%	12%	10%	7%	6%	8%	8%	15%	11%	8%	10%	6%	11%
	Close to family/Family oriented	40	7%	6%	8%	9%	12%	7%	4%	3%	7%	4%	16%	4%	12%	6%	8%
	Entertainment/Activities/Businesses	39	6%	6%	7%	10%	9%	6%	4%	5%	5%	8%	38%	7%	6%	6%	7%
	Cost of living/Affordability	20	3%	4%	3%	1%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	0%	0%	4%	3%	3%	3%
	Access to healthcare	13	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	2%
	Diversity/Culture	10	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	Other	30	5%	5%	5%	4%	2%	4%	7%	8%	5%	4%	0%	6%	3%	6%	4%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	40	7%	5%	8%	6%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	9%	7%	6%	8%	4%	10%
5. What are the issues facing the Spokane region? (Multiple Response)	Homelessness	263	44%	42%	46%	38%	41%	52%	48%	42%	46%	32%	45%	45%	41%	43%	45%
	Crime/Drugs/Public Safety	171	29%	25%	32%	21%	45%	32%	34%	17%	30%	27%	23%	29%	27%	29%	28%
	The government/Politics	115	19%	23%	16%	24%	18%	15%	17%	20%	20%	22%	4%	18%	22%	17%	21%
	Infrastructure/Roads	63	10%	10%	11%	12%	6%	4%	16%	12%	10%	12%	12%	10%	11%	9%	12%
	Taxes/High Taxes	61	10%	13%	8%	8%	6%	12%	10%	14%	11%	8%	0%	12%	7%	7%	14%
	Inflation/Cost of living	56	9%	9%	10%	7%	14%	15%	5%	8%	9%	11%	0%	8%	13%	8%	11%
	Affordable housing/Housing	52	9%	6%	11%	11%	7%	11%	6%	9%	7%	12%	19%	7%	12%	10%	7%
	Government spending/Budget	27	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	2%	6%	4%	7%	32%	5%	3%	6%	3%
	Law enforcement/Lack of police	21	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	4%	0%	4%	2%	4%	2%
	Lack of mental health services/Healthcare	20	3%	2%	5%	4%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%	0%	4%	3%	3%	3%
	Jobs/Economy	20	3%	5%	2%	2%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%	0%	3%	5%	5%	1%
	Garbage/Filth	18	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	5%	6%	1%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%
	Other	26	4%	4%	5%	5%	1%	2%	6%	5%	5%	3%	0%	5%	3%	5%	4%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
6. Is overall quality of life getting better, worse, or staying the same	Getting much better	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	-	0%	0%
	Getting somewhat better	65	11%	12%	10%	12%	8%	8%	9%	15%	11%	9%	15%	12%	9%	14%	6%
	Staying the same	128	21%	16%	26%	22%	16%	22%	21%	25%	22%	25%	11%	21%	22%	23%	20%
	Getting somewhat worse	264	44%	45%	44%	42%	46%	45%	42%	45%	44%	37%	59%	42%	49%	43%	45%
	Getting much worse	139	23%	27%	20%	24%	29%	24%	27%	15%	23%	28%	16%	25%	20%	19%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-	1%
6. Is overall quality of life getting better, worse, or staying the same	Better	67	11%	12%	10%	12%	9%	8%	9%	15%	11%	9%	15%	12%	9%	15%	7%
	Same/(DK)	129	22%	16%	26%	22%	16%	22%	22%	25%	22%	25%	11%	21%	23%	23%	20%
	Worse	404	67%	71%	64%	67%	75%	70%	69%	60%	67%	66%	75%	67%	68%	62%	73%
	Net Better	-337	-56	-59	-53	-55	-65	-61	-60	-44	-56	-56	-60	-55	-59	-47	-66
7. Have you considered moving?	Yes	395	66%	69%	63%	63%	77%	78%	71%	48%	65%	71%	81%	62%	74%	61%	72%
	No	205	34%	31%	37%	37%	23%	22%	29%	52%	35%	29%	19%	38%	26%	39%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Have you considered moving?	Yes	395	66%	69%	63%	63%	77%	78%	71%	48%	65%	71%	81%	62%	74%	61%	72%
	No/(DK/Ref)	205	34%	31%	37%	37%	23%	22%	29%	52%	35%	29%	19%	38%	26%	39%	28%
8. What is the main reason you have considered moving? (Multiple Response)	Government/Leadership/Politics	135	22%	27%	18%	22%	27%	25%	24%	17%	23%	23%	15%	24%	19%	21%	25%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	115	19%	16%	22%	15%	30%	27%	17%	11%	19%	15%	37%	18%	22%	17%	22%
	Taxes	101	17%	24%	10%	15%	13%	19%	19%	18%	18%	12%	11%	20%	9%	15%	19%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	93	15%	14%	17%	17%	20%	12%	19%	10%	14%	22%	18%	12%	24%	15%	17%
	Homelessness	62	10%	11%	9%	10%	16%	8%	12%	6%	9%	14%	21%	10%	10%	9%	12%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	21	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	5%	1%	3%	8%	0%	3%	4%	5%	2%
	Declining quality of life	18	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%	2%	2%	1%	2%	5%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	Weather	18	3%	3%	2%	1%	6%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	5%	4%	2%
	Growth/Development/Space	14	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	5%	1%	3%	1%	0%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	2%	1%	3%	1%	4%	5%	0%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	11	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	4%	2%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	10	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	10	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	6%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	Closer to family	8	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	3%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	4	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
	Want to move abroad	2	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Other	15	3%	1%	4%	4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	2%	3%	21%	2%	5%	2%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	2	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	58	10%	10%	9%	8%	8%	13%	10%	10%	10%	10%	5%	11%	7%	12%	7%
	Somewhat agree	222	37%	34%	40%	36%	41%	32%	28%	46%	37%	36%	42%	35%	42%	42%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	200	33%	34%	33%	37%	32%	36%	33%	31%	34%	31%	41%	33%	34%	31%	37%
	Strongly disagree	119	20%	23%	17%	19%	20%	20%	29%	13%	20%	23%	11%	21%	17%	16%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Strongly agree	344	57%	59%	55%	51%	62%	60%	68%	48%	57%	62%	44%	59%	54%	54%	62%
	Somewhat agree	184	31%	26%	35%	40%	27%	25%	23%	36%	31%	27%	51%	29%	35%	31%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	57	9%	12%	7%	9%	9%	10%	4%	14%	9%	8%	5%	10%	9%	12%	6%
	Strongly disagree	16	3%	3%	2%	-	2%	5%	4%	2%	3%	2%	-	3%	2%	3%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Strongly agree	171	28%	29%	28%	36%	22%	27%	24%	32%	28%	23%	48%	31%	23%	34%	22%
	Somewhat agree	210	35%	36%	34%	39%	37%	29%	34%	35%	36%	33%	16%	34%	38%	36%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	132	22%	22%	22%	15%	25%	24%	26%	21%	22%	26%	20%	21%	25%	20%	25%
	Strongly disagree	87	15%	14%	15%	10%	16%	20%	16%	12%	14%	18%	16%	15%	14%	11%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Strongly agree	53	9%	9%	9%	10%	8%	6%	10%	9%	8%	7%	26%	9%	7%	11%	6%
	Somewhat agree	96	16%	16%	16%	15%	17%	19%	11%	18%	16%	13%	15%	17%	13%	19%	12%
	Somewhat disagree	145	24%	24%	24%	33%	19%	20%	18%	30%	27%	17%	-	24%	24%	25%	24%
	Strongly disagree	306	51%	51%	51%	42%	57%	55%	61%	43%	49%	63%	60%	49%	57%	45%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Strongly agree	176	29%	33%	26%	18%	37%	33%	37%	24%	29%	33%	8%	30%	27%	30%	29%
	Somewhat agree	202	34%	29%	38%	43%	23%	34%	27%	40%	34%	30%	52%	32%	38%	28%	40%
	Somewhat disagree	172	29%	30%	27%	32%	32%	25%	26%	29%	28%	31%	32%	30%	26%	33%	24%
	Strongly disagree	33	6%	5%	6%	1%	8%	7%	6%	6%	6%	3%	8%	6%	5%	7%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	2%	3%	7%	1%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	5%	2%	4%
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	97	16%	17%	16%	16%	19%	22%	11%	15%	15%	20%	6%	13%	24%	17%	15%
	Somewhat agree	162	27%	26%	28%	23%	40%	23%	24%	26%	26%	32%	36%	25%	32%	27%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	109	18%	14%	22%	15%	12%	18%	15%	27%	19%	11%	18%	21%	12%	19%	17%
	Strongly disagree	232	39%	44%	34%	47%	29%	37%	50%	32%	40%	36%	39%	42%	31%	36%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	43	7%	8%	6%	10%	4%	6%	5%	10%	7%	9%	6%	7%	8%	9%	5%
	Somewhat agree	193	32%	32%	32%	30%	36%	27%	30%	37%	32%	28%	44%	32%	34%	35%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	150	25%	23%	27%	27%	18%	30%	20%	29%	27%	18%	15%	27%	20%	25%	25%
	Strongly disagree	208	35%	36%	33%	31%	41%	37%	45%	23%	33%	45%	35%	33%	38%	31%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	1%	3%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Strongly agree	204	34%	33%	35%	37%	35%	22%	41%	33%	33%	36%	40%	35%	32%	32%	36%
	Somewhat agree	229	38%	40%	36%	34%	31%	36%	43%	44%	39%	34%	28%	41%	32%	36%	40%
	Somewhat disagree	115	19%	20%	18%	22%	18%	27%	11%	19%	20%	23%	5%	18%	22%	24%	13%
	Strongly disagree	51	8%	6%	11%	7%	16%	15%	5%	3%	8%	6%	27%	7%	13%	7%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-	1%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	25	4%	5%	3%	4%	7%	4%	2%	4%	4%	7%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%
	Somewhat agree	143	24%	24%	23%	23%	22%	20%	19%	33%	23%	25%	35%	24%	23%	27%	21%
	Somewhat disagree	182	30%	29%	32%	35%	30%	32%	26%	30%	32%	20%	12%	32%	27%	33%	28%
	Strongly disagree	242	40%	41%	40%	34%	41%	44%	52%	33%	39%	48%	48%	39%	43%	36%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	192	32%	39%	25%	26%	33%	39%	30%	33%	33%	30%	4%	34%	28%	28%	37%
	Somewhat agree	211	35%	32%	38%	33%	39%	33%	34%	36%	35%	35%	41%	32%	43%	35%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	160	27%	23%	30%	37%	25%	22%	29%	22%	26%	30%	49%	28%	22%	30%	22%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	5%	5%	1%	2%	7%	6%	8%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	Strongly agree	302	50%	53%	48%	38%	60%	47%	53%	52%	52%	51%	4%	50%	52%	49%	52%
	Somewhat agree	246	41%	38%	44%	48%	30%	46%	37%	43%	40%	44%	62%	41%	42%	44%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	41	7%	6%	8%	9%	9%	6%	7%	4%	6%	4%	34%	8%	5%	6%	8%
	Strongly disagree	5	1%	2%	-	2%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	0%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	Strongly agree	74	12%	9%	16%	16%	12%	12%	9%	13%	13%	10%	6%	14%	9%	16%	8%
	Somewhat agree	201	34%	35%	32%	28%	30%	34%	26%	46%	34%	34%	23%	32%	38%	34%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	159	26%	26%	27%	31%	21%	28%	31%	22%	27%	24%	29%	27%	25%	28%	25%
	Strongly disagree	166	28%	31%	24%	25%	38%	26%	33%	19%	27%	32%	41%	27%	28%	22%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	Strongly agree	372	62%	61%	63%	62%	51%	56%	69%	67%	62%	57%	85%	64%	57%	65%	58%
	Somewhat agree	181	30%	28%	32%	33%	42%	33%	23%	25%	31%	34%	11%	28%	35%	27%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	31	5%	6%	4%	3%	4%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%	5%	7%	5%	6%
	Strongly disagree	16	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	5%	1%	2%	3%	2%	-	3%	1%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure and services such as schools, parks, and transit	Strongly agree	210	35%	32%	38%	37%	38%	32%	30%	38%	35%	41%	20%	35%	34%	38%	31%
	Somewhat agree	247	41%	41%	41%	48%	33%	38%	43%	43%	40%	37%	72%	41%	41%	40%	42%
	Somewhat disagree	88	15%	15%	14%	11%	13%	25%	15%	11%	16%	11%	8%	15%	13%	13%	17%
	Strongly disagree	54	9%	11%	7%	4%	15%	5%	13%	8%	9%	12%	-	8%	11%	8%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	42	7%	7%	7%	9%	10%	5%	7%	5%	7%	8%	-	7%	8%	7%	7%
	Somewhat agree	260	43%	43%	43%	48%	43%	43%	36%	46%	42%	43%	47%	43%	43%	47%	39%
	Somewhat disagree	167	28%	28%	28%	17%	29%	30%	32%	30%	29%	22%	22%	28%	28%	28%	27%
	Strongly disagree	132	22%	22%	22%	26%	19%	22%	25%	19%	22%	27%	31%	22%	21%	18%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	281	47%	44%	49%	44%	49%	45%	38%	56%	47%	46%	48%	46%	49%	53%	39%
	Disagree	319	53%	56%	51%	56%	51%	55%	62%	44%	53%	54%	52%	54%	51%	47%	61%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-39	-6	-12	-1	-13	-3	-11	-24	+13	-7	-9	-5	-8	-2	+7	-22

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Agree	528	88%	85%	90%	91%	89%	85%	92%	84%	88%	89%	95%	88%	89%	85%	92%
	Disagree	72	12%	15%	10%	9%	11%	15%	8%	16%	12%	11%	5%	12%	11%	15%	8%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+456	+76	+71	+81	+82	+78	+69	+83	+68	+76	+78	+90	+75	+78	+70	+83
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Agree	380	63%	64%	62%	75%	59%	56%	58%	67%	64%	56%	64%	64%	61%	70%	56%
	Disagree	220	37%	36%	38%	25%	41%	44%	42%	33%	36%	44%	36%	36%	39%	30%	44%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+161	+27	+29	+25	+50	+19	+13	+15	+34	+29	+11	+27	+29	+22	+40	+12
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Agree	148	25%	25%	25%	26%	25%	25%	21%	27%	25%	21%	40%	27%	20%	30%	18%
	Disagree	452	75%	75%	75%	74%	75%	75%	79%	73%	75%	79%	60%	73%	80%	70%	82%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-303	-51	-51	-50	-49	-51	-50	-58	-46	-50	-58	-20	-46	-61	-40	-63
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Agree	377	63%	62%	64%	60%	59%	67%	64%	64%	63%	64%	60%	62%	65%	58%	69%
	Disagree	205	34%	36%	33%	33%	40%	32%	32%	34%	34%	34%	40%	36%	30%	40%	28%
	(DK/Ref)	17	3%	2%	3%	7%	1%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	5%	2%	4%
	Net Agree	+172	+29	+26	+31	+27	+19	+36	+31	+30	+30	+29	+21	+26	+35	+18	+41
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Agree	259	43%	42%	44%	39%	59%	45%	35%	42%	41%	53%	43%	38%	57%	45%	42%
	Disagree	341	57%	58%	56%	61%	41%	55%	65%	58%	59%	47%	57%	62%	43%	55%	58%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-81	-14	-16	-11	-23	+18	-10	-30	-17	-18	+5	-14	-25	+13	-11	-17
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	237	39%	41%	38%	39%	41%	33%	35%	47%	39%	37%	51%	38%	42%	44%	34%
	Disagree	358	60%	59%	60%	58%	59%	67%	65%	52%	60%	63%	49%	61%	58%	55%	65%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	3%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-121	-20	-19	-22	-19	-19	-34	-30	-5	-21	-26	+1	-22	-16	-12	-30
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Agree	433	72%	74%	71%	70%	66%	59%	83%	77%	72%	70%	68%	75%	65%	69%	76%
	Disagree	166	28%	26%	29%	30%	34%	41%	16%	23%	28%	30%	32%	25%	35%	31%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+267	+44	+48	+42	+40	+32	+18	+68	+54	+44	+40	+36	+51	+30	+37	+53
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	168	28%	29%	27%	27%	29%	23%	21%	37%	27%	32%	40%	28%	29%	31%	25%
	Disagree	424	71%	70%	72%	69%	71%	77%	78%	63%	71%	68%	60%	71%	70%	68%	73%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	-256	-43	-40	-45	-42	-42	-53	-57	-26	-44	-36	-20	-44	-41	-38	-48
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	403	67%	71%	63%	59%	73%	72%	64%	69%	68%	65%	45%	66%	71%	63%	72%
	Disagree	190	32%	28%	35%	38%	27%	28%	35%	30%	31%	35%	55%	33%	28%	36%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+213	+35	+44	+28	+20	+45	+43	+29	+40	+37	+30	-10	+32	+43	+27	+46
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	Agree	548	91%	92%	91%	87%	91%	93%	90%	96%	92%	95%	66%	90%	94%	93%	89%
	Disagree	46	8%	7%	8%	11%	9%	7%	8%	4%	7%	5%	34%	9%	5%	6%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+503	+84	+84	+84	+76	+81	+85	+82	+92	+84	+89	+32	+82	+89	+87	+80

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	Agree	275	46%	44%	48%	44%	42%	46%	35%	59%	47%	44%	30%	46%	47%	51%	40%
	Disagree	325	54%	56%	52%	56%	58%	54%	65%	41%	53%	56%	70%	54%	53%	49%	60%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-49	-8	-13	-4	-12	-17	-7	-30	+18	-7	-12	-40	-9	-7	+1	-19
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	Agree	553	92%	89%	95%	94%	93%	89%	92%	92%	92%	91%	96%	92%	92%	92%	92%
	Disagree	47	8%	11%	5%	6%	7%	11%	8%	8%	8%	9%	4%	8%	8%	8%	8%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+506	+84	+79	+90	+89	+86	+79	+85	+83	+85	+83	+92	+85	+84	+85	+84
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure and services such as schools, parks, and transit	Agree	456	76%	73%	79%	85%	71%	70%	72%	80%	75%	78%	92%	76%	76%	79%	73%
	Disagree	143	24%	27%	21%	15%	28%	30%	28%	20%	25%	22%	8%	23%	24%	21%	27%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	+314	+52	+46	+58	+70	+43	+39	+45	+61	+50	+55	+84	+53	+51	+58	+46
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	301	50%	50%	51%	57%	52%	48%	43%	51%	50%	51%	47%	50%	51%	54%	46%
	Disagree	299	50%	50%	49%	43%	48%	52%	57%	49%	50%	49%	53%	50%	49%	46%	54%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+3	+0	-0	+1	+14	+4	-4	-14	+2	-1	+2	-6	-0	+3	+7	-7
24. What recent policy decisions have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive?	Taxes / Government Fees	84	22%	27%	18%	25%	28%	18%	21%	20%	23%	17%	11%	25%	15%	21%	24%
	Catholic charities/Homelessness	60	16%	14%	17%	11%	17%	10%	22%	18%	17%	16%	6%	18%	12%	14%	18%
	Crime / Drugs / Public Safety	42	11%	11%	11%	11%	9%	15%	11%	9%	11%	12%	7%	13%	8%	13%	10%
	Government Leadership / Policy Direction	14	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	-	8%	3%	3%	7%	-	4%	2%	4%	4%
	Lack of Business Support /Fines	13	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	7%	2%	2%	3%	4%	-	4%	2%	5%	2%
	Regulations / Red Tape	13	3%	5%	2%	-	6%	6%	4%	3%	4%	2%	-	3%	5%	2%	5%
	Construction/Development	13	3%	2%	4%	5%	-	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%	13%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	Operating Costs /Cost of living	11	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	1%	4%	3%	2%	7%	-	2%	5%	5%	1%
	Minimum Wage / Labor Costs	11	3%	3%	3%	4%	6%	1%	4%	1%	3%	3%	9%	2%	4%	2%	3%
	Parking policy	9	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	-	3%	2%	2%	-	3%	-	4%	1%
	ICE/Immigration	6	2%	3%	1%	2%	-	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
	Infrastructure	5	1%	1%	2%	4%	-	-	-	2%	1%	6%	-	0%	3%	1%	1%
	Lease/Rent Policy	2	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	0%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	1%
	Healthcare costs	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%
	Other	14	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	8%	2%	3%	4%	-	27%	3%	5%	4%	4%
	None / Nothing	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	0%
	Don't Know / Unsure	55	15%	10%	18%	17%	8%	11%	13%	21%	15%	10%	26%	12%	20%	15%	14%
	NA / Refused / No Opinion	23	6%	8%	5%	7%	9%	11%	1%	4%	6%	7%	-	3%	12%	6%	6%
			6%														

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
25A. Why do you support that growth and development has been a positive?	General Positive Economic Growth and Job Opportunities	50	16%	17%	16%	20%	19%	9%	9%	22%	14%	28%	14%	14%	22%	17%	16%
	Business Expansion and Diversity	44	15%	14%	15%	20%	7%	8%	26%	12%	16%	14%	-	19%	5%	16%	13%
	Population Increase and Urban Spread	39	13%	10%	16%	9%	19%	20%	11%	9%	13%	14%	8%	13%	13%	14%	12%
	Housing Improvements (Affordability/Stock/Value)	36	12%	12%	12%	13%	7%	12%	25%	6%	12%	8%	16%	11%	14%	10%	14%
	Growth's Positives and Negatives	30	10%	10%	11%	5%	8%	22%	7%	10%	10%	11%	-	11%	8%	9%	11%
	Infrastructure Development and Improvement	28	9%	9%	10%	3%	15%	13%	7%	10%	10%	4%	-	9%	10%	12%	5%
	Community and Social Development	26	9%	12%	6%	6%	13%	6%	7%	10%	9%	4%	35%	8%	9%	7%	11%
	Environmental and Recreational Enhancements	12	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	2%	-	3%	6%	2%	6%
	Other	8	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	17%	3%	2%	4%	1%
	Don't know/Nothing/Refused	4	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	-	-	5%	2%	-	-	2%	-	2%	1%
		24	8%	10%	6%	16%	6%	3%	4%	8%	7%	11%	11%	7%	12%	7%	9%
25B. Why do you oppose that growth and development has been a positive?	Poor Urban Planning and Development Decisions	85	29%	28%	29%	36%	31%	35%	25%	22%	29%	28%	41%	28%	30%	28%	29%
	Overcrowding and Infrastructure Strain	71	24%	21%	27%	26%	11%	28%	25%	27%	24%	27%	10%	26%	18%	21%	27%
	Housing Affordability and Accessibility Issues	36	12%	14%	10%	5%	13%	11%	14%	14%	12%	13%	16%	11%	15%	15%	9%
	Leadership and Governance Criticisms	22	7%	12%	3%	7%	11%	6%	6%	8%	7%	8%	-	8%	6%	6%	9%
	General Negative	20	7%	9%	5%	3%	6%	5%	9%	9%	7%	4%	20%	8%	3%	9%	4%
	Traffic Congestion and Transportation Issues	16	5%	5%	5%	15%	5%	3%	5%	1%	5%	6%	-	5%	7%	5%	6%
	Increased Crime and Safety Concerns	15	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	-	7%	8%	6%	1%	-	3%	10%	4%	6%
	Businesses Closing	10	3%	2%	5%	2%	6%	5%	2%	2%	3%	5%	-	4%	2%	4%	3%
	Increase in Homelessness	10	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	5%	-	4%	2%	3%	3%
	Inflation	7	2%	1%	3%	-	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	-	1%	4%	2%	3%
	Other	4	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	-	13%	2%	-	1%	2%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	3	1%	1%	0%	-	4%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	0%	2%	2%	-
26. Level of government that has greatest impact on QOL	Local government, such as city or county government	293	49%	50%	48%	49%	55%	49%	49%	44%	48%	48%	76%	49%	48%	52%	45%
	State government	216	36%	39%	33%	37%	29%	44%	39%	32%	36%	38%	12%	36%	35%	34%	38%
	Federal government	88	15%	11%	18%	13%	17%	7%	12%	22%	15%	13%	12%	14%	16%	14%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 - Very little impact	30	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	8%	5%	5%	5%	-	21%	2%	11%	6%	4%
	2	19	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	1%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%
	3	27	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	2%	-	6%	2%	6%	3%
	4	56	9%	5%	14%	8%	10%	15%	8%	7%	9%	8%	18%	8%	12%	10%	9%
	5	50	8%	10%	7%	12%	5%	10%	6%	8%	7%	16%	-	7%	12%	6%	10%
	6	77	13%	14%	11%	13%	15%	11%	10%	15%	12%	19%	20%	12%	15%	15%	11%
	7 - Very significant impact	341	57%	61%	53%	56%	56%	49%	63%	58%	58%	53%	36%	61%	46%	54%	61%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.79	6.02	5.56	5.89	5.76	5.45	5.86	5.87	5.77	6.09	4.76	5.94	5.42	5.66	5.93
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 - Very little impact	14	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	21%	2%	3%	3%	1%
	2	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-
	3	14	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	2%	3%	2%
	4	71	12%	13%	11%	18%	11%	12%	11%	8%	13%	7%	-	9%	18%	8%	16%
	5	108	18%	18%	18%	14%	12%	20%	15%	26%	17%	24%	18%	18%	19%	21%	15%
	6	134	22%	22%	23%	20%	30%	14%	22%	24%	21%	26%	24%	24%	19%	21%	24%
	7 - Very significant impact	255	43%	41%	44%	42%	42%	46%	47%	37%	43%	38%	36%	44%	38%	43%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.80	5.73	5.86	5.69	5.88	5.73	5.94	5.75	5.81	5.79	5.11	5.88	5.60	5.76	5.83
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break ins	1 - Very little impact	11	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	2%
	2	2	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	0%	1%	-	0%	-	1%	-
	3	19	3%	4%	3%	2%	-	2%	6%	4%	4%	-	-	2%	5%	2%	4%
	4	52	9%	7%	11%	14%	11%	5%	4%	9%	9%	6%	16%	8%	9%	11%	6%
	5	129	22%	18%	25%	17%	26%	31%	22%	15%	22%	21%	21%	20%	25%	23%	19%
	6	105	17%	20%	15%	24%	16%	12%	17%	18%	17%	20%	16%	18%	16%	17%	18%
	7 - Very significant impact	282	47%	49%	45%	42%	44%	47%	48%	52%	46%	52%	47%	48%	44%	44%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.88	5.95	5.81	5.85	5.83	5.87	5.86	5.96	5.83	6.15	5.93	5.91	5.82	5.82	5.94
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 - Very little impact	13	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	-	3%	-	2%	3%
	2	9	2%	1%	2%	-	2%	-	3%	2%	1%	3%	-	1%	3%	1%	3%
	3	27	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%	6%	5%	4%	5%	3%	-	4%	6%	5%	4%
	4	89	15%	16%	14%	14%	12%	18%	19%	12%	16%	10%	14%	14%	18%	14%	16%
	5	147	24%	27%	22%	25%	26%	23%	22%	26%	23%	26%	23%	26%	21%	27%	21%
	6	121	20%	20%	21%	20%	24%	17%	16%	23%	19%	27%	31%	21%	19%	23%	17%
	7 - Very significant impact	194	32%	28%	37%	35%	30%	34%	31%	31%	33%	29%	31%	31%	34%	29%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.48	5.33	5.62	5.62	5.56	5.43	5.24	5.54	5.46	5.52	5.79	5.48	5.48	5.48	5.47
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	1 - Very little impact	11	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	3%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%
	2	5	1%	1%	0%	-	2%	-	2%	0%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	0%
	3	29	5%	8%	2%	7%	3%	6%	6%	3%	5%	5%	-	5%	6%	6%	4%
	4	73	12%	10%	14%	18%	12%	12%	4%	15%	13%	10%	13%	13%	11%	10%	14%
	5	135	23%	20%	25%	21%	22%	19%	20%	28%	22%	21%	13%	23%	22%	25%	19%
	6	117	19%	22%	17%	16%	18%	18%	24%	20%	20%	20%	4%	21%	15%	18%	21%
	7 - Very significant impact	230	38%	38%	39%	35%	41%	45%	43%	31%	38%	40%	70%	36%	44%	38%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.65	5.63	5.67	5.40	5.70	5.82	5.86	5.51	5.62	5.68	6.31	5.61	5.73	5.60	5.70

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	1 - Very little impact	22	4%	5%	2%	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	-	4%	3%	3%	4%
	2	18	3%	5%	1%	5%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	-	-	3%	3%	3%	3%
	3	45	8%	9%	6%	5%	10%	13%	6%	5%	8%	10%	-	8%	8%	10%	5%
	4	56	9%	8%	11%	13%	9%	13%	5%	8%	9%	10%	30%	9%	10%	10%	9%
	5	90	15%	17%	13%	14%	17%	14%	16%	14%	15%	13%	4%	14%	18%	16%	14%
	6	106	18%	16%	19%	18%	19%	12%	18%	19%	18%	13%	17%	18%	16%	18%	17%
	7 - Very significant impact	261	44%	39%	48%	41%	37%	40%	51%	46%	42%	51%	48%	44%	42%	40%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.56	5.32	5.79	5.46	5.39	5.25	5.89	5.69	5.52	5.68	5.83	5.58	5.52	5.45	5.70
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	1 - Very little impact	101	17%	21%	13%	19%	15%	11%	20%	17%	18%	16%	-	18%	15%	14%	20%
	2	34	6%	7%	5%	7%	7%	4%	4%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	4%	6%	6%
	3	65	11%	11%	11%	10%	8%	17%	9%	10%	11%	11%	-	14%	4%	12%	10%
	4	99	16%	17%	16%	12%	11%	18%	20%	20%	15%	18%	49%	18%	12%	18%	14%
	5	101	17%	16%	18%	10%	20%	23%	16%	17%	17%	13%	6%	17%	17%	17%	17%
	6	78	13%	12%	14%	17%	16%	10%	10%	12%	12%	18%	5%	11%	18%	13%	14%
	7 - Very significant impact	122	20%	17%	23%	25%	23%	16%	20%	18%	20%	19%	33%	16%	30%	20%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	Mean	599	4.31	4.04	4.56	4.37	4.53	4.33	4.18	4.21	4.26	4.40	5.01	4.07	4.87	4.38	4.23
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	1 - Very little impact	64	11%	15%	6%	11%	13%	14%	9%	9%	11%	14%	-	12%	7%	8%	14%
	2	27	4%	6%	3%	4%	9%	2%	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	7%	4%	5%
	3	36	6%	8%	4%	6%	5%	3%	6%	9%	6%	8%	-	7%	3%	6%	5%
	4	70	12%	14%	9%	16%	5%	10%	16%	12%	12%	11%	-	14%	7%	10%	13%
	5	92	15%	17%	14%	12%	15%	17%	16%	16%	16%	12%	-	15%	15%	16%	14%
	6	100	17%	17%	16%	21%	14%	14%	14%	19%	17%	20%	11%	16%	18%	17%	16%
	7 - Very significant impact	211	35%	23%	47%	30%	39%	40%	36%	32%	33%	33%	86%	32%	43%	38%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.07	4.53	5.58	5.00	4.99	5.16	5.13	5.08	5.02	5.00	6.71	4.92	5.44	5.26	4.85
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	1 - Very little impact	74	12%	15%	9%	15%	13%	14%	12%	9%	13%	10%	-	11%	15%	11%	14%
	2	17	3%	4%	2%	-	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%
	3	30	5%	7%	3%	5%	4%	5%	4%	7%	5%	3%	-	6%	4%	4%	6%
	4	57	10%	12%	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	13%	10%	8%	-	9%	11%	11%	8%
	5	90	15%	17%	13%	10%	17%	10%	17%	18%	15%	14%	4%	16%	12%	15%	15%
	6	83	14%	13%	15%	10%	8%	16%	13%	20%	14%	11%	22%	16%	9%	15%	12%
	7 - Very significant impact	250	42%	32%	51%	52%	47%	45%	39%	31%	40%	50%	70%	39%	49%	41%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.20	4.78	5.59	5.38	5.22	5.28	5.07	5.11	5.11	5.46	6.49	5.18	5.23	5.28	5.10
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	1 - Very little impact	15	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	-	3%	2%	2%	4%
	2	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	-	2%	2%	3%	-	1%	3%	2%	2%
	3	32	5%	7%	4%	9%	4%	6%	5%	4%	5%	7%	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%
	4	80	13%	15%	12%	6%	13%	11%	19%	15%	12%	17%	8%	13%	13%	13%	14%
	5	157	26%	28%	25%	33%	27%	17%	29%	24%	25%	30%	39%	26%	26%	28%	24%
	6	141	23%	21%	25%	21%	26%	28%	17%	25%	25%	21%	6%	25%	19%	24%	23%
	7 - Very significant impact	164	27%	24%	30%	27%	27%	32%	26%	27%	28%	20%	42%	25%	33%	26%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.39	5.24	5.52	5.35	5.52	5.48	5.24	5.38	5.41	5.11	5.73	5.34	5.51	5.39	5.39

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	1 - Very little impact	30	5%	8%	3%	4%	8%	5%	8%	2%	5%	8%	-	6%	4%	4%	6%
	2	17	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	-	2%	6%	3%	3%
	3	31	5%	8%	3%	2%	8%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%	4%	6%	3%	5%	6%
	4	87	14%	14%	15%	18%	14%	13%	15%	12%	15%	8%	18%	17%	8%	15%	13%
	5	129	22%	21%	22%	19%	18%	27%	21%	23%	23%	14%	19%	21%	23%	20%	23%
	6	107	18%	17%	19%	16%	19%	20%	14%	21%	18%	18%	4%	19%	16%	21%	14%
	7 - Very significant impact	198	33%	30%	36%	39%	31%	28%	34%	32%	32%	41%	56%	30%	41%	32%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.30	5.06	5.52	5.50	5.12	5.28	5.14	5.43	5.28	5.36	5.91	5.21	5.52	5.33	5.27
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	1 - Very little impact	81	14%	19%	8%	8%	15%	19%	15%	13%	15%	11%	-	15%	10%	12%	15%
	2	41	7%	11%	3%	5%	9%	6%	9%	6%	6%	9%	-	9%	3%	6%	8%
	3	65	11%	12%	10%	18%	4%	11%	9%	12%	11%	11%	7%	10%	12%	9%	13%
	4	87	14%	15%	14%	13%	13%	14%	16%	15%	15%	9%	8%	14%	15%	15%	13%
	5	126	21%	19%	23%	21%	23%	11%	24%	23%	20%	27%	16%	20%	23%	24%	18%
	6	69	12%	10%	13%	11%	10%	15%	10%	12%	12%	8%	31%	12%	10%	10%	13%
	7 - Very significant impact	130	22%	15%	28%	23%	26%	24%	17%	20%	21%	24%	38%	19%	29%	23%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.44	3.92	4.93	4.61	4.57	4.35	4.24	4.47	4.37	4.52	5.86	4.28	4.82	4.58	4.29
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	1 - Very little impact	51	8%	13%	4%	8%	8%	9%	12%	6%	8%	14%	-	10%	6%	6%	11%
	2	30	5%	8%	2%	7%	6%	3%	3%	5%	5%	4%	-	5%	6%	5%	5%
	3	36	6%	7%	5%	6%	3%	6%	7%	7%	7%	1%	-	5%	7%	5%	7%
	4	100	17%	20%	13%	15%	16%	15%	13%	23%	17%	16%	-	17%	16%	16%	18%
	5	112	19%	18%	19%	18%	21%	15%	24%	16%	16%	30%	22%	18%	19%	18%	19%
	6	82	14%	11%	16%	13%	14%	16%	13%	13%	15%	7%	23%	14%	12%	17%	10%
	7 - Very significant impact	189	32%	23%	40%	33%	31%	35%	28%	31%	32%	28%	55%	31%	33%	33%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.99	4.48	5.47	4.99	5.01	5.11	4.87	4.99	4.98	4.77	6.33	4.97	5.05	5.14	4.82
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	1 - Very little impact	67	11%	15%	7%	12%	20%	5%	13%	7%	11%	17%	-	12%	9%	9%	14%
	2	29	5%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	6%	2%	5%	7%	-	5%	5%	3%	7%
	3	45	7%	11%	4%	8%	6%	8%	7%	7%	8%	6%	4%	7%	7%	6%	9%
	4	102	17%	18%	17%	17%	14%	15%	20%	18%	18%	12%	14%	18%	14%	19%	15%
	5	141	24%	22%	25%	15%	23%	27%	22%	29%	23%	25%	26%	23%	24%	27%	19%
	6	98	16%	15%	18%	20%	12%	18%	15%	16%	16%	14%	25%	19%	11%	18%	14%
	7 - Very significant impact	118	20%	14%	25%	20%	21%	22%	16%	20%	20%	19%	31%	15%	30%	18%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.65	4.28	4.99	4.58	4.35	4.96	4.43	4.88	4.63	4.37	5.66	4.54	4.90	4.80	4.47

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	77	13%	10%	15%	10%	14%	15%	13%	12%	14%	4%	26%	12%	15%	15%	10%
	4/(DK/Ref)	56	9%	5%	14%	8%	10%	15%	8%	7%	9%	8%	18%	8%	12%	10%	9%
	5-7 Big impact	468	78%	85%	71%	82%	77%	70%	79%	81%	77%	88%	56%	80%	73%	75%	82%
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little impact	32	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%	8%	4%	5%	5%	4%	21%	5%	6%	7%	3%
	4/(DK/Ref)	71	12%	13%	11%	18%	11%	12%	11%	8%	13%	7%	-	9%	18%	8%	16%
	5-7 Big impact	497	83%	81%	85%	77%	84%	80%	85%	87%	82%	88%	79%	86%	76%	85%	81%
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break ins	1-3 Little impact	32	5%	6%	5%	3%	3%	4%	9%	6%	6%	1%	-	5%	6%	4%	7%
	4/(DK/Ref)	52	9%	7%	11%	14%	11%	5%	4%	9%	9%	6%	16%	8%	9%	11%	6%
	5-7 Big impact	516	86%	87%	85%	83%	86%	90%	87%	85%	85%	93%	84%	86%	85%	85%	88%
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	49	8%	10%	7%	6%	7%	9%	12%	7%	9%	8%	-	8%	9%	7%	10%
	4/(DK/Ref)	89	15%	16%	14%	14%	12%	18%	19%	12%	16%	10%	14%	14%	18%	14%	16%
	5-7 Big impact	462	77%	74%	79%	81%	81%	73%	69%	80%	75%	82%	86%	78%	73%	79%	75%
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	1-3 Little impact	45	7%	10%	5%	10%	7%	6%	8%	6%	8%	9%	-	7%	8%	9%	6%
	4/(DK/Ref)	73	12%	10%	14%	18%	12%	12%	4%	15%	13%	10%	13%	13%	11%	10%	14%
	5-7 Big impact	482	80%	79%	81%	72%	82%	82%	87%	79%	80%	82%	87%	80%	81%	81%	80%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	86	14%	19%	10%	14%	17%	21%	10%	12%	15%	14%	-	14%	14%	17%	12%
	4/(DK/Ref)	56	9%	8%	11%	13%	9%	13%	5%	8%	9%	10%	30%	9%	10%	10%	9%
	5-7 Big impact	458	76%	73%	80%	73%	74%	66%	85%	80%	76%	76%	70%	76%	76%	74%	79%
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	1-3 Little impact	200	33%	38%	29%	37%	30%	32%	34%	33%	35%	32%	7%	38%	23%	31%	35%
	4/(DK/Ref)	100	17%	17%	16%	12%	11%	18%	20%	21%	15%	18%	49%	19%	12%	19%	14%
	5-7 Big impact	300	50%	45%	55%	52%	59%	50%	46%	46%	50%	50%	44%	44%	65%	50%	50%
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	1-3 Little impact	127	21%	29%	14%	21%	27%	19%	18%	21%	22%	23%	4%	23%	17%	18%	25%
	4/(DK/Ref)	70	12%	14%	9%	16%	5%	10%	16%	12%	12%	11%	-	14%	7%	10%	13%
	5-7 Big impact	403	67%	57%	77%	64%	68%	71%	66%	67%	66%	66%	96%	63%	77%	71%	62%
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	1-3 Little impact	120	20%	26%	14%	19%	21%	21%	22%	18%	21%	17%	4%	20%	20%	18%	23%
	4/(DK/Ref)	57	10%	12%	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	13%	10%	8%	-	9%	11%	11%	8%
	5-7 Big impact	422	70%	62%	78%	72%	71%	72%	69%	69%	69%	75%	96%	71%	69%	72%	69%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	1-3 Little impact	58	10%	12%	8%	14%	6%	12%	9%	9%	10%	13%	5%	10%	8%	10%	10%
	4/(DK/Ref)	80	13%	15%	12%	6%	13%	11%	19%	15%	12%	17%	8%	13%	13%	13%	14%
	5-7 Big impact	462	77%	73%	81%	81%	81%	77%	72%	76%	78%	71%	87%	76%	79%	78%	76%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	1-3 Little impact	79	13%	19%	8%	8%	18%	12%	17%	11%	13%	19%	4%	13%	13%	12%	14%
	4/(DK/Ref)	87	14%	14%	15%	18%	14%	13%	15%	12%	15%	8%	18%	17%	8%	15%	13%
	5-7 Big impact	434	72%	68%	77%	74%	68%	75%	68%	76%	72%	74%	79%	69%	80%	73%	72%
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	1-3 Little impact	187	31%	42%	21%	31%	27%	36%	33%	30%	32%	32%	7%	34%	24%	27%	36%
	4/(DK/Ref)	87	14%	15%	14%	13%	13%	14%	16%	15%	15%	9%	8%	14%	15%	15%	13%
	5-7 Big impact	326	54%	44%	64%	56%	60%	50%	51%	55%	52%	59%	85%	51%	61%	57%	51%
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	1-3 Little impact	117	20%	28%	12%	21%	18%	19%	22%	18%	21%	19%	-	20%	20%	17%	23%
	4/(DK/Ref)	100	17%	20%	13%	15%	16%	15%	13%	23%	17%	16%	-	17%	16%	16%	18%
	5-7 Big impact	383	64%	52%	75%	64%	66%	66%	65%	60%	63%	65%	100%	64%	65%	67%	60%
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	1-3 Little impact	141	23%	31%	16%	27%	31%	18%	27%	17%	23%	31%	4%	24%	22%	18%	30%
	4/(DK/Ref)	102	17%	18%	17%	17%	14%	15%	20%	18%	18%	12%	14%	18%	14%	19%	15%
	5-7 Big impact	357	60%	51%	67%	56%	56%	67%	54%	65%	58%	57%	82%	57%	65%	63%	55%
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	116	19%	19%	20%	16%	24%	19%	25%	15%	19%	26%	-	19%	21%	17%	22%
	Somewhat less safe	164	27%	30%	24%	21%	27%	29%	30%	29%	27%	28%	26%	27%	27%	25%	30%
	More safe	39	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	8%	5%	7%	6%	7%	-	6%	8%	6%	7%
	About the same	281	47%	45%	49%	56%	43%	45%	40%	50%	48%	38%	74%	48%	44%	52%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	280	47%	49%	44%	37%	51%	47%	55%	43%	46%	54%	26%	46%	48%	42%	52%
	Same/(DK)	281	47%	45%	49%	56%	43%	45%	40%	50%	48%	38%	74%	48%	44%	52%	41%
	More safe	39	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	8%	5%	7%	6%	7%	-	6%	8%	6%	7%
	Net More safe	-241	-40	-43	-37	-31	-45	-40	-50	-36	-39	-47	-	-40	-39	-36	-45

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
42. Importance: More police officers	1 - Not at all important	52	9%	9%	8%	11%	14%	11%	6%	4%	8%	8%	21%	6%	14%	7%	11%
	2	27	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	11%	1%	3%	4%	8%	5%	5%	4%	7%	2%
	3	37	6%	7%	6%	1%	12%	12%	3%	4%	7%	3%	-	5%	9%	8%	4%
	4	82	14%	13%	14%	12%	13%	17%	14%	13%	14%	14%	9%	14%	14%	12%	15%
	5	121	20%	20%	21%	24%	14%	17%	23%	21%	20%	23%	14%	23%	13%	22%	18%
	6	77	13%	13%	13%	10%	10%	9%	16%	17%	13%	12%	-	13%	12%	11%	15%
	7 - Extremely important	204	34%	33%	35%	37%	31%	24%	36%	38%	34%	31%	50%	34%	34%	33%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.07	5.01	5.12	5.12	4.65	4.37	5.40	5.48	5.09	4.98	4.88	5.19	4.79	5.02	5.12
43. Importance: New, expanded jail and detention facilities	1 - Not at all important	62	10%	12%	9%	14%	9%	15%	10%	6%	9%	12%	33%	11%	8%	10%	10%
	2	47	8%	6%	10%	5%	9%	10%	8%	7%	8%	6%	4%	6%	12%	8%	7%
	3	58	10%	10%	9%	8%	6%	18%	5%	12%	9%	12%	12%	10%	9%	9%	11%
	4	107	18%	15%	21%	15%	21%	20%	24%	12%	20%	10%	5%	19%	16%	18%	18%
	5	120	20%	19%	21%	25%	17%	13%	18%	24%	18%	26%	28%	18%	25%	22%	18%
	6	69	11%	14%	9%	14%	14%	7%	10%	12%	12%	13%	-	13%	8%	11%	12%
	7 - Extremely important	135	22%	23%	22%	19%	22%	19%	24%	26%	23%	21%	17%	22%	23%	21%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	Mean	599	4.54	4.58	4.51	4.50	4.59	4.03	4.60	4.82	4.58	4.55	3.60	4.54	4.55	4.50	4.59
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1 - Not at all important	49	8%	12%	5%	7%	11%	14%	5%	6%	9%	6%	-	7%	11%	8%	8%
	2	35	6%	8%	4%	9%	5%	4%	8%	4%	6%	6%	-	7%	4%	6%	6%
	3	34	6%	8%	4%	5%	4%	4%	9%	5%	6%	5%	-	7%	4%	4%	7%
	4	50	8%	10%	7%	5%	5%	10%	7%	13%	8%	9%	-	10%	5%	8%	8%
	5	107	18%	17%	18%	18%	18%	15%	18%	19%	18%	15%	27%	17%	19%	13%	23%
	6	105	17%	15%	20%	11%	25%	15%	19%	18%	17%	21%	36%	19%	14%	21%	14%
	7 - Extremely important	220	37%	31%	42%	46%	32%	38%	34%	35%	36%	39%	37%	34%	44%	39%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.21	4.82	5.58	5.34	5.14	5.06	5.19	5.29	5.16	5.41	6.10	5.16	5.34	5.31	5.10
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1 - Not at all important	48	8%	11%	5%	9%	9%	11%	6%	6%	8%	11%	-	9%	6%	6%	10%
	2	35	6%	8%	4%	6%	7%	6%	7%	4%	6%	6%	4%	6%	5%	5%	7%
	3	44	7%	9%	5%	5%	10%	10%	6%	7%	7%	9%	-	7%	8%	7%	7%
	4	125	21%	20%	21%	23%	13%	24%	24%	20%	21%	22%	17%	22%	18%	23%	19%
	5	133	22%	19%	25%	14%	26%	18%	26%	26%	22%	21%	46%	22%	23%	24%	21%
	6	99	17%	16%	17%	17%	19%	14%	15%	18%	18%	13%	-	15%	21%	14%	19%
	7 - Extremely important	114	19%	16%	22%	27%	17%	17%	14%	20%	19%	18%	33%	19%	19%	21%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%
	Mean	599	4.69	4.40	4.97	4.85	4.62	4.42	4.62	4.87	4.71	4.46	5.37	4.61	4.88	4.78	4.59
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1 - Not at all important	55	9%	12%	7%	10%	7%	15%	11%	5%	9%	13%	-	9%	9%	7%	12%
	2	37	6%	7%	5%	5%	9%	13%	5%	2%	6%	7%	9%	5%	9%	4%	9%
	3	57	10%	9%	10%	5%	10%	13%	8%	12%	10%	9%	-	10%	8%	9%	10%
	4	116	19%	19%	19%	18%	14%	19%	24%	20%	20%	15%	19%	22%	14%	20%	18%
	5	153	26%	26%	25%	29%	29%	15%	23%	29%	24%	33%	30%	24%	28%	26%	25%
	6	75	13%	10%	15%	11%	13%	11%	10%	16%	14%	5%	16%	13%	12%	13%	12%
	7 - Extremely important	106	18%	17%	18%	23%	17%	15%	18%	16%	17%	17%	27%	17%	19%	20%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.54	4.38	4.69	4.74	4.57	3.98	4.47	4.78	4.54	4.31	5.25	4.54	4.55	4.75	4.29

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in jail	1 - Not at all important	55	9%	12%	6%	7%	9%	9%	13%	8%	10%	10%	-	11%	5%	9%	9%
	2	36	6%	8%	4%	12%	4%	3%	3%	8%	7%	2%	4%	5%	9%	5%	8%
	3	58	10%	12%	8%	6%	14%	6%	10%	12%	9%	14%	-	9%	12%	8%	11%
	4	83	14%	13%	15%	9%	9%	15%	17%	17%	14%	11%	20%	14%	12%	13%	15%
	5	130	22%	23%	20%	28%	15%	26%	18%	23%	21%	26%	29%	23%	19%	23%	21%
	6	101	17%	14%	19%	16%	27%	13%	13%	15%	17%	14%	25%	17%	16%	20%	13%
	7 - Extremely important	137	23%	18%	28%	23%	22%	27%	26%	18%	23%	23%	21%	21%	27%	23%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.75	4.39	5.08	4.79	4.88	4.94	4.67	4.57	4.71	4.76	5.35	4.70	4.87	4.87	4.60
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1 - Not at all important	48	8%	11%	5%	11%	7%	10%	7%	6%	8%	9%	-	9%	5%	8%	8%
	2	27	5%	8%	2%	2%	8%	3%	7%	3%	5%	-	-	5%	3%	6%	3%
	3	59	10%	12%	8%	14%	7%	11%	10%	7%	9%	13%	4%	9%	11%	7%	13%
	4	92	15%	16%	15%	15%	16%	15%	15%	15%	16%	16%	15%	16%	15%	15%	16%
	5	149	25%	25%	24%	27%	21%	23%	25%	26%	26%	25%	-	26%	22%	26%	23%
	6	87	14%	11%	18%	11%	20%	11%	14%	17%	13%	16%	31%	13%	17%	15%	13%
	7 - Extremely important	138	23%	17%	29%	20%	22%	27%	22%	25%	23%	20%	50%	21%	27%	23%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.80	4.38	5.20	4.58	4.84	4.77	4.74	5.00	4.76	4.77	6.06	4.68	5.08	4.82	4.78
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1 - Not at all important	49	8%	12%	5%	11%	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%	10%	-	9%	6%	7%	9%
	2	25	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	2%	-	6%	1%	6%	3%
	3	43	7%	11%	3%	7%	8%	8%	9%	5%	7%	10%	-	8%	6%	6%	9%
	4	81	14%	14%	13%	16%	16%	7%	13%	14%	14%	13%	4%	11%	19%	11%	16%
	5	103	17%	16%	18%	11%	14%	16%	18%	23%	18%	14%	-	19%	13%	17%	17%
	6	110	18%	17%	19%	19%	21%	12%	21%	17%	18%	19%	27%	20%	15%	20%	16%
	7 - Extremely important	189	31%	24%	38%	33%	29%	44%	26%	29%	30%	31%	69%	28%	40%	33%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.08	4.65	5.48	5.01	5.07	5.30	4.96	5.10	5.01	5.01	6.62	4.95	5.37	5.18	4.96
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1 - Not at all important	30	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%	3%	4%	5%	5%	3%	-	5%	4%	5%	5%
	2	25	4%	6%	3%	3%	7%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%	-	4%	5%	4%	4%
	3	39	7%	7%	6%	9%	9%	8%	2%	6%	6%	10%	-	6%	7%	6%	7%
	4	81	13%	17%	10%	13%	11%	9%	19%	14%	15%	11%	-	16%	7%	14%	13%
	5	122	20%	22%	18%	23%	11%	16%	21%	27%	21%	18%	4%	22%	16%	23%	17%
	6	121	20%	20%	20%	16%	23%	24%	22%	19%	20%	22%	14%	19%	22%	16%	25%
	7 - Extremely important	182	30%	21%	39%	29%	35%	39%	28%	24%	28%	33%	82%	27%	39%	31%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.22	4.88	5.54	5.01	5.24	5.61	5.30	5.04	5.14	5.34	6.79	5.11	5.47	5.21	5.23
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1 - Not at all important	58	10%	14%	5%	11%	11%	15%	7%	7%	10%	12%	-	9%	12%	10%	10%
	2	39	7%	10%	4%	8%	7%	5%	7%	5%	7%	3%	4%	7%	5%	5%	8%
	3	53	9%	11%	7%	7%	9%	7%	10%	10%	9%	8%	-	9%	8%	8%	10%
	4	64	11%	12%	10%	13%	8%	6%	14%	11%	11%	10%	8%	13%	5%	11%	10%
	5	118	20%	20%	20%	11%	21%	16%	21%	26%	20%	15%	31%	19%	22%	19%	20%
	6	99	17%	12%	21%	18%	19%	13%	15%	17%	16%	19%	19%	17%	15%	18%	15%
	7 - Extremely important	167	28%	22%	33%	31%	24%	38%	25%	24%	26%	33%	38%	25%	34%	29%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.85	4.36	5.32	4.85	4.73	4.93	4.81	4.92	4.78	5.02	5.75	4.79	5.01	4.93	4.76

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
42. Importance: More police officers	1-3 Not important	116	19%	21%	18%	17%	31%	34%	11%	11%	19%	19%	27%	16%	27%	21%	17%
	4/(DK/Ref)	82	14%	13%	14%	12%	13%	17%	14%	13%	14%	14%	9%	14%	14%	12%	15%
	5-7 Important	402	67%	66%	68%	71%	56%	49%	76%	76%	67%	67%	64%	71%	59%	66%	68%
43. Importance: New, expanded jail and detention facilities	1-3 Not important	167	28%	28%	27%	27%	25%	42%	23%	25%	27%	30%	49%	28%	28%	27%	28%
	4/(DK/Ref)	108	18%	15%	21%	15%	21%	20%	24%	13%	20%	10%	5%	19%	16%	19%	18%
	5-7 Important	324	54%	57%	52%	58%	54%	38%	53%	62%	53%	60%	45%	53%	56%	54%	54%
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1-3 Not important	118	20%	27%	12%	20%	21%	22%	22%	15%	21%	16%	-	20%	18%	19%	21%
	4/(DK/Ref)	50	8%	10%	7%	5%	5%	10%	7%	13%	8%	9%	-	10%	5%	8%	8%
	5-7 Important	432	72%	63%	81%	75%	75%	68%	71%	72%	71%	75%	100%	70%	77%	73%	71%
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1-3 Not important	127	21%	29%	14%	20%	26%	27%	19%	17%	21%	26%	4%	22%	19%	19%	24%
	4/(DK/Ref)	126	21%	21%	21%	23%	13%	24%	25%	20%	21%	22%	17%	22%	18%	23%	19%
	5-7 Important	347	58%	51%	64%	58%	61%	49%	56%	63%	58%	51%	79%	55%	63%	59%	57%
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1-3 Not important	149	25%	28%	22%	20%	26%	40%	24%	19%	25%	29%	9%	24%	27%	20%	30%
	4/(DK/Ref)	116	19%	19%	19%	18%	14%	19%	24%	20%	20%	15%	19%	22%	14%	20%	18%
	5-7 Important	334	56%	53%	58%	62%	60%	41%	52%	61%	55%	55%	73%	54%	59%	60%	51%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in jail	1-3 Not important	149	25%	32%	18%	24%	27%	18%	26%	27%	25%	26%	4%	24%	26%	22%	28%
	4/(DK/Ref)	83	14%	13%	15%	9%	9%	15%	17%	17%	14%	11%	20%	14%	12%	13%	15%
	5-7 Important	368	61%	55%	67%	67%	64%	67%	57%	56%	60%	63%	76%	61%	62%	66%	56%
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1-3 Not important	134	22%	31%	14%	27%	21%	24%	24%	17%	23%	22%	4%	24%	18%	21%	24%
	4/(DK/Ref)	92	15%	16%	15%	15%	16%	15%	15%	15%	16%	16%	15%	16%	15%	15%	16%
	5-7 Important	374	62%	53%	71%	58%	63%	60%	61%	68%	62%	62%	80%	60%	67%	64%	60%
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1-3 Not important	118	20%	29%	11%	21%	19%	21%	22%	16%	20%	23%	-	22%	13%	19%	21%
	4/(DK/Ref)	81	14%	14%	13%	16%	16%	7%	13%	14%	14%	13%	4%	11%	19%	11%	16%
	5-7 Important	401	67%	57%	76%	63%	65%	72%	65%	70%	66%	64%	96%	66%	68%	70%	63%
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1-3 Not important	94	16%	19%	12%	20%	21%	12%	9%	16%	16%	16%	-	16%	16%	15%	16%
	4/(DK/Ref)	81	13%	17%	10%	13%	11%	9%	19%	14%	15%	11%	-	16%	7%	14%	13%
	5-7 Important	425	71%	64%	78%	67%	69%	78%	72%	70%	69%	73%	100%	68%	77%	71%	70%
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1-3 Not important	151	25%	35%	16%	26%	28%	28%	25%	22%	26%	23%	4%	26%	24%	23%	28%
	4/(DK/Ref)	64	11%	12%	10%	13%	8%	6%	14%	11%	11%	10%	8%	13%	5%	11%	10%
	5-7 Important	385	64%	53%	74%	61%	64%	67%	61%	67%	62%	67%	88%	61%	71%	66%	62%
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Very concerned	213	35%	34%	37%	35%	33%	48%	37%	28%	34%	42%	44%	29%	52%	32%	40%
	Somewhat concerned	228	38%	34%	41%	36%	48%	38%	36%	34%	38%	34%	45%	39%	35%	34%	43%
	Not too concerned	120	20%	25%	15%	25%	16%	9%	19%	27%	20%	20%	10%	24%	11%	27%	12%
	Not at all concerned	40	7%	7%	7%	4%	3%	4%	8%	12%	7%	4%	-	8%	3%	8%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Concerned	441	73%	68%	78%	72%	81%	87%	74%	61%	72%	76%	90%	68%	86%	66%	83%
	Not concerned	159	27%	32%	22%	28%	19%	13%	26%	39%	28%	24%	10%	32%	14%	34%	17%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1 - Very pessimistic	128	21%	22%	21%	20%	23%	33%	26%	10%	20%	26%	44%	18%	28%	17%	26%
	2	164	27%	28%	27%	20%	39%	29%	26%	25%	28%	28%	11%	25%	32%	24%	32%
	3	223	37%	35%	39%	44%	34%	29%	36%	41%	39%	29%	45%	40%	29%	43%	30%
	4	77	13%	14%	12%	17%	4%	8%	11%	20%	13%	17%	-	14%	9%	16%	10%
	5 - Very optimistic	8	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	4%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	2.45	2.44	2.47	2.57	2.19	2.12	2.37	2.82	2.49	2.38	2.00	2.55	2.23	2.60	2.28
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1-2 Pessimistic	292	49%	50%	47%	40%	62%	63%	51%	35%	47%	53%	55%	44%	60%	40%	58%
	3/(DK/Ref)	223	37%	35%	39%	44%	34%	29%	36%	41%	39%	29%	45%	40%	29%	43%	30%
	4-5 Optimistic	85	14%	15%	13%	17%	4%	8%	13%	24%	14%	17%	-	16%	10%	17%	11%
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	Much more often	9	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	21%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	Somewhat more often	20	3%	3%	4%	2%	8%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	6%	4%	2%	4%	3%
	About the same	193	32%	29%	35%	46%	24%	27%	27%	36%	33%	31%	5%	31%	35%	34%	30%
	Somewhat less often	118	20%	22%	18%	14%	20%	24%	19%	21%	21%	14%	12%	20%	18%	22%	17%
	Much less often	260	43%	46%	41%	36%	45%	44%	51%	40%	42%	51%	55%	44%	42%	38%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	More often	30	5%	4%	6%	5%	10%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	28%	5%	4%	6%	4%
	Same/(DK)	193	32%	29%	35%	46%	24%	27%	27%	36%	33%	31%	5%	31%	35%	34%	30%
	Less often	377	63%	67%	59%	49%	66%	69%	70%	61%	63%	65%	67%	64%	60%	60%	66%
2. Homeowner	Own or are buying your apartment or home	420	70%	74%	66%	71%	52%	75%	81%	69%	71%	70%	51%	100%	-	75%	64%
	Rent or lease your apartment or home	139	23%	20%	26%	18%	41%	19%	15%	24%	23%	25%	-	-	77%	20%	27%
	Live with family	27	4%	2%	6%	11%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	38%	-	15%	3%	6%
	Not have stable housing	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-
	(Refused)	13	2%	3%	2%	-	3%	4%	-	3%	2%	-	11%	-	7%	2%	3%
2. Homeowner	Homeowner	420	70%	74%	66%	71%	52%	75%	81%	69%	71%	70%	51%	100%	-	75%	64%
	Non-Homeowner	180	30%	26%	34%	29%	48%	25%	19%	31%	29%	30%	49%	-	100%	25%	36%
55. Residence duration	<2 years	17	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	6%	4%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	5%	2%	4%
	2-5 years	41	7%	6%	8%	9%	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	8%	-	6%	9%	7%	6%
	6-10 years	70	12%	13%	10%	15%	17%	13%	3%	12%	11%	15%	20%	9%	17%	13%	10%
	11-20 years	92	15%	17%	14%	14%	19%	17%	16%	12%	15%	21%	-	15%	16%	15%	16%
	20+ years	380	63%	62%	65%	60%	56%	56%	72%	69%	64%	56%	80%	68%	53%	62%	65%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
56. Party ID	Strong Democrat	112	19%	14%	23%	28%	16%	16%	10%	22%	19%	22%	13%	18%	22%	22%	14%
	Not very strong Democrat	44	7%	6%	9%	7%	10%	13%	3%	5%	7%	3%	21%	6%	10%	10%	4%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	65	11%	10%	11%	6%	8%	9%	13%	16%	11%	12%	6%	12%	9%	11%	10%
	Independent	64	11%	12%	9%	7%	14%	11%	9%	12%	10%	16%	-	9%	14%	10%	11%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	44	7%	9%	6%	5%	9%	11%	7%	5%	8%	4%	-	8%	4%	7%	8%
	Not very strong Republican	33	6%	7%	4%	3%	6%	4%	11%	3%	5%	7%	7%	7%	3%	5%	6%
	Strong Republican	140	23%	26%	21%	26%	18%	17%	27%	26%	25%	18%	-	25%	20%	18%	30%
	Something else	40	7%	7%	6%	11%	10%	10%	3%	2%	7%	6%	-	4%	12%	7%	6%
	Prefer not to respond	58	10%	8%	11%	7%	9%	8%	15%	9%	8%	11%	52%	11%	6%	10%	10%
56. Party ID	Democrat	222	37%	30%	43%	41%	34%	38%	27%	43%	37%	37%	41%	36%	40%	44%	29%
	Republican	217	36%	42%	31%	34%	32%	33%	46%	34%	38%	29%	7%	40%	27%	30%	44%
	NPP/Other	162	27%	28%	26%	24%	34%	29%	27%	23%	25%	34%	52%	25%	32%	27%	27%
57. Political Ideology	1 - Very liberal	48	8%	5%	11%	9%	8%	10%	7%	6%	8%	4%	21%	7%	10%	7%	9%
	2	59	10%	7%	12%	12%	11%	8%	6%	11%	9%	16%	-	8%	13%	13%	6%
	3	85	14%	13%	15%	18%	15%	12%	7%	18%	13%	19%	5%	13%	16%	17%	11%
	4	112	19%	20%	18%	12%	20%	20%	20%	21%	19%	14%	32%	18%	20%	18%	19%
	5	83	14%	16%	11%	7%	11%	15%	19%	15%	15%	12%	-	15%	11%	14%	14%
	6	66	11%	14%	8%	15%	9%	9%	12%	11%	12%	6%	-	12%	9%	11%	11%
	7 - Very conservative	91	15%	18%	13%	16%	19%	12%	15%	14%	16%	14%	-	16%	13%	11%	20%
	(Refused)	56	9%	7%	11%	11%	7%	12%	14%	4%	7%	14%	42%	10%	8%	8%	11%
	Mean	544	4.26	4.61	3.92	4.17	4.26	4.11	4.54	4.20	4.34	4.00	2.81	4.40	3.95	4.05	4.52
57. Political Ideology	1-3 Liberal	192	32%	25%	38%	39%	34%	31%	20%	35%	30%	40%	27%	29%	39%	38%	25%
	4/(DK/Ref)	168	28%	27%	29%	22%	27%	33%	34%	25%	27%	28%	73%	28%	28%	26%	30%
	5-7 Conservative	240	40%	48%	32%	38%	39%	36%	46%	40%	43%	32%	-	43%	33%	36%	45%
58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)	Hispanic	25	4%	6%	3%	4%	9%	2%	4%	3%	2%	26%	0%	4%	4%	4%	5%
	White	501	84%	83%	84%	79%	75%	87%	83%	90%	100%	16%	0%	85%	81%	84%	83%
	Black	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	10%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	Asian	18	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	6%	1%	3%	1%	19%	0%	3%	2%	3%	3%
	Something else	49	8%	8%	8%	13%	9%	5%	10%	5%	1%	49%	0%	8%	8%	7%	9%
	Prefer not to respond	15	3%	1%	4%	5%	5%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%	2%	4%	3%	2%
58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)	White	501	84%	83%	84%	79%	75%	87%	83%	90%	100%	16%	0%	85%	81%	84%	83%
	BIPOC	100	17%	19%	15%	21%	23%	15%	16%	11%	3%	100%	0%	17%	17%	16%	18%
	Prefer not to respond	15	3%	1%	4%	5%	5%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%	2%	4%	3%	2%
59. Age	18-29	109	18%	19%	18%	97%	-	-	-	-	17%	23%	35%	19%	17%	17%	20%
	30-39	104	17%	15%	19%	-	98%	-	-	-	16%	23%	27%	13%	28%	17%	18%
	40-49	97	16%	16%	16%	-	-	99%	-	-	17%	14%	6%	17%	14%	16%	16%
	50-64	126	21%	21%	21%	-	-	-	99%	-	21%	19%	26%	24%	13%	20%	22%
	65 or over	155	26%	28%	24%	-	-	-	-	99%	28%	17%	-	25%	27%	28%	23%
	(Refused)	8	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	1%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
Age (Self-ID and Sample)	18-29	113	19%	19%	19%	100%	-	-	-	-	18%	23%	35%	19%	18%	18%	20%
	30-39	106	18%	15%	20%	-	100%	-	-	-	16%	25%	33%	13%	28%	17%	18%
	40-49	98	16%	16%	17%	-	-	100%	-	-	17%	14%	6%	18%	14%	16%	17%
	50-64	127	21%	21%	21%	-	-	-	100%	-	21%	20%	26%	25%	13%	20%	22%
	65+	156	26%	28%	24%	-	-	-	-	100%	28%	17%	-	26%	27%	29%	23%
Two-Age Split	18-49	317	53%	50%	55%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	51%	62%	74%	50%	60%	51%	55%
	50+	283	47%	50%	45%	-	-	-	100%	100%	49%	38%	26%	50%	40%	49%	45%
Generation	18-49	317	53%	50%	55%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	51%	62%	74%	50%	60%	51%	55%
	50-64	127	21%	21%	21%	-	-	-	100%	-	21%	20%	26%	25%	13%	20%	22%
	65+	156	26%	28%	24%	-	-	-	-	100%	28%	17%	-	26%	27%	29%	23%
60. Education	Some grade school	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	2%	-	2%
	Graduated high school	27	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	3%	8%	3%	5%	4%	-	5%	3%	-	10%
	Technical or Vocational school	47	8%	9%	7%	10%	10%	9%	7%	5%	8%	7%	-	8%	8%	-	17%
	Some college or Less than 4-year degree	186	31%	30%	32%	36%	26%	32%	31%	30%	31%	36%	27%	28%	39%	-	67%
	Graduated college or 4-year degree (BA, BS, Bachelor's)	174	29%	30%	28%	28%	30%	30%	29%	29%	29%	28%	41%	29%	28%	54%	-
	Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MS, Master's, PhD, MBA, Doctorate)	150	25%	24%	26%	23%	23%	24%	23%	31%	25%	23%	16%	29%	16%	46%	-
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	2%	-	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	16%	1%	3%	-	4%
60. Education	College Graduate	324	54%	54%	54%	51%	53%	53%	52%	59%	54%	51%	57%	58%	44%	100%	-
	Non-College Graduate	276	46%	46%	46%	49%	47%	47%	48%	41%	46%	49%	43%	42%	56%	-	100%
61. Gender	Employed full time	292	49%	55%	43%	50%	62%	73%	60%	14%	48%	60%	32%	49%	48%	52%	45%
	Employed part time	43	7%	5%	9%	5%	6%	5%	10%	8%	7%	8%	-	7%	8%	8%	6%
	Unemployed	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	-	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	4%	2%	1%
	Retired	175	29%	31%	28%	32%	5%	-	17%	72%	31%	17%	15%	33%	19%	29%	30%
	Student	8	1%	-	3%	2%	4%	2%	-	-	1%	3%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%
	Homemaker	11	2%	-	4%	2%	7%	2%	0%	-	2%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	3%
	(Other)	43	7%	6%	8%	3%	9%	12%	10%	4%	7%	8%	11%	6%	11%	6%	8%
	(Refused)	20	3%	2%	4%	5%	6%	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%	43%	2%	6%	2%	5%
Q62. Do you identify as...?	A man	286	48%	99%	-	48%	42%	47%	47%	52%	48%	53%	11%	50%	41%	48%	47%
	A woman	303	50%	-	98%	50%	55%	50%	51%	47%	51%	46%	57%	48%	57%	51%	50%
	Non-binary	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	0%
	Another gender identity	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	0%	-
	(Refused)	9	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	32%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Q62. Do you identify as...?	Male	286	48%	99%	-	48%	42%	47%	47%	52%	48%	53%	11%	50%	41%	48%	47%
	Female	303	50%	-	98%	50%	55%	50%	51%	47%	51%	46%	57%	48%	57%	51%	50%
	Other/Ref	11	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	32%	2%	1%	1%	3%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
Gender (Self-ID and Sample)	Male	290	48%	100%	-	48%	42%	48%	49%	53%	48%	54%	15%	51%	41%	48%	49%
	Female	310	52%	-	100%	52%	58%	52%	51%	47%	52%	46%	85%	49%	59%	52%	51%
Sample	Rest of Spokane County	240	40%	40%	40%	40%	34%	39%	43%	42%	41%	39%	44%	39%	42%	38%	42%
	City of Spokane	240	40%	40%	40%	38%	45%	41%	38%	38%	40%	39%	43%	44%	30%	45%	35%
	City of Spokane Valley	120	20%	20%	20%	21%	20%	20%	19%	20%	20%	22%	13%	17%	28%	18%	23%
Sample	City of Spokane	240	40%	40%	40%	38%	45%	41%	38%	38%	40%	39%	43%	44%	30%	45%	35%
	Rest of Spokane County	360	60%	60%	60%	62%	55%	59%	62%	62%	60%	61%	57%	56%	70%	55%	65%
State House District (Sample)	LD3	162	27%	27%	27%	20%	30%	27%	29%	28%	27%	25%	28%	29%	22%	32%	22%
	LD4	186	31%	32%	30%	27%	36%	35%	32%	27%	30%	35%	34%	26%	43%	29%	34%
	LD6	168	28%	26%	30%	31%	22%	28%	26%	32%	28%	27%	21%	30%	22%	27%	30%
	LD7	12	2%	0%	4%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	-	1%	4%	2%	2%
	LD9	72	12%	14%	10%	20%	10%	9%	11%	10%	13%	11%	16%	13%	9%	11%	13%
Party Registration (Sample)	Democrat	265	44%	38%	50%	46%	39%	48%	36%	50%	42%	53%	71%	41%	53%	47%	41%
	Republican	222	37%	44%	31%	41%	27%	28%	47%	39%	39%	34%	11%	43%	24%	35%	40%
	Other	113	19%	19%	19%	12%	35%	24%	17%	11%	20%	13%	18%	17%	24%	18%	19%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	319	53%	51%	55%	69%	71%	60%	49%	28%	52%	62%	64%	49%	62%	49%	58%
	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	138	23%	23%	23%	25%	19%	27%	27%	18%	22%	25%	29%	25%	19%	25%	21%
	Perfect Voter (6/6)	143	24%	26%	22%	5%	10%	12%	24%	54%	26%	13%	7%	26%	19%	26%	21%
Homeowner (Sample)	Homeowner	376	63%	66%	59%	60%	55%	63%	69%	64%	62%	69%	54%	78%	27%	69%	55%
	Renter	107	18%	14%	21%	13%	21%	19%	16%	20%	18%	14%	23%	9%	38%	16%	20%
	Unknown	118	20%	20%	20%	27%	25%	18%	16%	15%	20%	17%	23%	13%	35%	15%	25%
emc_income	Less than $25K	600	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gender/Two-Age	Male: 18-49	146	24%	50%	-	48%	42%	48%	-	-	23%	34%	6%	24%	25%	23%	26%
	Male: 50+	144	24%	50%	-	-	-	-	49%	53%	25%	20%	9%	27%	17%	25%	22%
	Female: 18-49	171	28%	-	55%	52%	58%	52%	-	-	27%	29%	67%	26%	35%	28%	29%
	Female: 50+	139	23%	-	45%	-	-	-	51%	47%	24%	17%	18%	23%	23%	24%	23%
Gender/Three-Age	Male: 18-49	146	24%	50%	-	48%	42%	48%	-	-	23%	34%	6%	24%	25%	23%	26%
	Male: 50-64	62	10%	21%	-	-	-	-	49%	-	10%	12%	9%	13%	4%	10%	11%
	Male: 65+	83	14%	28%	-	-	-	-	-	53%	15%	9%	-	14%	12%	16%	12%
	Female: 18-49	171	28%	-	55%	52%	58%	52%	-	-	27%	29%	67%	26%	35%	28%	29%
	Female: 50-64	65	11%	-	21%	-	-	-	51%	-	11%	8%	18%	12%	9%	11%	11%
	Female: 65+	73	12%	-	24%	-	-	-	-	47%	13%	9%	-	11%	14%	13%	11%

				Gender (Self-ID and Sample)		Age (Self-ID and Sample)					58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)			2. Homeowner		60. Education	
		n	%	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	BIPOC	Prefer not to respond	Home-owner	Non-Home-owner	College Graduate	Non-College Graduate
Number of cases		600		290	310	113	106	98	127	156	501	100	15	420	180	324	276
Row percent			100%	48%	52%	19%	18%	16%	21%	26%	84%	17%	3%	70%	30%	54%	46%
Gender/Homeowner	Male / Homeowner	216	36%	74%	-	34%	24%	38%	42%	39%	36%	39%	15%	51%	-	38%	34%
	Male / Non-Homeowner	75	12%	26%	-	14%	18%	10%	6%	14%	12%	15%	-	-	41%	10%	15%
	Female / Homeowner	204	34%	-	66%	37%	28%	37%	39%	31%	35%	31%	36%	49%	-	38%	30%
	Female / Non-Homeowner	105	18%	-	34%	14%	30%	15%	13%	17%	17%	15%	49%	-	59%	14%	21%
Party/Two-Age	Democrat / 18-49	141	23%	17%	30%	46%	39%	48%	-	-	21%	32%	63%	20%	31%	23%	23%
	Democrat / 50+	125	21%	21%	21%	-	-	-	36%	50%	21%	21%	8%	20%	22%	24%	17%
	Republican / 18-49	102	17%	21%	14%	41%	27%	28%	-	-	17%	22%	-	19%	12%	14%	20%
	Republican / 50+	120	20%	23%	17%	-	-	-	47%	39%	22%	12%	11%	23%	12%	20%	20%
	Other / 18-49	74	12%	13%	12%	12%	35%	24%	-	-	13%	8%	11%	10%	18%	13%	11%
	Other / 50+	39	6%	6%	7%	-	-	-	17%	11%	7%	5%	8%	7%	6%	5%	8%
Party/Gender	Democrat / Male	109	18%	38%	-	19%	10%	17%	16%	26%	17%	26%	11%	17%	21%	20%	16%
	Democrat / Female	156	26%	-	50%	28%	29%	31%	20%	25%	25%	28%	60%	23%	32%	27%	24%
	Republican / Male	127	21%	44%	-	25%	13%	19%	24%	23%	21%	23%	4%	26%	10%	19%	24%
	Republican / Female	95	16%	-	31%	16%	14%	9%	22%	16%	17%	11%	7%	17%	14%	16%	16%
	Other / Male	54	9%	19%	-	5%	19%	12%	8%	4%	10%	6%	-	8%	11%	10%	8%
	Other / Female	58	10%	-	19%	8%	16%	12%	9%	7%	10%	7%	18%	8%	13%	8%	11%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
QOL Index	QOL Index (Mean)	600	3.7	5.6	2.3	2.8	5.5	3.5	2.3	4.1	3.5	3.5	4.5	3.3	4.1	3.5	3.3
3. Spokane Region Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	239	40%	67%	23%	25%	64%	39%	21%	41%	39%	39%	55%	38%	43%	38%	37%
	Wrong track	358	60%	33%	76%	74%	36%	61%	78%	59%	60%	60%	45%	62%	57%	62%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	-	0%	-	1%
	Net Right Direction	-120	-20	+33	-53	-49	+28	-22	-57	-17	-21	-22	+11	-24	-14	-23	-24
4. What is the best thing about living in the Spokane region? (Multiple Response)	Nature/Scenery/Landscape	158	26%	29%	26%	24%	29%	27%	24%	28%	27%	23%	13%	31%	28%	35%	21%
	Outdoor recreation/Access to public land	114	19%	19%	14%	26%	21%	19%	17%	17%	19%	23%	12%	16%	18%	16%	22%
	Climate/Weather/Has all 4 seasons	68	11%	10%	11%	13%	9%	14%	11%	8%	10%	17%	24%	6%	10%	10%	13%
	Small town feel	65	11%	15%	10%	6%	12%	12%	9%	10%	9%	12%	12%	14%	9%	10%	13%
	Community feel/Friendly people	57	10%	11%	6%	12%	12%	12%	6%	14%	8%	6%	27%	10%	13%	7%	8%
	Location/Proximity/Accessibility	51	9%	4%	11%	11%	6%	8%	11%	7%	11%	7%	0%	12%	8%	11%	8%
	Close to family/Family oriented	40	7%	7%	5%	9%	9%	8%	4%	8%	7%	4%	12%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	Entertainment/Activities/Businesses	39	6%	9%	6%	4%	11%	2%	6%	5%	10%	7%	0%	0%	6%	2%	9%
	Cost of living/Affordability	20	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	21%	3%	4%	2%	3%
	Access to healthcare	13	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	3%	2%	1%
	Diversity/Culture	10	2%	4%	0%	2%	3%	2%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	4%	3%	0%	2%
	Other	30	5%	4%	8%	3%	3%	4%	8%	4%	6%	6%	0%	4%	5%	6%	5%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	40	7%	4%	10%	5%	4%	7%	9%	7%	7%	6%	5%	7%	7%	8%	6%
5. What are the issues facing the Spokane region? (Multiple Response)	Homelessness	263	44%	42%	49%	40%	38%	50%	44%	51%	41%	41%	23%	44%	49%	39%	42%
	Crime/Drugs/Public Safety	171	29%	20%	37%	29%	17%	32%	35%	35%	27%	27%	17%	22%	30%	25%	29%
	The government/Politics	115	19%	17%	21%	20%	19%	15%	22%	13%	21%	20%	26%	26%	14%	23%	22%
	Infrastructure/Roads	63	10%	11%	10%	11%	11%	11%	10%	10%	14%	8%	5%	10%	10%	15%	9%
	Taxes/High Taxes	61	10%	6%	15%	10%	3%	10%	16%	5%	8%	14%	27%	17%	7%	7%	15%
	Inflation/Cost of living	56	9%	10%	10%	8%	12%	9%	8%	6%	9%	12%	0%	11%	8%	7%	12%
	Affordable housing/Housing	52	9%	12%	4%	11%	13%	9%	5%	9%	8%	8%	17%	7%	11%	11%	6%
	Government spending/Budget	27	4%	4%	4%	7%	5%	2%	6%	4%	7%	5%	0%	0%	5%	6%	3%
	Law enforcement/Lack of police	21	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	6%	2%	5%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%
	Lack of mental health services/Healthcare	20	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	11%	3%	2%	7%	3%
	Jobs/Economy	20	3%	5%	2%	3%	6%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	5%	2%
	Garbage/Filth	18	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%	7%	2%	4%	4%
	Other	26	4%	7%	1%	5%	7%	4%	3%	5%	6%	2%	12%	4%	5%	6%	4%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
6. Is overall quality of life getting better, worse, or staying the same	Getting much better	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Getting somewhat better	65	11%	24%	3%	3%	22%	8%	3%	15%	9%	11%	12%	5%	14%	11%	7%
	Staying the same	128	21%	25%	15%	23%	27%	24%	15%	21%	21%	19%	44%	24%	21%	22%	21%
	Getting somewhat worse	264	44%	44%	45%	43%	46%	48%	40%	36%	51%	43%	25%	51%	39%	48%	47%
	Getting much worse	139	23%	4%	36%	31%	3%	20%	41%	26%	19%	27%	19%	21%	25%	18%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
6. Is overall quality of life getting better, worse, or staying the same	Better	67	11%	25%	3%	3%	23%	8%	3%	16%	9%	11%	12%	5%	15%	11%	7%
	Same/(DK)	129	22%	26%	16%	23%	27%	24%	15%	22%	21%	19%	44%	24%	21%	22%	21%
	Worse	404	67%	49%	81%	74%	49%	67%	82%	62%	69%	70%	44%	72%	64%	67%	71%
	Net Better	-337	-56	-24	-78	-71	-26	-59	-78	-46	-60	-59	-32	-67	-49	-56	-64
7. Have you considered moving?	Yes	395	66%	42%	80%	78%	45%	66%	82%	54%	73%	68%	60%	68%	56%	73%	71%
	No	205	34%	58%	20%	22%	55%	34%	18%	46%	27%	32%	40%	32%	44%	27%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Have you considered moving?	Yes	395	66%	42%	80%	78%	45%	66%	82%	54%	73%	68%	60%	68%	56%	73%	71%
	No/(DK/Ref)	205	34%	58%	20%	22%	55%	34%	18%	46%	27%	32%	40%	32%	44%	27%	29%
8. What is the main reason you have considered moving? (Multiple Response)	Government/Leadership/Politics	135	22%	7%	36%	25%	7%	17%	39%	19%	25%	20%	32%	26%	17%	23%	27%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	115	19%	7%	24%	29%	6%	26%	25%	23%	20%	17%	13%	16%	18%	21%	19%
	Taxes	101	17%	4%	26%	22%	2%	16%	29%	14%	16%	18%	10%	24%	15%	14%	20%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	93	15%	8%	20%	20%	12%	13%	20%	11%	18%	17%	29%	12%	12%	21%	16%
	Homelessness	62	10%	4%	12%	15%	4%	13%	13%	15%	8%	10%	0%	9%	13%	7%	9%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	21	3%	5%	1%	5%	5%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%	0%	6%	2%	4%	5%
	Declining quality of life	18	3%	1%	2%	6%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	0%	3%	3%	4%	3%
	Weather	18	3%	5%	1%	3%	6%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	8%	2%	4%	4%
	Growth/Development/Space	14	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	2%	5%	2%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	2%	4%	2%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	5%	2%	1%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	3%	2%	0%	2%
	Closer to family	8	1%	3%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
	Want to move abroad	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Other	15	3%	4%	3%	0%	4%	0%	3%	1%	4%	3%	0%	1%	2%	3%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	2	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	58	10%	17%	5%	7%	15%	8%	6%	13%	9%	10%	12%	2%	12%	11%	6%
	Somewhat agree	222	37%	51%	26%	33%	55%	35%	24%	38%	38%	35%	50%	34%	39%	40%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	200	33%	27%	37%	38%	25%	38%	37%	23%	37%	34%	31%	44%	26%	30%	43%
	Strongly disagree	119	20%	5%	33%	22%	5%	19%	33%	25%	15%	21%	7%	20%	23%	19%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Strongly agree	344	57%	29%	76%	72%	30%	62%	76%	56%	56%	57%	49%	66%	54%	57%	61%
	Somewhat agree	184	31%	47%	22%	20%	44%	30%	20%	28%	31%	32%	45%	32%	31%	25%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	57	9%	20%	1%	6%	21%	6%	3%	13%	9%	9%	6%	3%	13%	12%	5%
	Strongly disagree	16	3%	5%	1%	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	-	-	3%	5%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Strongly agree	171	28%	52%	12%	18%	53%	23%	12%	34%	24%	28%	45%	24%	34%	28%	23%
	Somewhat agree	210	35%	33%	35%	38%	33%	34%	37%	29%	38%	35%	21%	43%	33%	37%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	132	22%	13%	30%	25%	11%	26%	28%	22%	20%	24%	22%	25%	20%	15%	27%
	Strongly disagree	87	15%	3%	23%	19%	3%	17%	22%	15%	18%	13%	12%	9%	13%	20%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Strongly agree	53	9%	19%	1%	5%	21%	5%	2%	15%	8%	6%	6%	5%	13%	7%	5%
	Somewhat agree	96	16%	30%	6%	10%	31%	11%	8%	19%	15%	14%	17%	15%	19%	20%	11%
	Somewhat disagree	145	24%	26%	22%	25%	26%	27%	21%	22%	22%	27%	16%	30%	25%	23%	24%
	Strongly disagree	306	51%	25%	71%	61%	22%	57%	70%	44%	55%	53%	60%	51%	43%	51%	59%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Strongly agree	176	29%	12%	42%	36%	8%	31%	45%	46%	21%	26%	22%	22%	39%	19%	25%
	Somewhat agree	202	34%	38%	34%	28%	35%	37%	30%	21%	36%	40%	24%	43%	27%	41%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	172	29%	39%	19%	27%	45%	25%	18%	25%	34%	26%	28%	30%	25%	36%	29%
	Strongly disagree	33	6%	10%	2%	4%	10%	4%	3%	7%	5%	6%	12%	1%	7%	2%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	3%	14%	3%	2%	2%	5%
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	97	16%	30%	5%	12%	33%	13%	5%	20%	15%	13%	35%	14%	22%	20%	9%
	Somewhat agree	162	27%	38%	16%	27%	38%	27%	18%	23%	30%	26%	17%	32%	24%	29%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	109	18%	13%	24%	17%	13%	21%	20%	16%	18%	23%	29%	9%	18%	18%	18%
	Strongly disagree	232	39%	19%	56%	43%	17%	39%	56%	40%	37%	38%	20%	45%	36%	33%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	43	7%	14%	3%	3%	12%	7%	4%	8%	5%	8%	12%	10%	9%	4%	7%
	Somewhat agree	193	32%	43%	23%	30%	49%	29%	21%	28%	33%	35%	54%	28%	28%	36%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	150	25%	26%	18%	33%	24%	33%	20%	17%	27%	26%	17%	35%	21%	23%	30%
	Strongly disagree	208	35%	16%	54%	34%	15%	30%	54%	46%	34%	30%	17%	25%	41%	37%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	1%	1%
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Strongly agree	204	34%	13%	56%	34%	12%	30%	55%	32%	35%	38%	17%	30%	31%	33%	37%
	Somewhat agree	229	38%	39%	36%	39%	39%	43%	34%	39%	36%	39%	54%	35%	39%	38%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	115	19%	33%	6%	18%	32%	20%	8%	18%	20%	16%	29%	26%	19%	20%	18%
	Strongly disagree	51	8%	15%	2%	8%	17%	7%	3%	10%	9%	7%	-	8%	10%	8%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	25	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	6%	4%	3%	5%	4%	12%	4%	3%	7%	4%
	Somewhat agree	143	24%	34%	16%	21%	32%	25%	17%	21%	26%	26%	-	22%	22%	25%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	182	30%	37%	27%	26%	42%	24%	26%	22%	33%	31%	54%	36%	26%	39%	31%
	Strongly disagree	242	40%	25%	51%	48%	23%	45%	51%	54%	34%	37%	34%	37%	49%	29%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	0%	-	3%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	192	32%	10%	51%	37%	10%	30%	51%	41%	29%	31%	17%	25%	39%	31%	26%
	Somewhat agree	211	35%	36%	32%	39%	35%	36%	34%	25%	44%	35%	56%	33%	27%	41%	40%
	Somewhat disagree	160	27%	46%	13%	19%	46%	28%	11%	27%	24%	28%	28%	31%	26%	26%	27%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	8%	2%	4%	9%	5%	2%	5%	2%	6%	-	9%	7%	2%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	2%
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	Strongly agree	302	50%	43%	62%	45%	42%	46%	60%	60%	53%	49%	6%	33%	57%	51%	43%
	Somewhat agree	246	41%	48%	29%	47%	50%	46%	30%	32%	38%	45%	89%	51%	36%	39%	48%
	Somewhat disagree	41	7%	8%	5%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	6%	5%	5%	12%	7%	8%	7%
	Strongly disagree	5	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	2%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	0%	-	2%
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	Strongly agree	74	12%	23%	3%	10%	23%	14%	3%	16%	8%	12%	30%	13%	16%	11%	10%
	Somewhat agree	201	34%	44%	24%	31%	47%	32%	24%	33%	31%	38%	34%	29%	34%	30%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	159	26%	23%	30%	26%	22%	29%	28%	22%	31%	25%	10%	31%	24%	32%	27%
	Strongly disagree	166	28%	9%	43%	33%	8%	25%	45%	29%	29%	25%	26%	27%	27%	27%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	Strongly agree	372	62%	60%	64%	62%	58%	65%	63%	67%	60%	62%	72%	54%	63%	61%	61%
	Somewhat agree	181	30%	32%	29%	29%	33%	28%	30%	26%	31%	30%	28%	37%	29%	26%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	31	5%	8%	3%	5%	7%	5%	4%	6%	5%	5%	-	5%	7%	7%	3%
	Strongly disagree	16	3%	-	4%	5%	1%	3%	4%	0%	4%	3%	-	3%	1%	5%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure and services such as schools, parks, and transit	Strongly agree	210	35%	60%	17%	25%	62%	27%	19%	35%	33%	35%	58%	36%	36%	37%	33%
	Somewhat agree	247	41%	34%	44%	46%	34%	53%	39%	40%	38%	48%	17%	38%	42%	35%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	88	15%	4%	24%	18%	2%	16%	25%	16%	16%	11%	25%	18%	14%	17%	14%
	Strongly disagree	54	9%	3%	14%	11%	3%	5%	17%	10%	12%	6%	-	8%	8%	11%	9%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	42	7%	14%	4%	1%	12%	5%	4%	4%	8%	6%	35%	7%	7%	9%	6%
	Somewhat agree	260	43%	52%	37%	39%	56%	41%	35%	38%	49%	45%	13%	40%	41%	50%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	167	28%	23%	30%	33%	21%	33%	29%	32%	22%	28%	52%	27%	30%	19%	30%
	Strongly disagree	132	22%	11%	30%	27%	11%	20%	32%	25%	20%	21%	-	26%	22%	22%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	281	47%	68%	30%	40%	70%	43%	31%	51%	48%	45%	62%	36%	52%	51%	40%
	Disagree	319	53%	32%	70%	60%	30%	57%	69%	49%	52%	55%	38%	64%	48%	49%	60%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-39	-6	+36	-39	-21	+41	-14	-39	+3	-5	-9	+24	-29	+4	+2	-21

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Agree	528	88%	75%	98%	92%	74%	92%	96%	84%	87%	89%	94%	97%	84%	83%	94%
	Disagree	72	12%	25%	2%	8%	26%	8%	4%	16%	13%	11%	6%	3%	16%	17%	6%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+456	+76	+51	+95	+84	+48	+84	+93	+68	+73	+78	+87	+95	+69	+66	+88
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Agree	380	63%	84%	47%	56%	86%	57%	49%	63%	62%	63%	67%	67%	67%	65%	59%
	Disagree	220	37%	16%	53%	44%	14%	43%	51%	37%	38%	37%	33%	33%	33%	35%	41%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+161	+27	+69	-5	+12	+73	+14	-1	+26	+25	+26	+34	+33	+34	+29	+18
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Agree	148	25%	49%	7%	14%	52%	16%	9%	34%	23%	20%	24%	20%	32%	27%	17%
	Disagree	452	75%	51%	93%	86%	48%	84%	91%	66%	77%	80%	76%	80%	68%	73%	83%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-303	-51	-1	-85	-72	+4	-69	-81	-32	-54	-60	-53	-60	-36	-47	-67
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Agree	377	63%	50%	75%	64%	44%	69%	74%	67%	57%	65%	45%	65%	67%	60%	61%
	Disagree	205	34%	49%	22%	32%	55%	29%	21%	32%	39%	31%	40%	32%	32%	38%	35%
	(DK/Ref)	17	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	3%	14%	3%	2%	2%	5%
	Net Agree	+172	+29	+1	+54	+33	-11	+40	+53	+35	+18	+34	+5	+33	+35	+21	+26
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Agree	259	43%	68%	20%	40%	71%	40%	23%	44%	45%	39%	52%	46%	46%	49%	38%
	Disagree	341	57%	32%	80%	60%	29%	60%	77%	56%	55%	61%	48%	54%	54%	51%	62%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-81	-14	+36	-59	-21	+42	-20	-54	-13	-11	-21	+3	-8	-9	-2	-24
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	237	39%	57%	27%	32%	60%	36%	25%	36%	38%	43%	66%	38%	37%	40%	42%
	Disagree	358	60%	42%	72%	67%	39%	62%	74%	63%	61%	56%	34%	60%	63%	59%	57%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-121	-20	+15	-45	-34	+21	-26	-49	-27	-24	-13	+32	-21	-26	-20	-15
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Agree	433	72%	52%	92%	74%	50%	73%	89%	71%	71%	77%	71%	65%	70%	71%	74%
	Disagree	166	28%	48%	8%	26%	49%	27%	11%	28%	29%	23%	29%	35%	29%	29%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+267	+44	+4	+84	+47	+1	+46	+78	+43	+42	+55	+42	+31	+41	+43	+49
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	168	28%	38%	20%	26%	35%	30%	21%	24%	31%	30%	12%	26%	25%	32%	29%
	Disagree	424	71%	62%	78%	74%	64%	69%	77%	76%	67%	68%	88%	72%	74%	68%	68%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	0%	-	3%
	Net Agree	-256	-43	-23	-58	-48	-29	-39	-56	-52	-36	-38	-77	-46	-49	-36	-40
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	403	67%	45%	83%	76%	45%	66%	85%	67%	72%	66%	72%	58%	67%	72%	65%
	Disagree	190	32%	54%	15%	23%	54%	33%	13%	32%	26%	34%	28%	40%	33%	28%	33%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	2%
	Net Agree	+213	+35	-9	+67	+53	-9	+33	+72	+34	+46	+32	+44	+18	+34	+44	+33
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	Agree	548	91%	91%	91%	93%	92%	91%	91%	92%	91%	94%	95%	84%	93%	90%	91%
	Disagree	46	8%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	6%	5%	14%	7%	10%	7%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	0%	-	2%
	Net Agree	+503	+84	+83	+83	+86	+85	+83	+83	+85	+84	+88	+90	+70	+85	+81	+84

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	Agree	275	46%	67%	27%	42%	70%	46%	27%	49%	40%	50%	64%	42%	50%	41%	45%
	Disagree	325	54%	33%	73%	58%	30%	54%	73%	51%	60%	50%	36%	58%	50%	59%	55%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-49	-8	+34	-45	-17	+39	-8	-46	-2	-20	+0	+27	-16	-1	-18	-11
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	Agree	553	92%	92%	93%	90%	92%	92%	92%	94%	91%	92%	100%	92%	92%	88%	95%
	Disagree	47	8%	8%	7%	10%	8%	8%	8%	6%	9%	8%	-	8%	8%	12%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+506	+84	+85	+87	+81	+83	+85	+85	+88	+82	+84	-	+83	+84	+75	+89
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure and services such as schools, parks, and transit	Agree	456	76%	94%	62%	71%	96%	79%	58%	75%	72%	83%	75%	74%	78%	72%	76%
	Disagree	143	24%	6%	38%	28%	4%	21%	42%	25%	28%	17%	25%	26%	22%	28%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	+314	+52	+88	+23	+43	+92	+59	+16	+49	+44	+67	+50	+49	+56	+44	+53
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	301	50%	67%	41%	40%	67%	47%	39%	42%	58%	51%	48%	47%	47%	59%	49%
	Disagree	299	50%	33%	59%	60%	33%	53%	61%	58%	42%	49%	52%	53%	53%	41%	51%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+3	+0	+34	-18	-20	+35	-6	-22	-15	+16	+2	-3	-7	-5	+18	-3
24. What recent policy decisions have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive?	Taxes / Government Fees	84	22%	12%	31%	19%	9%	16%	32%	12%	27%	27%	22%	24%	16%	27%	27%
	Catholic charities/Homelessness	60	16%	12%	18%	16%	10%	18%	17%	30%	7%	13%	-	13%	25%	4%	11%
	Crime / Drugs / Public Safety	42	11%	12%	10%	12%	10%	13%	10%	18%	7%	12%	-	6%	17%	5%	8%
	Government Leadership / Policy Direction	14	4%	2%	5%	4%	4%	1%	6%	4%	5%	3%	-	3%	5%	6%	2%
	Lack of Business Support /Fines	13	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%	-	-	5%	5%	1%
	Regulations / Red Tape	13	3%	2%	5%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%	5%	4%	-	2%	3%	3%	5%
	Construction/Development	13	3%	6%	2%	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	5%	4%	-	2%	4%	7%	1%
	Operating Costs /Cost of living	11	3%	3%	1%	6%	6%	1%	3%	1%	5%	3%	36%	-	2%	5%	3%
	Minimum Wage / Labor Costs	11	3%	3%	1%	6%	4%	6%	1%	2%	6%	2%	-	-	1%	8%	2%
	Parking policy	9	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	5%	-	2%	-	3%	4%	-	2%
	ICE/Immigration	6	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	3%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	4%	1%
	Infrastructure	5	1%	4%	-	-	6%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	8%	-	2%	3%
	Lease/Rent Policy	2	1%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Healthcare costs	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Other	14	4%	5%	1%	5%	4%	5%	2%	1%	1%	3%	25%	13%	2%	1%	6%
	None / Nothing	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Don't Know / Unsure	55	15%	20%	13%	11%	20%	16%	11%	11%	11%	18%	16%	22%	10%	10%	22%
	NA / Refused / No Opinion	23	6%	10%	3%	7%	13%	5%	3%	4%	11%	5%	-	3%	3%	11%	7%
			6%												4%	4%	

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
25A. Why do you support that growth and development has been a positive?	General Positive	50	16%	17%	13%	21%	12%	22%	18%	17%	13%	20%	13%	19%	17%	11%	20%
	Economic Growth and Job Opportunities	44	15%	15%	14%	16%	15%	16%	13%	15%	10%	17%	11%	22%	19%	9%	14%
	Business Expansion and Diversity	39	13%	15%	12%	11%	16%	8%	13%	19%	15%	5%	49%	8%	13%	17%	11%
	Population Increase and Urban Spread	36	12%	13%	15%	7%	12%	12%	12%	9%	14%	15%	15%	4%	9%	14%	14%
	Housing Improvements (Affordability/Stock/Value)	30	10%	9%	8%	16%	9%	13%	9%	8%	14%	9%	-	6%	10%	16%	6%
	Growth's Positives and Negatives	28	9%	7%	12%	10%	9%	11%	8%	5%	8%	11%	-	19%	9%	8%	11%
	Infrastructure Development and Improvement	26	9%	8%	8%	10%	9%	8%	9%	12%	8%	3%	13%	15%	8%	6%	11%
	Community and Social Development	12	4%	4%	5%	1%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	-	4%	4%	-	6%
	Environmental and Recreational Enhancements	8	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	6%	-	-	3%	1%	3%
	Other	4	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	4%	-	-	1%	1%	2%
	Don't know/Nothing/Refused	24	8%	9%	7%	8%	11%	3%	8%	9%	12%	5%	-	4%	7%	17%	4%
25B. Why do you oppose that growth and development has been a positive?	Poor Urban Planning and Development Decisions	85	29%	34%	30%	23%	35%	21%	30%	26%	28%	28%	11%	41%	25%	15%	37%
	Overcrowding and Infrastructure Strain	71	24%	29%	21%	23%	22%	31%	20%	15%	31%	26%	20%	26%	19%	40%	23%
	Housing Affordability and Accessibility Issues	36	12%	10%	8%	19%	13%	16%	9%	14%	11%	12%	32%	6%	14%	12%	10%
	Leadership and Governance Criticisms	22	7%	6%	10%	5%	6%	2%	11%	6%	5%	8%	28%	12%	7%	6%	8%
	General Negative	20	7%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	10%	5%	5%	-	9%	8%	4%	7%
	Traffic Congestion and Transportation Issues	16	5%	7%	4%	6%	10%	2%	5%	3%	7%	6%	-	6%	3%	8%	7%
	Increased Crime and Safety Concerns	15	5%	4%	5%	6%	4%	4%	6%	8%	4%	5%	-	-	7%	7%	2%
	Businesses Closing	10	3%	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	5%	4%	6%	1%	9%	-	4%	8%	1%
	Increase in Homelessness	10	3%	1%	2%	6%	2%	8%	1%	6%	1%	3%	-	-	6%	-	2%
	Inflation	7	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	7%	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-
	Other	4	1%	-	3%	-	-	2%	2%	0%	-	4%	-	-	1%	-	2%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	3	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	1%	-	-	1%	-	2%
26. Level of government that has greatest impact on QOL	Local government, such as city or county government	293	49%	48%	47%	52%	49%	50%	48%	57%	42%	49%	49%	47%	53%	41%	48%
	State government	216	36%	25%	49%	34%	27%	32%	46%	28%	40%	36%	51%	40%	29%	42%	39%
	Federal government	88	15%	25%	5%	14%	24%	17%	6%	14%	17%	14%	-	13%	17%	16%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 - Very little impact	30	5%	9%	2%	4%	9%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	12%	8%	5%	4%	6%
	2	19	3%	8%	0%	1%	8%	2%	-	5%	5%	1%	5%	2%	4%	6%	1%
	3	27	5%	10%	2%	1%	10%	3%	1%	7%	4%	2%	12%	5%	5%	5%	3%
	4	56	9%	15%	4%	9%	16%	10%	3%	10%	9%	11%	18%	3%	11%	8%	8%
	5	50	8%	9%	3%	14%	11%	11%	4%	5%	9%	10%	-	12%	7%	8%	10%
	6	77	13%	14%	10%	14%	15%	11%	12%	13%	12%	12%	11%	16%	13%	11%	13%
	7 - Very significant impact	341	57%	36%	79%	57%	29%	59%	78%	57%	57%	58%	43%	56%	56%	57%	57%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.79	4.93	6.52	5.97	4.76	5.91	6.52	5.74	5.76	5.91	4.95	5.81	5.74	5.73	5.86
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 - Very little impact	14	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%	0%	3%	2%	4%	2%	-	-	2%	4%	2%
	2	4	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	0%	2%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%
	3	14	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	4%	1%
	4	71	12%	14%	9%	12%	17%	8%	10%	12%	9%	8%	17%	26%	10%	12%	13%
	5	108	18%	25%	12%	16%	26%	17%	12%	17%	18%	21%	12%	14%	20%	19%	16%
	6	134	22%	20%	23%	24%	21%	27%	20%	23%	21%	22%	16%	24%	24%	17%	23%
	7 - Very significant impact	255	43%	31%	52%	45%	28%	43%	54%	40%	45%	46%	55%	31%	40%	44%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.80	5.43	6.06	5.95	5.36	5.95	6.04	5.71	5.81	5.98	6.09	5.50	5.78	5.72	5.85
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break ins	1 - Very little impact	11	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	3%	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	3%	2%
	2	2	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%
	3	19	3%	5%	3%	1%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	-	-	5%	3%	1%
	4	52	9%	14%	4%	7%	15%	8%	4%	14%	9%	4%	18%	6%	10%	11%	6%
	5	129	22%	28%	15%	22%	30%	20%	15%	20%	17%	24%	41%	29%	23%	15%	24%
	6	105	17%	19%	18%	15%	20%	16%	17%	18%	17%	15%	6%	22%	20%	17%	15%
	7 - Very significant impact	282	47%	31%	59%	53%	27%	52%	59%	41%	52%	51%	34%	39%	41%	51%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.88	5.48	6.17	6.04	5.37	6.05	6.16	5.68	6.01	5.99	5.56	5.80	5.73	5.91	6.01
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 - Very little impact	13	2%	0%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	-	6%	2%	-	3%
	2	9	2%	1%	4%	-	0%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	5%	-	2%	3%	1%
	3	27	5%	3%	4%	7%	3%	7%	4%	8%	4%	4%	-	2%	7%	4%	2%
	4	89	15%	14%	17%	13%	12%	17%	16%	18%	9%	14%	34%	20%	16%	11%	16%
	5	147	24%	22%	24%	28%	21%	28%	24%	23%	23%	27%	22%	26%	23%	23%	26%
	6	121	20%	23%	18%	20%	23%	14%	22%	18%	20%	24%	10%	18%	20%	17%	21%
	7 - Very significant impact	194	32%	37%	30%	28%	40%	31%	27%	28%	41%	29%	29%	28%	30%	42%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.48	5.73	5.30	5.37	5.81	5.34	5.30	5.24	5.74	5.51	5.21	5.29	5.37	5.73	5.46
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	1 - Very little impact	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	12%	5%	2%	-	3%
	2	5	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	0%	5%	-	2%	-	0%
	3	29	5%	7%	4%	4%	6%	5%	4%	4%	7%	4%	-	3%	5%	9%	3%
	4	73	12%	16%	9%	11%	17%	10%	9%	11%	11%	14%	-	16%	12%	12%	12%
	5	135	23%	26%	20%	21%	25%	27%	18%	26%	15%	30%	-	20%	28%	18%	19%
	6	117	19%	18%	21%	19%	19%	20%	19%	20%	17%	17%	22%	29%	18%	14%	24%
	7 - Very significant impact	230	38%	29%	45%	41%	29%	36%	47%	37%	50%	31%	61%	26%	34%	47%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.65	5.35	5.91	5.71	5.34	5.67	5.88	5.64	5.91	5.47	5.79	5.39	5.53	5.79	5.70

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	1 - Very little impact	22	4%	1%	3%	8%	1%	2%	7%	4%	2%	3%	12%	7%	4%	2%	5%
	2	18	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	-	6%	3%	5%	3%
	3	45	8%	10%	7%	5%	11%	5%	7%	12%	6%	6%	5%	7%	9%	5%	7%
	4	56	9%	8%	13%	7%	8%	10%	10%	12%	11%	7%	-	7%	10%	10%	8%
	5	90	15%	15%	14%	16%	16%	14%	15%	12%	13%	15%	26%	24%	14%	13%	17%
	6	106	18%	20%	13%	20%	22%	18%	14%	15%	20%	21%	17%	11%	17%	19%	17%
	7 - Very significant impact	261	44%	43%	47%	40%	40%	48%	43%	43%	43%	46%	40%	39%	43%	45%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.56	5.67	5.59	5.39	5.62	5.79	5.35	5.41	5.63	5.78	5.39	5.26	5.53	5.67	5.54
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	1 - Very little impact	101	17%	4%	26%	23%	3%	18%	27%	19%	16%	14%	14%	20%	16%	18%	16%
	2	34	6%	1%	10%	7%	0%	7%	9%	6%	4%	6%	-	9%	6%	4%	6%
	3	65	11%	7%	14%	12%	6%	8%	16%	9%	9%	14%	5%	13%	10%	9%	12%
	4	99	16%	14%	19%	17%	14%	20%	16%	18%	16%	16%	22%	14%	17%	13%	18%
	5	101	17%	19%	14%	17%	15%	20%	16%	17%	19%	18%	-	10%	18%	15%	16%
	6	78	13%	24%	6%	7%	22%	15%	4%	15%	13%	11%	5%	15%	14%	11%	13%
	7 - Very significant impact	122	20%	32%	12%	16%	39%	11%	12%	15%	24%	20%	53%	19%	17%	28%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	599	4.31	5.44	3.51	3.84	5.61	4.08	3.45	4.14	4.50	4.33	5.21	4.03	4.27	4.49	4.26
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	1 - Very little impact	64	11%	2%	18%	12%	1%	6%	21%	11%	9%	9%	26%	15%	11%	7%	12%
	2	27	4%	1%	6%	8%	0%	5%	7%	4%	6%	2%	-	6%	4%	7%	4%
	3	36	6%	1%	10%	7%	2%	5%	10%	8%	3%	8%	-	4%	7%	4%	6%
	4	70	12%	6%	18%	12%	6%	12%	16%	12%	8%	16%	-	14%	13%	10%	12%
	5	92	15%	19%	15%	11%	17%	13%	15%	17%	14%	14%	34%	14%	18%	13%	14%
	6	100	17%	21%	12%	16%	22%	15%	13%	16%	17%	17%	15%	18%	16%	19%	17%
	7 - Very significant impact	211	35%	50%	21%	34%	52%	42%	17%	32%	42%	35%	24%	28%	32%	40%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.07	6.03	4.25	4.86	6.11	5.37	4.03	4.94	5.29	5.14	4.60	4.74	4.96	5.31	5.07
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	1 - Very little impact	74	12%	3%	18%	17%	3%	9%	22%	13%	16%	11%	12%	5%	11%	14%	13%
	2	17	3%	0%	4%	5%	1%	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	-	3%	2%	4%	3%
	3	30	5%	2%	8%	5%	1%	5%	8%	4%	5%	6%	-	6%	4%	5%	5%
	4	57	10%	7%	11%	10%	4%	10%	14%	11%	6%	11%	-	15%	11%	4%	11%
	5	90	15%	13%	18%	13%	15%	13%	16%	17%	16%	14%	10%	11%	17%	16%	12%
	6	83	14%	17%	11%	14%	18%	13%	11%	17%	11%	13%	12%	16%	17%	11%	12%
	7 - Very significant impact	250	42%	58%	30%	35%	58%	46%	25%	36%	42%	43%	66%	45%	38%	44%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.20	6.08	4.58	4.81	6.14	5.35	4.34	5.13	5.07	5.22	5.98	5.50	5.24	5.16	5.18
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	1 - Very little impact	15	3%	1%	4%	4%	1%	2%	5%	2%	1%	4%	-	4%	3%	1%	3%
	2	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	6%	1%	2%	2%
	3	32	5%	4%	7%	5%	3%	3%	9%	7%	5%	4%	-	7%	6%	6%	5%
	4	80	13%	8%	19%	14%	10%	14%	15%	16%	14%	11%	28%	10%	16%	11%	12%
	5	157	26%	23%	28%	28%	24%	31%	25%	28%	17%	33%	33%	30%	29%	17%	28%
	6	141	23%	29%	18%	24%	31%	20%	20%	20%	24%	26%	28%	23%	21%	23%	27%
	7 - Very significant impact	164	27%	33%	24%	24%	29%	28%	26%	26%	38%	22%	12%	20%	24%	40%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.39	5.71	5.15	5.27	5.65	5.43	5.15	5.31	5.67	5.31	5.24	5.05	5.28	5.70	5.34

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	1 - Very little impact	30	5%	1%	7%	8%	1%	4%	9%	5%	5%	5%	-	5%	6%	7%	3%
	2	17	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	5%	2%	4%	3%	-	2%	1%	4%	3%
	3	31	5%	1%	8%	6%	2%	4%	8%	7%	5%	5%	-	3%	6%	3%	5%
	4	87	14%	11%	19%	13%	10%	15%	18%	18%	10%	17%	17%	12%	19%	14%	11%
	5	129	22%	22%	24%	17%	21%	21%	22%	24%	19%	21%	26%	24%	24%	20%	20%
	6	107	18%	22%	13%	18%	21%	19%	14%	15%	23%	16%	23%	14%	15%	18%	20%
	7 - Very significant impact	198	33%	40%	24%	35%	44%	33%	24%	29%	35%	33%	34%	40%	29%	34%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.30	5.76	4.87	5.25	5.88	5.39	4.78	5.13	5.40	5.25	5.73	5.49	5.13	5.24	5.51
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	1 - Very little impact	81	14%	3%	17%	23%	1%	14%	23%	15%	11%	14%	26%	14%	15%	8%	15%
	2	41	7%	2%	13%	5%	2%	5%	12%	6%	8%	5%	5%	10%	7%	7%	7%
	3	65	11%	4%	18%	11%	3%	9%	18%	8%	11%	12%	-	15%	8%	13%	12%
	4	87	14%	10%	21%	11%	12%	15%	16%	19%	14%	13%	5%	9%	16%	17%	11%
	5	126	21%	29%	17%	16%	26%	21%	17%	18%	20%	21%	22%	30%	18%	20%	25%
	6	69	12%	14%	6%	16%	15%	16%	6%	14%	10%	13%	19%	5%	15%	8%	10%
	7 - Very significant impact	130	22%	39%	7%	17%	41%	20%	7%	19%	25%	22%	23%	18%	21%	27%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.44	5.58	3.55	4.08	5.70	4.54	3.38	4.36	4.59	4.49	4.39	4.16	4.45	4.66	4.33
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	1 - Very little impact	51	8%	2%	14%	10%	1%	8%	15%	9%	7%	9%	-	10%	10%	6%	9%
	2	30	5%	1%	8%	8%	1%	4%	9%	3%	8%	4%	-	7%	3%	8%	5%
	3	36	6%	0%	10%	8%	1%	6%	11%	6%	6%	6%	31%	2%	5%	7%	6%
	4	100	17%	8%	26%	16%	7%	14%	26%	20%	16%	14%	7%	18%	18%	13%	18%
	5	112	19%	16%	21%	18%	15%	18%	21%	18%	18%	22%	22%	14%	20%	19%	17%
	6	82	14%	20%	8%	12%	21%	16%	6%	13%	11%	15%	5%	21%	15%	9%	14%
	7 - Very significant impact	189	32%	52%	14%	28%	53%	35%	12%	31%	34%	31%	35%	29%	30%	38%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.99	6.04	4.12	4.73	6.09	5.17	3.98	4.95	4.99	5.04	5.06	4.96	4.99	5.10	4.94
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	1 - Very little impact	67	11%	2%	17%	17%	1%	11%	20%	12%	10%	11%	14%	12%	12%	7%	12%
	2	29	5%	2%	9%	4%	1%	4%	9%	3%	4%	4%	-	13%	4%	5%	6%
	3	45	7%	2%	13%	7%	2%	7%	12%	11%	6%	4%	-	13%	9%	6%	6%
	4	102	17%	13%	19%	19%	14%	19%	19%	19%	13%	21%	12%	15%	21%	16%	14%
	5	141	24%	22%	23%	25%	23%	28%	21%	23%	25%	25%	35%	15%	22%	24%	24%
	6	98	16%	25%	10%	13%	24%	15%	11%	15%	14%	18%	16%	21%	17%	14%	17%
	7 - Very significant impact	118	20%	34%	9%	15%	35%	17%	10%	16%	29%	17%	23%	10%	15%	29%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.65	5.63	3.89	4.31	5.66	4.64	3.84	4.48	4.95	4.68	4.92	4.13	4.49	5.01	4.63

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	77	13%	26%	4%	6%	28%	9%	4%	15%	13%	8%	28%	14%	14%	15%	11%
	4/(DK/Ref)	56	9%	15%	4%	9%	16%	10%	3%	10%	9%	11%	18%	3%	11%	8%	8%
	5-7 Big impact	468	78%	59%	92%	85%	56%	81%	94%	75%	78%	81%	54%	83%	76%	77%	81%
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little impact	32	5%	9%	4%	2%	8%	4%	4%	7%	7%	3%	-	5%	5%	8%	4%
	4/(DK/Ref)	71	12%	14%	9%	12%	17%	8%	10%	12%	9%	8%	17%	26%	10%	12%	13%
	5-7 Big impact	497	83%	77%	87%	86%	75%	87%	86%	81%	84%	89%	83%	70%	84%	81%	83%
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break ins	1-3 Little impact	32	5%	8%	5%	3%	8%	3%	5%	7%	5%	6%	-	3%	7%	6%	3%
	4/(DK/Ref)	52	9%	14%	4%	7%	15%	8%	4%	14%	9%	4%	18%	6%	10%	11%	6%
	5-7 Big impact	516	86%	78%	91%	90%	77%	89%	91%	79%	87%	90%	82%	90%	83%	83%	90%
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	49	8%	4%	11%	10%	4%	10%	11%	13%	7%	6%	5%	7%	11%	7%	6%
	4/(DK/Ref)	89	15%	14%	17%	13%	12%	17%	16%	18%	9%	14%	34%	20%	16%	11%	16%
	5-7 Big impact	462	77%	82%	72%	76%	84%	73%	74%	69%	84%	80%	62%	73%	73%	82%	78%
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	1-3 Little impact	45	7%	10%	5%	7%	10%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%	17%	8%	8%	9%	6%
	4/(DK/Ref)	73	12%	16%	9%	11%	17%	10%	9%	11%	11%	14%	-	16%	12%	12%	12%
	5-7 Big impact	482	80%	74%	86%	81%	73%	83%	84%	82%	82%	79%	83%	76%	80%	79%	82%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	86	14%	13%	13%	17%	14%	10%	18%	18%	12%	10%	17%	19%	15%	12%	14%
	4/(DK/Ref)	56	9%	8%	13%	7%	8%	10%	10%	12%	11%	7%	-	7%	10%	10%	8%
	5-7 Big impact	458	76%	78%	74%	76%	78%	80%	72%	70%	77%	82%	83%	74%	75%	78%	77%
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	1-3 Little impact	200	33%	11%	49%	42%	10%	33%	52%	34%	29%	34%	20%	43%	33%	32%	34%
	4/(DK/Ref)	100	17%	14%	19%	18%	14%	20%	16%	18%	16%	16%	22%	14%	17%	14%	18%
	5-7 Big impact	300	50%	75%	32%	40%	76%	46%	32%	47%	55%	49%	58%	43%	50%	54%	48%
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	1-3 Little impact	127	21%	4%	34%	27%	3%	17%	39%	24%	19%	19%	26%	25%	22%	18%	22%
	4/(DK/Ref)	70	12%	6%	18%	12%	6%	12%	16%	12%	8%	16%	-	14%	13%	10%	12%
	5-7 Big impact	403	67%	90%	48%	61%	91%	71%	45%	65%	73%	66%	74%	61%	65%	72%	67%
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	1-3 Little impact	120	20%	5%	30%	27%	5%	19%	33%	19%	24%	20%	12%	14%	17%	24%	21%
	4/(DK/Ref)	57	10%	7%	11%	10%	4%	10%	14%	11%	6%	11%	-	15%	11%	4%	11%
	5-7 Big impact	422	70%	88%	59%	63%	91%	72%	53%	70%	70%	69%	88%	71%	72%	72%	68%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	1-3 Little impact	58	10%	7%	12%	11%	6%	7%	15%	10%	8%	9%	-	17%	10%	9%	10%
	4/(DK/Ref)	80	13%	8%	19%	14%	10%	14%	15%	16%	14%	11%	28%	10%	16%	11%	12%
	5-7 Big impact	462	77%	85%	70%	76%	84%	79%	70%	74%	79%	80%	72%	73%	75%	80%	78%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	1-3 Little impact	79	13%	5%	19%	17%	4%	11%	22%	14%	14%	13%	-	10%	14%	15%	12%
	4/(DK/Ref)	87	14%	11%	19%	13%	10%	15%	18%	18%	10%	17%	17%	12%	19%	14%	11%
	5-7 Big impact	434	72%	84%	62%	71%	86%	74%	60%	68%	76%	70%	83%	78%	68%	71%	78%
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	1-3 Little impact	187	31%	8%	48%	40%	6%	28%	54%	30%	30%	31%	32%	39%	30%	28%	34%
	4/(DK/Ref)	87	14%	10%	21%	11%	12%	15%	16%	19%	14%	13%	5%	9%	16%	17%	11%
	5-7 Big impact	326	54%	82%	30%	49%	82%	57%	30%	51%	56%	56%	64%	52%	54%	55%	54%
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	1-3 Little impact	117	20%	3%	32%	26%	3%	17%	34%	19%	21%	19%	31%	19%	18%	21%	20%
	4/(DK/Ref)	100	17%	8%	26%	16%	7%	14%	26%	20%	16%	14%	7%	18%	18%	13%	18%
	5-7 Big impact	383	64%	88%	43%	59%	89%	69%	40%	61%	63%	68%	62%	63%	64%	66%	62%
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	1-3 Little impact	141	23%	5%	39%	27%	5%	21%	40%	26%	20%	19%	14%	38%	25%	18%	25%
	4/(DK/Ref)	102	17%	13%	19%	19%	14%	19%	19%	19%	13%	21%	12%	15%	21%	16%	14%
	5-7 Big impact	357	60%	81%	42%	53%	81%	60%	41%	54%	67%	60%	74%	47%	54%	66%	61%
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	116	19%	5%	29%	26%	6%	18%	30%	21%	18%	24%	20%	7%	19%	23%	17%
	Somewhat less safe	164	27%	22%	35%	25%	22%	29%	30%	25%	31%	27%	12%	26%	26%	23%	30%
	More safe	39	7%	12%	3%	4%	13%	7%	1%	11%	4%	5%	5%	5%	8%	6%	5%
	About the same	281	47%	61%	33%	45%	59%	46%	38%	43%	47%	43%	63%	62%	46%	49%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	280	47%	27%	63%	51%	28%	48%	61%	46%	49%	51%	32%	33%	46%	46%	48%
	Same/(DK)	281	47%	61%	33%	45%	59%	46%	38%	43%	47%	43%	63%	62%	46%	49%	47%
	More safe	39	7%	12%	3%	4%	13%	7%	1%	11%	4%	5%	5%	5%	8%	6%	5%
	Net More safe	-241	-40	-15	-60	-47	-15	-41	-59	-35	-45	-46	-27	-27	-37	-40	-43

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
42. Importance: More police officers	1 - Not at all important	52	9%	13%	4%	9%	16%	3%	7%	9%	8%	6%	12%	15%	8%	9%	9%
	2	27	5%	8%	0%	6%	7%	7%	1%	4%	5%	6%	-	1%	5%	3%	5%
	3	37	6%	10%	2%	6%	9%	9%	2%	9%	5%	6%	-	3%	9%	5%	4%
	4	82	14%	21%	6%	14%	19%	16%	7%	14%	17%	10%	5%	14%	12%	20%	12%
	5	121	20%	24%	18%	17%	25%	18%	18%	22%	14%	20%	42%	27%	22%	15%	21%
	6	77	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	12%	9%	16%	13%	41%	9%	12%	17%	12%
	7 - Extremely important	204	34%	12%	55%	36%	11%	34%	53%	32%	35%	40%	-	30%	31%	30%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.07	4.22	5.95	5.05	4.13	5.14	5.76	4.91	5.10	5.30	4.90	4.83	4.96	5.00	5.21
43. Importance: New, expanded jail and detention facilities	1 - Not at all important	62	10%	13%	7%	10%	13%	10%	9%	12%	15%	6%	12%	6%	10%	16%	8%
	2	47	8%	12%	6%	5%	13%	6%	5%	10%	7%	6%	5%	8%	9%	7%	7%
	3	58	10%	16%	5%	7%	16%	11%	4%	10%	9%	8%	6%	16%	11%	10%	8%
	4	107	18%	23%	12%	19%	20%	25%	11%	18%	18%	21%	11%	12%	21%	21%	13%
	5	120	20%	20%	18%	24%	23%	19%	19%	18%	20%	21%	41%	21%	18%	22%	22%
	6	69	11%	7%	15%	13%	7%	8%	17%	9%	9%	13%	20%	18%	11%	5%	15%
	7 - Extremely important	135	22%	9%	36%	22%	8%	22%	35%	24%	23%	24%	5%	17%	20%	19%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%
	Mean	599	4.54	3.80	5.20	4.69	3.80	4.49	5.17	4.41	4.40	4.82	4.46	4.58	4.42	4.14	4.87
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1 - Not at all important	49	8%	2%	10%	15%	2%	8%	14%	6%	10%	7%	12%	8%	6%	10%	9%
	2	35	6%	1%	10%	7%	1%	6%	9%	3%	7%	5%	-	12%	3%	8%	7%
	3	34	6%	2%	11%	3%	1%	4%	10%	4%	8%	7%	-	3%	4%	8%	6%
	4	50	8%	5%	10%	11%	4%	10%	11%	12%	6%	8%	-	6%	12%	6%	6%
	5	107	18%	13%	22%	18%	13%	19%	21%	23%	16%	19%	12%	11%	22%	13%	17%
	6	105	17%	23%	11%	18%	23%	19%	12%	17%	15%	16%	32%	27%	17%	17%	19%
	7 - Extremely important	220	37%	53%	27%	28%	55%	34%	23%	35%	37%	39%	45%	33%	37%	39%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.21	6.09	4.66	4.75	6.16	5.22	4.45	5.32	5.05	5.30	5.75	5.11	5.35	5.08	5.14
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1 - Not at all important	48	8%	4%	10%	11%	3%	6%	13%	7%	8%	7%	12%	12%	8%	9%	8%
	2	35	6%	1%	10%	7%	1%	6%	10%	6%	5%	4%	-	12%	6%	5%	6%
	3	44	7%	3%	13%	6%	2%	8%	11%	10%	6%	8%	5%	5%	9%	7%	6%
	4	125	21%	19%	24%	19%	16%	24%	22%	21%	23%	20%	39%	12%	21%	20%	22%
	5	133	22%	29%	14%	23%	31%	22%	16%	27%	22%	22%	17%	15%	24%	22%	20%
	6	99	17%	17%	16%	17%	18%	17%	15%	15%	16%	20%	22%	14%	15%	15%	19%
	7 - Extremely important	114	19%	27%	13%	17%	30%	16%	13%	15%	21%	18%	6%	29%	17%	22%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	599	4.69	5.28	4.19	4.56	5.43	4.65	4.14	4.57	4.77	4.78	4.38	4.63	4.60	4.74	4.76
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1 - Not at all important	55	9%	5%	10%	13%	5%	8%	14%	8%	12%	6%	26%	10%	7%	14%	9%
	2	37	6%	2%	9%	8%	2%	11%	6%	8%	5%	4%	6%	9%	8%	7%	4%
	3	57	10%	9%	9%	11%	8%	11%	9%	15%	9%	8%	-	4%	13%	8%	7%
	4	116	19%	19%	17%	23%	20%	25%	16%	15%	17%	24%	28%	22%	19%	20%	20%
	5	153	26%	35%	22%	18%	33%	20%	24%	22%	29%	25%	29%	24%	22%	31%	27%
	6	75	13%	14%	13%	11%	16%	9%	12%	15%	10%	12%	11%	17%	13%	8%	14%
	7 - Extremely important	106	18%	16%	20%	17%	17%	16%	19%	18%	17%	21%	-	14%	19%	12%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.54	4.80	4.48	4.26	4.90	4.28	4.43	4.51	4.45	4.76	3.60	4.48	4.54	4.20	4.71

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in jail	1 - Not at all important	55	9%	2%	16%	11%	2%	7%	17%	8%	12%	6%	-	13%	7%	12%	10%
	2	36	6%	1%	6%	11%	1%	9%	8%	7%	8%	2%	-	8%	5%	8%	6%
	3	58	10%	4%	16%	9%	5%	7%	15%	11%	10%	12%	-	2%	12%	9%	8%
	4	83	14%	10%	16%	16%	10%	14%	17%	12%	17%	13%	17%	12%	13%	16%	13%
	5	130	22%	24%	20%	21%	23%	26%	18%	24%	16%	24%	32%	26%	22%	18%	23%
	6	101	17%	28%	10%	11%	26%	17%	9%	18%	18%	15%	17%	16%	17%	17%	17%
	7 - Extremely important	137	23%	31%	17%	21%	33%	19%	18%	20%	20%	28%	35%	24%	24%	21%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.75	5.58	4.14	4.41	5.62	4.69	4.08	4.70	4.49	5.02	5.70	4.72	4.85	4.55	4.75
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1 - Not at all important	48	8%	2%	11%	12%	2%	7%	14%	7%	7%	5%	12%	17%	7%	8%	9%
	2	27	5%	2%	8%	4%	2%	2%	8%	5%	5%	2%	-	8%	5%	3%	5%
	3	59	10%	6%	14%	10%	4%	10%	14%	8%	12%	11%	-	8%	10%	8%	11%
	4	92	15%	14%	17%	14%	13%	17%	16%	17%	15%	16%	10%	11%	16%	16%	15%
	5	149	25%	24%	25%	26%	26%	25%	23%	28%	20%	26%	27%	26%	28%	20%	24%
	6	87	14%	20%	7%	16%	23%	16%	7%	17%	13%	15%	27%	11%	16%	15%	13%
	7 - Extremely important	138	23%	31%	19%	18%	29%	24%	18%	17%	28%	25%	24%	18%	18%	30%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.80	5.41	4.33	4.59	5.47	4.93	4.17	4.74	4.87	4.99	5.20	4.26	4.74	5.02	4.75
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1 - Not at all important	49	8%	2%	13%	11%	1%	5%	16%	8%	8%	8%	-	10%	9%	8%	8%
	2	25	4%	1%	6%	6%	0%	3%	8%	3%	5%	3%	-	10%	2%	4%	6%
	3	43	7%	0%	15%	6%	1%	7%	13%	10%	7%	6%	-	5%	9%	5%	7%
	4	81	14%	6%	22%	13%	6%	10%	22%	13%	14%	14%	-	13%	13%	12%	15%
	5	103	17%	18%	17%	16%	11%	25%	17%	20%	14%	21%	32%	8%	21%	16%	14%
	6	110	18%	25%	12%	17%	28%	16%	12%	17%	15%	19%	29%	27%	16%	12%	24%
	7 - Extremely important	189	31%	48%	14%	31%	52%	34%	13%	29%	36%	30%	39%	26%	31%	43%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.08	6.06	4.19	4.92	6.19	5.33	4.02	5.00	5.12	5.14	6.07	4.85	5.05	5.29	5.00
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1 - Not at all important	30	5%	1%	7%	8%	0%	6%	8%	3%	6%	5%	-	6%	5%	9%	4%
	2	25	4%	1%	9%	2%	1%	1%	9%	6%	4%	4%	-	1%	5%	3%	4%
	3	39	7%	4%	11%	4%	3%	5%	10%	9%	5%	6%	-	7%	7%	3%	7%
	4	81	13%	7%	21%	12%	6%	9%	22%	15%	13%	13%	7%	11%	15%	11%	13%
	5	122	20%	21%	20%	20%	20%	21%	20%	18%	16%	19%	59%	34%	19%	16%	24%
	6	121	20%	24%	18%	19%	26%	21%	15%	20%	23%	21%	17%	12%	21%	22%	18%
	7 - Extremely important	182	30%	42%	15%	35%	44%	37%	15%	28%	33%	31%	18%	29%	27%	36%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.22	5.84	4.50	5.32	5.97	5.48	4.43	5.13	5.31	5.21	5.45	5.15	5.11	5.35	5.25
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1 - Not at all important	58	10%	2%	14%	15%	3%	7%	17%	7%	13%	7%	12%	12%	6%	14%	11%
	2	39	7%	1%	9%	11%	1%	9%	9%	6%	7%	5%	-	11%	5%	7%	8%
	3	53	9%	4%	13%	10%	3%	7%	15%	10%	7%	10%	-	8%	11%	8%	7%
	4	64	11%	5%	15%	12%	6%	10%	15%	14%	8%	13%	5%	7%	13%	10%	9%
	5	118	20%	24%	20%	14%	20%	23%	17%	24%	21%	15%	13%	19%	22%	19%	18%
	6	99	17%	20%	11%	19%	23%	19%	10%	13%	15%	21%	25%	17%	16%	14%	19%
	7 - Extremely important	167	28%	43%	18%	21%	44%	24%	18%	25%	28%	30%	46%	26%	27%	29%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.85	5.79	4.24	4.39	5.84	4.86	4.06	4.81	4.72	5.06	5.66	4.67	4.92	4.70	4.86

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
42. Importance: More police officers	1-3 Not important	116	19%	31%	7%	21%	32%	19%	10%	23%	18%	18%	12%	20%	22%	18%	17%
	4/(DK/Ref)	82	14%	21%	6%	14%	19%	16%	7%	14%	17%	10%	5%	14%	12%	20%	12%
	5-7 Important	402	67%	49%	87%	65%	49%	65%	83%	63%	65%	73%	83%	66%	65%	62%	71%
43. Importance: New, expanded jail and detention facilities	1-3 Not important	167	28%	42%	18%	22%	42%	26%	18%	32%	30%	20%	23%	31%	30%	34%	23%
	4/(DK/Ref)	108	18%	23%	12%	19%	20%	25%	11%	18%	18%	21%	11%	14%	21%	21%	13%
	5-7 Important	324	54%	35%	70%	59%	38%	49%	71%	50%	52%	59%	66%	56%	49%	45%	64%
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1-3 Not important	118	20%	5%	30%	25%	5%	18%	33%	13%	25%	18%	12%	24%	14%	26%	22%
	4/(DK/Ref)	50	8%	5%	10%	11%	4%	10%	11%	12%	6%	8%	-	6%	12%	6%	6%
	5-7 Important	432	72%	90%	60%	64%	92%	73%	56%	74%	69%	73%	88%	70%	75%	68%	71%
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1-3 Not important	127	21%	7%	33%	24%	6%	20%	34%	23%	19%	20%	17%	30%	23%	21%	20%
	4/(DK/Ref)	126	21%	19%	24%	19%	16%	25%	22%	22%	23%	20%	39%	12%	21%	20%	22%
	5-7 Important	347	58%	73%	42%	57%	78%	55%	44%	56%	58%	60%	45%	58%	56%	59%	59%
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1-3 Not important	149	25%	17%	29%	31%	15%	30%	29%	30%	26%	18%	32%	23%	28%	29%	20%
	4/(DK/Ref)	116	19%	19%	17%	23%	20%	25%	16%	15%	17%	24%	28%	22%	19%	20%	20%
	5-7 Important	334	56%	64%	55%	46%	65%	45%	55%	54%	56%	58%	40%	55%	53%	52%	60%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in jail	1-3 Not important	149	25%	7%	38%	31%	8%	23%	39%	26%	30%	21%	-	23%	24%	28%	24%
	4/(DK/Ref)	83	14%	10%	16%	16%	10%	14%	17%	12%	17%	13%	17%	12%	13%	16%	13%
	5-7 Important	368	61%	82%	46%	53%	82%	62%	44%	62%	53%	66%	83%	66%	63%	56%	63%
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1-3 Not important	134	22%	10%	32%	25%	8%	18%	37%	20%	24%	18%	12%	34%	22%	19%	24%
	4/(DK/Ref)	92	15%	14%	17%	14%	13%	17%	16%	17%	15%	16%	10%	11%	16%	16%	15%
	5-7 Important	374	62%	75%	50%	60%	79%	64%	48%	62%	61%	66%	78%	55%	62%	65%	61%
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1-3 Not important	118	20%	3%	34%	23%	2%	15%	37%	21%	20%	16%	-	25%	20%	17%	20%
	4/(DK/Ref)	81	14%	6%	22%	13%	6%	10%	22%	13%	14%	14%	-	13%	13%	12%	15%
	5-7 Important	401	67%	91%	44%	64%	92%	75%	41%	66%	66%	69%	100%	61%	67%	70%	65%
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1-3 Not important	94	16%	6%	27%	14%	4%	12%	27%	18%	15%	16%	-	15%	17%	14%	15%
	4/(DK/Ref)	81	13%	7%	21%	12%	6%	9%	22%	15%	13%	13%	7%	11%	15%	11%	13%
	5-7 Important	425	71%	87%	52%	74%	90%	79%	50%	67%	72%	71%	93%	74%	68%	75%	72%
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1-3 Not important	151	25%	8%	36%	35%	7%	24%	41%	24%	28%	22%	12%	30%	23%	29%	26%
	4/(DK/Ref)	64	11%	5%	15%	12%	6%	10%	15%	14%	8%	13%	5%	7%	13%	10%	9%
	5-7 Important	385	64%	87%	49%	53%	87%	66%	44%	62%	64%	66%	83%	62%	64%	62%	65%
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Very concerned	213	35%	31%	34%	44%	36%	42%	30%	28%	37%	36%	50%	46%	28%	39%	41%
	Somewhat concerned	228	38%	43%	34%	37%	42%	35%	37%	43%	41%	35%	33%	26%	43%	35%	34%
	Not too concerned	120	20%	20%	24%	14%	17%	19%	23%	21%	18%	19%	17%	24%	22%	21%	18%
	Not at all concerned	40	7%	6%	9%	5%	4%	4%	10%	8%	4%	10%	-	4%	7%	4%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Concerned	441	73%	74%	67%	81%	78%	77%	67%	71%	78%	71%	83%	72%	71%	75%	75%
	Not concerned	159	27%	26%	33%	19%	22%	23%	33%	29%	22%	29%	17%	28%	29%	25%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1 - Very pessimistic	128	21%	14%	23%	28%	13%	27%	24%	23%	21%	18%	19%	26%	20%	20%	23%
	2	164	27%	26%	28%	29%	26%	30%	27%	22%	31%	32%	19%	21%	24%	33%	28%
	3	223	37%	42%	35%	34%	42%	31%	37%	40%	38%	36%	50%	30%	42%	38%	32%
	4	77	13%	18%	11%	8%	18%	11%	10%	14%	9%	13%	12%	20%	13%	8%	15%
	5 - Very optimistic	8	1%	0%	3%	0%	-	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	2%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	2.45	2.65	2.42	2.22	2.66	2.28	2.41	2.46	2.39	2.48	2.55	2.53	2.50	2.40	2.44
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1-2 Pessimistic	292	49%	40%	51%	58%	39%	57%	50%	46%	52%	49%	38%	47%	44%	52%	51%
	3/(DK/Ref)	223	37%	42%	35%	34%	42%	31%	37%	40%	38%	36%	50%	30%	42%	38%	32%
	4-5 Optimistic	85	14%	19%	14%	8%	18%	12%	13%	14%	10%	14%	12%	23%	14%	10%	17%
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	Much more often	9	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	3%	2%	0%	-	-	2%	1%	1%
	Somewhat more often	20	3%	6%	1%	2%	7%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	12%	3%	4%	3%	3%
	About the same	193	32%	52%	14%	29%	57%	28%	15%	39%	30%	28%	17%	35%	39%	31%	26%
	Somewhat less often	118	20%	18%	21%	20%	17%	19%	22%	13%	18%	24%	33%	25%	16%	15%	25%
	Much less often	260	43%	20%	62%	49%	16%	49%	61%	41%	46%	45%	39%	37%	39%	50%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	More often	30	5%	9%	2%	3%	10%	4%	1%	7%	5%	3%	12%	3%	6%	4%	5%
	Same/(DK)	193	32%	52%	14%	29%	57%	28%	15%	39%	30%	28%	17%	35%	39%	31%	26%
	Less often	377	63%	38%	84%	69%	33%	68%	83%	54%	64%	69%	72%	62%	56%	64%	69%
2. Homeowner	Own or are buying your apartment or home	420	70%	67%	77%	64%	63%	70%	75%	76%	58%	76%	45%	77%	77%	58%	69%
	Rent or lease your apartment or home	139	23%	25%	17%	29%	29%	22%	19%	20%	32%	18%	55%	15%	20%	37%	20%
	Live with family	27	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	3%	4%	2%	6%	4%	-	8%	2%	1%	9%
	Not have stable housing	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	(Refused)	13	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	3%
2. Homeowner	Homeowner	420	70%	67%	77%	64%	63%	70%	75%	76%	58%	76%	45%	77%	77%	58%	69%
	Non-Homeowner	180	30%	33%	23%	36%	37%	30%	25%	24%	42%	24%	55%	23%	23%	42%	31%
55. Residence duration	<2 years	17	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	-	3%	2%	4%	3%
	2-5 years	41	7%	7%	7%	6%	9%	7%	5%	6%	7%	8%	19%	5%	5%	7%	8%
	6-10 years	70	12%	9%	11%	17%	12%	11%	11%	11%	9%	13%	22%	15%	12%	5%	14%
	11-20 years	92	15%	14%	18%	14%	17%	12%	16%	19%	12%	11%	12%	27%	16%	9%	18%
	20+ years	380	63%	66%	62%	61%	57%	66%	66%	62%	68%	67%	47%	50%	65%	74%	57%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
56. Party ID	Strong Democrat	112	19%	51%	-	-	51%	6%	2%	25%	17%	16%	17%	15%	25%	23%	11%
	Not very strong Democrat	44	7%	20%	-	-	15%	7%	1%	6%	12%	5%	-	6%	7%	8%	8%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	65	11%	30%	-	-	21%	12%	2%	10%	13%	8%	6%	15%	9%	12%	12%
	Independent	64	11%	-	-	40%	5%	24%	6%	10%	7%	15%	27%	11%	12%	5%	12%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	44	7%	-	20%	-	-	6%	14%	12%	6%	5%	-	7%	10%	5%	6%
	Not very strong Republican	33	6%	-	15%	-	1%	7%	9%	3%	6%	9%	5%	2%	4%	7%	6%
	Strong Republican	140	23%	-	65%	-	1%	4%	54%	16%	25%	29%	7%	24%	18%	25%	28%
	Something else	40	7%	-	-	24%	4%	9%	7%	6%	6%	6%	12%	10%	5%	6%	9%
	Prefer not to respond	58	10%	-	-	36%	2%	25%	5%	13%	8%	8%	26%	9%	11%	8%	9%
56. Party ID	Democrat	222	37%	100%	-	-	87%	26%	5%	41%	42%	29%	24%	37%	41%	43%	30%
	Republican	217	36%	-	100%	-	2%	17%	77%	31%	37%	42%	12%	33%	31%	37%	40%
	NPP/Other	162	27%	-	-	100%	11%	58%	18%	28%	20%	29%	64%	30%	28%	20%	30%
57. Political Ideology	1 - Very liberal	48	8%	20%	1%	1%	25%	-	-	7%	8%	9%	12%	8%	9%	8%	7%
	2	59	10%	24%	-	4%	31%	-	-	14%	10%	7%	17%	7%	12%	10%	8%
	3	85	14%	32%	1%	8%	44%	-	-	16%	16%	10%	12%	16%	14%	20%	11%
	4	112	19%	18%	10%	31%	-	67%	-	20%	14%	23%	15%	18%	22%	13%	19%
	5	83	14%	4%	25%	12%	-	-	34%	17%	18%	9%	5%	10%	15%	17%	11%
	6	66	11%	1%	26%	5%	-	-	28%	7%	10%	13%	7%	19%	7%	6%	18%
	7 - Very conservative	91	15%	1%	34%	9%	-	-	38%	10%	17%	20%	12%	13%	11%	19%	17%
	(Refused)	56	9%	2%	2%	29%	-	33%	-	11%	8%	8%	21%	10%	10%	7%	10%
	Mean	544	4.26	2.68	5.79	4.45	2.19	4.00	6.04	3.98	4.29	4.50	3.67	4.34	3.96	4.27	4.55
57. Political Ideology	1-3 Liberal	192	32%	75%	2%	13%	100%	-	-	36%	34%	26%	40%	31%	35%	37%	26%
	4/(DK/Ref)	168	28%	20%	13%	60%	-	100%	-	30%	22%	32%	36%	28%	32%	20%	28%
	5-7 Conservative	240	40%	5%	85%	27%	-	-	100%	34%	44%	43%	24%	41%	33%	43%	45%
58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)	Hispanic	25	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	17%	6%	4%	2%	6%
	White	501	84%	83%	88%	78%	80%	79%	90%	84%	81%	84%	77%	90%	83%	82%	85%
	Black	10	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%
	Asian	18	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	6%	0%	4%	4%	2%
	Something else	49	8%	6%	6%	14%	10%	8%	7%	5%	10%	9%	0%	9%	6%	11%	9%
	Prefer not to respond	15	3%	3%	0%	5%	2%	7%	0%	3%	3%	2%	0%	3%	3%	2%	3%
58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)	White	501	84%	83%	88%	78%	80%	79%	90%	84%	81%	84%	77%	90%	83%	82%	85%
	BIPOC	100	17%	17%	13%	21%	21%	17%	13%	15%	19%	16%	23%	15%	16%	18%	16%
	Prefer not to respond	15	3%	3%	0%	5%	2%	7%	0%	3%	3%	2%	0%	3%	3%	2%	3%
59. Age	18-29	109	18%	21%	17%	15%	23%	13%	18%	13%	16%	21%	10%	32%	17%	20%	19%
	30-39	104	17%	16%	15%	21%	19%	16%	17%	20%	20%	13%	18%	14%	20%	17%	15%
	40-49	97	16%	17%	15%	17%	16%	18%	15%	16%	19%	16%	12%	10%	17%	16%	16%
	50-64	126	21%	15%	27%	21%	14%	26%	24%	23%	22%	19%	27%	20%	20%	20%	23%
	65 or over	155	26%	30%	25%	21%	29%	24%	25%	27%	22%	29%	33%	22%	25%	25%	27%
	(Refused)	8	1%	-	1%	4%	-	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	2%	1%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
Age (Self-ID and Sample)	18-29	113	19%	21%	18%	17%	23%	15%	18%	14%	16%	21%	10%	32%	18%	20%	19%
	30-39	106	18%	16%	16%	22%	19%	17%	17%	20%	21%	14%	18%	14%	20%	18%	15%
	40-49	98	16%	17%	15%	18%	16%	19%	15%	16%	19%	16%	12%	12%	17%	16%	16%
	50-64	127	21%	15%	27%	21%	14%	26%	24%	23%	22%	19%	27%	20%	20%	20%	23%
	65+	156	26%	30%	25%	22%	29%	24%	26%	27%	23%	29%	33%	22%	25%	26%	27%
Two-Age Split	18-49	317	53%	54%	49%	57%	58%	51%	50%	50%	56%	51%	40%	58%	55%	54%	50%
	50+	283	47%	46%	51%	43%	42%	49%	50%	50%	44%	49%	60%	42%	45%	46%	50%
Generation	18-49	317	53%	54%	49%	57%	58%	51%	50%	50%	56%	51%	40%	58%	55%	54%	50%
	50-64	127	21%	15%	27%	21%	14%	26%	24%	23%	22%	19%	27%	20%	20%	20%	23%
	65+	156	26%	30%	25%	22%	29%	24%	26%	27%	23%	29%	33%	22%	25%	26%	27%
60. Education	Some grade school	1	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	0%
	Graduated high school	27	4%	1%	9%	3%	1%	4%	7%	4%	6%	6%	-	-	4%	8%	3%
	Technical or Vocational school	47	8%	6%	11%	6%	4%	10%	9%	4%	8%	13%	5%	4%	8%	5%	10%
	Some college or Less than 4-year degree	186	31%	29%	32%	32%	31%	30%	32%	25%	35%	27%	48%	42%	25%	38%	34%
	Graduated college or 4-year degree (BA, BS, Bachelor's)	174	29%	31%	26%	31%	32%	26%	29%	23%	30%	34%	41%	26%	25%	31%	32%
	Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MS, Master's, PhD, MBA, Doctorate)	150	25%	33%	19%	23%	31%	25%	20%	40%	20%	17%	6%	25%	35%	16%	19%
	(Refused)	10	2%	-	2%	4%	-	3%	2%	3%	0%	2%	-	3%	2%	1%	2%
60. Education	College Graduate	324	54%	64%	44%	54%	63%	51%	49%	63%	50%	51%	47%	51%	60%	47%	51%
	Non-College Graduate	276	46%	36%	56%	46%	37%	49%	51%	37%	50%	49%	53%	49%	40%	53%	49%
61. Gender	Employed full time	292	49%	43%	56%	47%	44%	46%	55%	43%	58%	41%	36%	59%	40%	61%	52%
	Employed part time	43	7%	8%	5%	9%	7%	8%	6%	7%	6%	6%	17%	11%	7%	6%	8%
	Unemployed	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	-	1%
	Retired	175	29%	34%	25%	27%	33%	29%	26%	32%	22%	37%	22%	22%	35%	23%	26%
	Student	8	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	1%
	Homemaker	11	2%	1%	4%	-	2%	0%	3%	1%	3%	2%	-	-	1%	3%	2%
	(Other)	43	7%	8%	7%	5%	8%	7%	7%	10%	5%	10%	-	2%	10%	4%	6%
	(Refused)	20	3%	2%	1%	8%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	26%	3%	4%	2%	4%
Q62. Do you identify as...?	A man	286	48%	40%	56%	48%	38%	44%	58%	48%	50%	44%	5%	56%	47%	48%	48%
	A woman	303	50%	60%	44%	47%	61%	51%	42%	49%	49%	54%	95%	41%	51%	52%	50%
	Non-binary	1	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Another gender identity	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	(Refused)	9	2%	1%	0%	5%	-	5%	0%	1%	0%	2%	-	3%	2%	-	2%
Q62. Do you identify as...?	Male	286	48%	40%	56%	48%	38%	44%	58%	48%	50%	44%	5%	56%	47%	48%	48%
	Female	303	50%	60%	44%	47%	61%	51%	42%	49%	49%	54%	95%	41%	51%	52%	50%
	Other/Ref	11	2%	1%	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	3%	0%	2%	-	3%	3%	-	2%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
Gender (Self-ID and Sample)	Male	290	48%	40%	56%	50%	38%	46%	58%	49%	51%	45%	5%	56%	48%	48%	49%
	Female	310	52%	60%	44%	50%	62%	54%	42%	51%	49%	55%	95%	44%	52%	52%	51%
Sample	Rest of Spokane County	240	40%	32%	45%	44%	33%	41%	45%	-	35%	54%	100%	100%	-	-	100%
	City of Spokane	240	40%	44%	35%	41%	44%	45%	33%	100%	-	46%	-	-	100%	-	-
	City of Spokane Valley	120	20%	23%	21%	15%	23%	14%	21%	-	65%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Sample	City of Spokane	240	40%	44%	35%	41%	44%	45%	33%	100%	-	46%	-	-	100%	-	-
	Rest of Spokane County	360	60%	56%	65%	59%	56%	55%	67%	-	100%	54%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
State House District (Sample)	LD3	162	27%	30%	23%	28%	30%	29%	23%	100%	-	-	-	-	67%	-	-
	LD4	186	31%	36%	32%	23%	33%	25%	34%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	28%
	LD6	168	28%	22%	33%	30%	22%	32%	30%	-	-	100%	-	-	33%	-	37%
	LD7	12	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	3%	1%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	5%
	LD9	72	12%	12%	11%	14%	12%	12%	12%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	30%
Party Registration (Sample)	Democrat	265	44%	77%	12%	42%	77%	45%	17%	53%	40%	44%	63%	33%	54%	41%	36%
	Republican	222	37%	9%	67%	35%	10%	32%	62%	31%	38%	39%	27%	46%	28%	37%	46%
	Other	113	19%	14%	21%	23%	13%	23%	20%	16%	22%	17%	10%	21%	18%	22%	18%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	319	53%	52%	47%	63%	52%	62%	48%	46%	57%	57%	80%	48%	55%	58%	49%
	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	138	23%	21%	25%	23%	22%	22%	25%	26%	24%	20%	13%	21%	22%	21%	25%
	Perfect Voter (6/6)	143	24%	27%	28%	14%	26%	16%	28%	28%	19%	23%	6%	31%	23%	21%	26%
Homeowner (Sample)	Homeowner	376	63%	59%	72%	55%	53%	61%	71%	71%	56%	62%	34%	68%	67%	52%	63%
	Renter	107	18%	23%	15%	15%	23%	18%	13%	14%	25%	17%	32%	6%	16%	31%	13%
	Unknown	118	20%	18%	13%	30%	24%	21%	15%	15%	19%	21%	33%	26%	17%	16%	24%
emc_income	Less than $25K	600	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gender/Two-Age	Male: 18-49	146	24%	19%	27%	29%	19%	23%	30%	22%	27%	20%	5%	35%	24%	25%	24%
	Male: 50+	144	24%	21%	30%	20%	20%	23%	29%	27%	23%	25%	-	21%	24%	23%	25%
	Female: 18-49	171	28%	36%	22%	27%	39%	28%	20%	28%	28%	31%	35%	22%	31%	29%	26%
	Female: 50+	139	23%	24%	22%	23%	23%	26%	21%	23%	21%	24%	60%	22%	21%	23%	25%
Gender/Three-Age	Male: 18-49	146	24%	19%	27%	29%	19%	23%	30%	22%	27%	20%	5%	35%	24%	25%	24%
	Male: 50-64	62	10%	5%	16%	9%	4%	13%	13%	12%	11%	8%	-	10%	10%	7%	12%
	Male: 65+	83	14%	16%	14%	11%	15%	10%	15%	15%	12%	16%	-	10%	13%	15%	13%
	Female: 18-49	171	28%	36%	22%	27%	39%	28%	20%	28%	28%	31%	35%	22%	31%	29%	26%
	Female: 50-64	65	11%	10%	11%	12%	9%	13%	11%	10%	11%	11%	27%	10%	10%	13%	11%
	Female: 65+	73	12%	15%	11%	11%	13%	14%	11%	12%	10%	13%	33%	12%	12%	11%	14%

				56. Party ID			57. Political Ideology			State House District (Sample)					City (Sample)		
		n	%	Democrat	Republican	NPP/ Other	1-3 Liberal	4/(DK/ Ref)	5-7 Conser-vative	LD3	LD4	LD6	LD7	LD9	City of Spokane	City of Spokane Valley	Rest of Spokane County
Number of cases		600		222	217	162	192	168	240	162	186	168	12	72	240	120	240
Row percent			100%	37%	36%	27%	32%	28%	40%	27%	31%	28%	2%	12%	40%	20%	40%
Gender/Homeowner	Male / Homeowner	216	36%	26%	47%	35%	23%	36%	46%	41%	28%	41%	5%	40%	41%	26%	36%
	Male / Non-Homeowner	75	12%	14%	9%	14%	15%	10%	12%	9%	22%	5%	-	16%	7%	22%	13%
	Female / Homeowner	204	34%	41%	31%	29%	40%	34%	29%	35%	30%	36%	40%	37%	36%	32%	33%
	Female / Non-Homeowner	105	18%	19%	13%	22%	22%	20%	13%	16%	19%	19%	55%	7%	16%	20%	18%
Party/Two-Age	Democrat / 18-49	141	23%	37%	7%	26%	42%	22%	9%	26%	20%	26%	40%	17%	31%	22%	17%
	Democrat / 50+	125	21%	40%	4%	16%	34%	24%	8%	27%	20%	17%	23%	16%	23%	19%	19%
	Republican / 18-49	102	17%	7%	29%	14%	6%	12%	29%	14%	20%	14%	-	26%	12%	17%	22%
	Republican / 50+	120	20%	2%	38%	20%	4%	20%	33%	17%	17%	25%	27%	20%	16%	20%	24%
	Other / 18-49	74	12%	10%	12%	16%	9%	17%	11%	10%	16%	11%	-	15%	12%	15%	11%
	Other / 50+	39	6%	4%	9%	7%	4%	6%	9%	6%	7%	6%	10%	7%	6%	7%	7%
Party/Gender	Democrat / Male	109	18%	32%	4%	18%	30%	17%	10%	20%	17%	19%	5%	17%	21%	19%	15%
	Democrat / Female	156	26%	45%	8%	24%	47%	29%	8%	34%	23%	25%	58%	16%	33%	22%	21%
	Republican / Male	127	21%	5%	39%	20%	4%	18%	37%	20%	24%	18%	-	28%	17%	22%	25%
	Republican / Female	95	16%	4%	28%	15%	6%	14%	25%	11%	14%	21%	27%	18%	11%	15%	21%
	Other / Male	54	9%	3%	13%	12%	4%	11%	12%	10%	9%	8%	-	11%	11%	7%	8%
	Other / Female	58	10%	10%	8%	11%	10%	12%	9%	6%	13%	9%	10%	10%	7%	15%	10%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
QOL Index	QOL Index (Mean)	600	3.7	3.6	3.4	4.0	5.7	4.5	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.1	3.8	3.7	4.0
3. Spokane Region Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	239	40%	37%	38%	47%	67%	49%	39%	43%	37%	32%	41%	42%	44%
	Wrong track	358	60%	62%	61%	53%	33%	48%	61%	56%	63%	67%	59%	58%	56%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	1%	0%	-	3%	-	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%
	Net Right Direction	-120	-20	-25	-23	-6	+34	+2	-21	-13	-26	-35	-17	-16	-12
4. What is the best thing about living in the Spokane region? (Multiple Response)	Nature/Scenery/Landscape	158	26%	25%	30%	26%	25%	24%	19%	24%	29%	27%	24%	29%	25%
	Outdoor recreation/Access to public land	114	19%	19%	21%	17%	17%	25%	21%	17%	18%	16%	19%	26%	13%
	Climate/Weather/Has all 4 seasons	68	11%	11%	8%	14%	0%	2%	13%	10%	13%	9%	17%	11%	8%
	Small town feel	65	11%	9%	14%	11%	15%	7%	13%	17%	9%	11%	8%	7%	18%
	Community feel/Friendly people	57	10%	10%	7%	11%	19%	20%	19%	8%	7%	12%	9%	5%	13%
	Location/Proximity/Accessibility	51	9%	9%	5%	11%	0%	5%	9%	11%	9%	10%	9%	10%	5%
	Close to family/Family oriented	40	7%	9%	4%	5%	12%	3%	9%	10%	6%	9%	2%	10%	5%
	Entertainment/Activities/Businesses	39	6%	7%	8%	4%	0%	11%	10%	5%	6%	6%	7%	11%	2%
	Cost of living/Affordability	20	3%	3%	6%	2%	6%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%
	Access to healthcare	13	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	0%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	Diversity/Culture	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	7%	0%	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%
	Other	30	5%	4%	8%	6%	5%	8%	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%	2%	9%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	40	7%	9%	3%	5%	4%	13%	3%	6%	7%	5%	4%	9%	8%
5. What are the issues facing the Spokane region? (Multiple Response)	Homelessness	263	44%	45%	49%	36%	35%	49%	50%	42%	43%	36%	47%	49%	42%
	Crime/Drugs/Public Safety	171	29%	32%	30%	18%	8%	32%	28%	27%	29%	25%	26%	39%	23%
	The government/Politics	115	19%	21%	14%	20%	18%	20%	14%	20%	20%	24%	21%	16%	16%
	Infrastructure/Roads	63	10%	8%	11%	15%	17%	11%	8%	9%	11%	8%	11%	7%	17%
	Taxes/High Taxes	61	10%	9%	10%	13%	19%	12%	7%	6%	11%	13%	13%	5%	11%
	Inflation/Cost of living	56	9%	10%	5%	12%	26%	3%	14%	10%	8%	12%	7%	12%	6%
	Affordable housing/Housing	52	9%	9%	8%	8%	11%	6%	9%	10%	9%	9%	4%	10%	11%
	Government spending/Budget	27	4%	4%	6%	4%	0%	2%	5%	2%	5%	6%	5%	4%	3%
	Law enforcement/Lack of police	21	4%	3%	3%	4%	0%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	6%	4%	1%
	Lack of mental health services/Healthcare	20	3%	2%	7%	3%	0%	2%	5%	1%	4%	2%	2%	4%	5%
	Jobs/Economy	20	3%	3%	4%	3%	12%	9%	2%	7%	2%	5%	4%	1%	3%
	Garbage/Filth	18	3%	3%	2%	5%	0%	3%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%	4%	4%
	Other	26	4%	5%	3%	5%	0%	6%	3%	7%	4%	4%	4%	2%	8%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
6. Is overall quality of life getting better, worse, or staying the same	Getting much better	2	0%	0%	1%	-	4%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	0%	-
	Getting somewhat better	65	11%	11%	9%	13%	11%	11%	11%	12%	10%	9%	14%	10%	10%
	Staying the same	128	21%	21%	19%	23%	46%	31%	29%	20%	18%	14%	18%	24%	28%
	Getting somewhat worse	264	44%	45%	38%	48%	40%	51%	37%	46%	44%	41%	48%	48%	39%
	Getting much worse	139	23%	23%	32%	16%	-	5%	22%	21%	27%	35%	18%	18%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	1%	-	1%
6. Is overall quality of life getting better, worse, or staying the same	Better	67	11%	11%	10%	13%	14%	13%	12%	12%	10%	10%	15%	10%	10%
	Same/(DK)	129	22%	22%	20%	23%	46%	31%	29%	21%	18%	14%	19%	24%	29%
	Worse	404	67%	67%	71%	64%	40%	56%	59%	67%	71%	76%	67%	66%	61%
	Net Better	-337	-56	-56	-61	-51	-25	-43	-47	-55	-61	-66	-52	-55	-51
7. Have you considered moving?	Yes	395	66%	67%	69%	61%	38%	59%	69%	66%	67%	74%	63%	71%	53%
	No	205	34%	33%	31%	39%	62%	41%	31%	34%	33%	26%	37%	29%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Have you considered moving?	Yes	395	66%	67%	69%	61%	38%	59%	69%	66%	67%	74%	63%	71%	53%
	No/(DK/Ref)	205	34%	33%	31%	39%	62%	41%	31%	34%	33%	26%	37%	29%	47%
8. What is the main reason you have considered moving? (Multiple Response)	Government/Leadership/Politics	135	22%	22%	21%	25%	14%	22%	18%	28%	22%	30%	23%	20%	17%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	115	19%	20%	26%	10%	0%	13%	22%	17%	21%	19%	13%	28%	14%
	Taxes	101	17%	15%	18%	20%	29%	16%	17%	15%	17%	24%	24%	8%	13%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	93	15%	17%	15%	12%	24%	11%	23%	8%	16%	15%	14%	18%	15%
	Homelessness	62	10%	11%	11%	7%	4%	3%	19%	7%	11%	13%	10%	10%	8%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	21	3%	4%	4%	1%	0%	1%	4%	9%	3%	4%	5%	4%	1%
	Declining quality of life	18	3%	3%	5%	2%	0%	0%	8%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	1%
	Weather	18	3%	4%	0%	3%	0%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	1%
	Growth/Development/Space	14	2%	3%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	2%	2%	3%	2%	0%	0%	3%	5%	2%	1%	1%	6%	1%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	11	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	3%	2%	3%	0%
	Traffic/Congestion	10	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	10	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%
	Closer to family	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	7%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%
	Want to move abroad	2	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	Other	15	3%	2%	4%	2%	0%	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	2	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	58	10%	10%	7%	11%	10%	9%	6%	11%	10%	9%	11%	10%	9%
	Somewhat agree	222	37%	37%	38%	36%	75%	54%	50%	34%	32%	33%	35%	39%	41%
	Somewhat disagree	200	33%	33%	31%	37%	7%	30%	26%	38%	35%	35%	32%	35%	31%
	Strongly disagree	119	20%	20%	24%	16%	8%	7%	19%	17%	23%	23%	22%	16%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Strongly agree	344	57%	60%	60%	48%	24%	51%	63%	60%	58%	60%	59%	56%	55%
	Somewhat agree	184	31%	27%	33%	36%	62%	33%	29%	27%	30%	26%	26%	35%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	57	9%	10%	6%	11%	-	17%	8%	9%	10%	11%	13%	8%	6%
	Strongly disagree	16	3%	2%	2%	4%	14%	-	-	5%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Strongly agree	171	28%	27%	27%	32%	24%	23%	27%	30%	29%	30%	28%	28%	29%
	Somewhat agree	210	35%	37%	35%	32%	51%	55%	44%	31%	31%	35%	36%	36%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	132	22%	21%	25%	23%	26%	21%	18%	23%	22%	20%	24%	22%	22%
	Strongly disagree	87	15%	15%	14%	13%	-	1%	11%	16%	17%	16%	12%	14%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Strongly agree	53	9%	6%	10%	13%	8%	6%	7%	11%	9%	7%	10%	9%	9%
	Somewhat agree	96	16%	17%	13%	16%	15%	16%	10%	16%	17%	16%	17%	19%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	145	24%	22%	22%	30%	32%	28%	24%	17%	25%	23%	25%	25%	23%
	Strongly disagree	306	51%	54%	55%	40%	44%	51%	59%	56%	49%	55%	48%	48%	55%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Strongly agree	176	29%	30%	29%	29%	19%	22%	33%	32%	29%	34%	31%	24%	29%
	Somewhat agree	202	34%	34%	37%	31%	48%	32%	29%	30%	35%	27%	31%	38%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	172	29%	28%	28%	30%	28%	34%	31%	24%	29%	32%	28%	28%	26%
	Strongly disagree	33	6%	5%	3%	9%	-	2%	6%	11%	5%	4%	7%	7%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	10%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	97	16%	17%	13%	18%	4%	8%	35%	24%	12%	20%	13%	18%	14%
	Somewhat agree	162	27%	28%	27%	25%	62%	26%	22%	22%	28%	26%	25%	31%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	109	18%	16%	19%	21%	26%	19%	14%	16%	19%	11%	18%	19%	25%
	Strongly disagree	232	39%	39%	41%	35%	8%	48%	28%	38%	41%	43%	44%	33%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	43	7%	9%	5%	6%	4%	5%	15%	11%	5%	7%	10%	6%	6%
	Somewhat agree	193	32%	30%	32%	38%	77%	46%	33%	25%	30%	30%	34%	33%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	150	25%	27%	24%	22%	11%	26%	19%	24%	27%	23%	23%	27%	27%
	Strongly disagree	208	35%	34%	38%	33%	8%	20%	33%	38%	37%	40%	33%	33%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Strongly agree	204	34%	34%	34%	34%	35%	28%	41%	32%	34%	31%	35%	32%	38%
	Somewhat agree	229	38%	36%	43%	37%	47%	39%	28%	41%	39%	38%	43%	30%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	115	19%	21%	15%	18%	18%	32%	24%	12%	18%	23%	17%	22%	15%
	Strongly disagree	51	8%	8%	6%	11%	-	1%	7%	14%	9%	8%	4%	16%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	1%	-	1%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	25	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	-	7%	8%	3%	7%	3%	3%	4%
	Somewhat agree	143	24%	24%	21%	27%	38%	28%	31%	16%	23%	21%	28%	22%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	182	30%	27%	37%	31%	51%	34%	23%	26%	32%	31%	27%	34%	29%
	Strongly disagree	242	40%	43%	38%	38%	8%	32%	39%	47%	41%	41%	40%	38%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	6%	-	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	192	32%	30%	31%	38%	33%	20%	30%	35%	33%	43%	35%	23%	28%
	Somewhat agree	211	35%	36%	40%	28%	29%	45%	42%	22%	36%	31%	34%	39%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	160	27%	28%	25%	24%	31%	29%	24%	31%	26%	23%	23%	33%	27%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	5%	3%	8%	7%	3%	5%	8%	4%	3%	6%	3%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	Strongly agree	302	50%	49%	53%	51%	60%	54%	48%	55%	49%	48%	58%	48%	47%
	Somewhat agree	246	41%	41%	42%	40%	29%	37%	49%	32%	43%	42%	35%	41%	46%
	Somewhat disagree	41	7%	8%	5%	7%	11%	6%	3%	9%	7%	7%	5%	9%	6%
	Strongly disagree	5	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	2%	1%	-	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	Strongly agree	74	12%	12%	12%	13%	12%	11%	14%	17%	11%	10%	7%	16%	16%
	Somewhat agree	201	34%	34%	33%	35%	51%	49%	44%	27%	31%	32%	38%	30%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	159	26%	28%	23%	27%	37%	30%	15%	22%	29%	21%	30%	31%	23%
	Strongly disagree	166	28%	27%	32%	25%	-	10%	27%	34%	29%	37%	25%	23%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	Strongly agree	372	62%	60%	68%	61%	73%	61%	63%	59%	62%	56%	66%	57%	70%
	Somewhat agree	181	30%	34%	23%	29%	13%	29%	32%	33%	30%	33%	23%	38%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	31	5%	3%	7%	9%	-	10%	2%	6%	5%	4%	9%	5%	3%
	Strongly disagree	16	3%	3%	2%	2%	14%	-	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	1%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure and services such as schools, parks, and transit	Strongly agree	210	35%	37%	29%	35%	33%	39%	42%	44%	31%	31%	33%	40%	36%
	Somewhat agree	247	41%	40%	44%	40%	41%	41%	37%	32%	44%	39%	44%	40%	41%
	Somewhat disagree	88	15%	15%	17%	12%	26%	18%	13%	15%	14%	18%	13%	15%	13%
	Strongly disagree	54	9%	7%	9%	13%	-	2%	8%	9%	10%	12%	11%	5%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1%	-	-	-
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	42	7%	9%	6%	4%	4%	6%	6%	11%	6%	6%	7%	10%	4%
	Somewhat agree	260	43%	41%	47%	46%	76%	41%	51%	41%	41%	41%	45%	47%	39%
	Somewhat disagree	167	28%	27%	28%	29%	20%	36%	24%	30%	27%	28%	28%	23%	34%
	Strongly disagree	132	22%	24%	19%	21%	-	18%	20%	18%	25%	25%	20%	20%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9. Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	281	47%	47%	45%	47%	85%	64%	55%	45%	42%	42%	46%	49%	50%
	Disagree	319	53%	53%	55%	53%	15%	36%	45%	55%	58%	58%	54%	51%	50%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-39	-6	-5	-10	-6	+70	+27	+11	-10	-16	-17	-8	-2	-0

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
10. Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Spokane	Agree	528	88%	87%	93%	84%	86%	83%	92%	86%	88%	86%	85%	91%	90%
	Disagree	72	12%	13%	7%	16%	14%	17%	8%	14%	12%	14%	15%	9%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+456	+76	+75	+86	+69	+72	+67	+85	+73	+76	+72	+70	+81	+81
11. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane during the day	Agree	380	63%	64%	61%	64%	74%	78%	71%	61%	61%	65%	64%	63%	61%
	Disagree	220	37%	36%	39%	36%	26%	22%	29%	39%	39%	35%	36%	37%	39%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+161	+27	+28	+23	+28	+49	+56	+42	+21	+21	+29	+28	+27	+23
12. Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Spokane at night	Agree	148	25%	24%	23%	29%	24%	22%	17%	27%	26%	22%	27%	27%	22%
	Disagree	452	75%	76%	77%	71%	76%	78%	83%	73%	74%	78%	73%	73%	78%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-303	-51	-53	-54	-42	-53	-56	-66	-47	-48	-55	-46	-45	-57
13. Agree: Recent policy decisions by [CITY] have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive	Agree	377	63%	63%	66%	59%	67%	54%	61%	62%	64%	61%	62%	63%	65%
	Disagree	205	34%	33%	32%	39%	28%	36%	37%	34%	34%	36%	35%	34%	31%
	(DK/Ref)	17	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	10%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%
	Net Agree	+172	+29	+30	+34	+20	+39	+18	+25	+28	+30	+26	+27	+28	+34
14. Agree: I support the building of more types of housing in my neighborhood	Agree	259	43%	44%	41%	43%	66%	33%	57%	46%	40%	46%	38%	48%	39%
	Disagree	341	57%	56%	59%	57%	34%	67%	43%	54%	60%	54%	62%	52%	61%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-81	-14	-11	-19	-13	+32	-33	+14	-7	-20	-8	-24	-3	-22
15. Agree: I trust [CITY] to use my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	237	39%	39%	37%	43%	81%	52%	48%	36%	36%	36%	45%	39%	38%
	Disagree	358	60%	61%	62%	55%	19%	46%	52%	63%	64%	63%	55%	60%	61%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-121	-20	-22	-25	-12	+62	+6	-4	-27	-28	-26	-11	-21	-23
16. Agree: Hiring more police officers should be a top priority	Agree	433	72%	70%	77%	71%	82%	67%	68%	73%	73%	69%	78%	62%	81%
	Disagree	166	28%	29%	22%	29%	18%	33%	32%	26%	27%	31%	21%	38%	18%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+267	+44	+41	+56	+41	+65	+34	+37	+47	+46	+38	+57	+24	+63
17. Agree: I trust that [CITY] has an effective plan to address major challenges like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	168	28%	29%	23%	31%	42%	28%	38%	24%	27%	28%	31%	26%	28%
	Disagree	424	71%	70%	75%	69%	58%	66%	62%	73%	73%	72%	68%	72%	71%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	6%	-	3%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	-256	-43	-41	-51	-38	-17	-37	-25	-49	-46	-44	-36	-47	-43
18. Agree: [CITY] has enough funding; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	403	67%	66%	71%	66%	62%	65%	72%	58%	69%	73%	69%	62%	64%
	Disagree	190	32%	33%	28%	32%	38%	32%	28%	39%	30%	26%	29%	36%	35%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+213	+35	+33	+43	+34	+24	+33	+43	+19	+39	+47	+40	+26	+30
19. Agree: [CITY] should focus on the funding the basics like filling potholes, maintaining parks, and addressing public safety	Agree	548	91%	90%	95%	91%	89%	91%	97%	87%	91%	90%	93%	90%	93%
	Disagree	46	8%	9%	5%	8%	11%	6%	3%	9%	8%	10%	5%	9%	6%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+503	+84	+82	+90	+83	+78	+85	+95	+78	+83	+80	+88	+81	+87

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
20. Agree: I trust my local public school district to make decisions that benefit students and the community	Agree	275	46%	46%	45%	48%	63%	59%	58%	44%	42%	42%	45%	46%	51%
	Disagree	325	54%	54%	55%	52%	37%	41%	42%	56%	58%	58%	55%	54%	49%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-49	-8	-9	-11	-4	+26	+19	+16	-12	-16	-17	-9	-8	+2
21. Agree: A thriving downtown Spokane is critical to our region's economic health	Agree	553	92%	94%	91%	89%	86%	90%	95%	92%	92%	89%	89%	95%	95%
	Disagree	47	8%	6%	9%	11%	14%	10%	5%	8%	8%	11%	11%	5%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+506	+84	+88	+82	+79	+72	+79	+89	+84	+85	+79	+79	+90	+90
22. Agree: I support increased public investment in community infrastructure and services such as schools, parks, and transit	Agree	456	76%	78%	74%	75%	74%	80%	79%	75%	75%	70%	77%	80%	77%
	Disagree	143	24%	22%	26%	25%	26%	20%	21%	25%	24%	30%	23%	20%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1%	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+314	+52	+55	+48	+49	+49	+60	+58	+50	+51	+40	+53	+61	+54
23. Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	301	50%	49%	53%	50%	80%	47%	57%	52%	48%	47%	52%	57%	43%
	Disagree	299	50%	51%	47%	50%	20%	53%	43%	48%	52%	53%	48%	43%	57%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+3	+0	-1	+5	-0	+60	-6	+13	+4	-5	-5	+4	+14	-14
24. What recent policy decisions have negatively impacted the ability of local small businesses to remain open and thrive?	Taxes / Government Fees	84	22%	16%	24%	36%	-	10%	26%	18%	25%	35%	18%	14%	23%
	Catholic charities/Homelessness	60	16%	15%	15%	18%	12%	5%	11%	19%	17%	13%	16%	12%	23%
	Crime / Drugs / Public Safety	42	11%	14%	8%	8%	-	19%	9%	9%	12%	10%	13%	14%	8%
	Government Leadership / Policy Direction	14	4%	4%	3%	5%	-	3%	2%	4%	4%	1%	8%	4%	3%
	Lack of Business Support /Fines	13	4%	4%	3%	3%	-	-	-	1%	5%	4%	2%	5%	3%
	Regulations / Red Tape	13	3%	3%	5%	2%	-	5%	3%	3%	4%	5%	5%	2%	2%
	Construction/Development	13	3%	4%	4%	2%	-	-	6%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	6%
	Operating Costs /Cost of living	11	3%	4%	1%	2%	6%	-	5%	2%	3%	-	3%	5%	3%
	Minimum Wage / Labor Costs	11	3%	5%	1%	-	-	11%	6%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	2%
	Parking policy	9	2%	2%	3%	3%	-	-	3%	7%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%
	ICE/Immigration	6	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	10%	-	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	-
	Infrastructure	5	1%	1%	3%	-	-	11%	-	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%
	Lease/Rent Policy	2	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	2%
	Healthcare costs	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	1%	-	-
	Other	14	4%	5%	3%	2%	21%	-	-	5%	3%	2%	3%	7%	2%
	None / Nothing	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	0%
	Don't Know / Unsure	55	15%	14%	19%	12%	38%	12%	27%	15%	12%	9%	12%	15%	22%
	NA / Refused / No Opinion	23	6%	7%	6%	5%	23%	7%	4%	12%	4%	10%	6%	8%	-
			6%												

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
25A. Why do you support that growth and development has been a positive?	General Positive	50	16%	18%	12%	17%	-	25%	26%	11%	16%	19%	15%	14%	18%
	Economic Growth and Job Opportunities	44	15%	12%	15%	20%	8%	7%	14%	14%	16%	11%	16%	13%	20%
	Business Expansion and Diversity	39	13%	12%	12%	16%	-	16%	13%	15%	13%	13%	7%	18%	13%
	Population Increase and Urban Spread	36	12%	14%	14%	5%	19%	12%	14%	13%	11%	10%	15%	11%	13%
	Housing Improvements (Affordability/Stock/Value)	30	10%	10%	11%	9%	23%	7%	7%	13%	9%	9%	10%	13%	7%
	Growth's Positives and Negatives	28	9%	11%	8%	6%	-	10%	10%	9%	10%	11%	6%	9%	11%
	Infrastructure Development and Improvement	26	9%	7%	12%	9%	-	10%	5%	6%	11%	11%	13%	7%	4%
	Community and Social Development	12	4%	3%	3%	7%	-	10%	-	10%	3%	1%	6%	4%	3%
	Environmental and Recreational Enhancements	8	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	-	7%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	3%
	Other	4	1%	1%	2%	3%	-	4%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-	4%
	Don't know/Nothing/Refused	24	8%	9%	8%	5%	45%	-	4%	8%	7%	12%	9%	8%	4%
25B. Why do you oppose that growth and development has been a positive?	Poor Urban Planning and Development Decisions	85	29%	24%	35%	34%	37%	33%	24%	25%	29%	31%	25%	37%	22%
	Overcrowding and Infrastructure Strain	71	24%	24%	22%	25%	-	18%	8%	37%	24%	20%	22%	23%	30%
	Housing Affordability and Accessibility Issues	36	12%	11%	17%	10%	-	16%	17%	5%	13%	10%	18%	9%	11%
	Leadership and Governance Criticisms	22	7%	7%	5%	10%	-	17%	5%	10%	6%	15%	8%	1%	5%
	General Negative	20	7%	7%	8%	4%	-	5%	12%	1%	8%	5%	13%	4%	6%
	Traffic Congestion and Transportation Issues	16	5%	6%	4%	4%	63%	5%	18%	5%	2%	7%	3%	8%	3%
	Increased Crime and Safety Concerns	15	5%	6%	3%	5%	-	-	6%	9%	5%	3%	6%	3%	8%
	Businesses Closing	10	3%	5%	3%	1%	-	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	7%	3%
	Increase in Homelessness	10	3%	4%	3%	1%	-	2%	-	3%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%
	Inflation	7	2%	3%	-	3%	-	3%	-	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%
	Other	4	1%	1%	-	3%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	1%	3%
	Don't Know/Nothing/Refused	3	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	6%	-	0%	2%	-	-	1%
26. Level of government that has greatest impact on QOL	Local government, such as city or county government	293	49%	51%	44%	50%	41%	53%	54%	52%	47%	48%	52%	54%	40%
	State government	216	36%	34%	43%	34%	48%	34%	35%	37%	36%	42%	36%	32%	35%
	Federal government	88	15%	15%	13%	15%	11%	12%	11%	12%	17%	10%	11%	14%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 - Very little impact	30	5%	5%	4%	6%	4%	-	6%	5%	5%	2%	6%	7%	4%
	2	19	3%	3%	4%	4%	7%	2%	1%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%
	3	27	5%	4%	4%	7%	-	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%	5%
	4	56	9%	10%	9%	8%	15%	10%	10%	13%	8%	6%	3%	15%	12%
	5	50	8%	9%	8%	7%	12%	6%	12%	10%	7%	14%	5%	5%	10%
	6	77	13%	11%	15%	15%	25%	18%	11%	9%	13%	11%	18%	15%	6%
	7 - Very significant impact	341	57%	59%	56%	53%	37%	59%	56%	55%	58%	60%	63%	49%	57%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.79	5.83	5.85	5.63	5.48	6.09	5.77	5.66	5.80	5.98	6.06	5.48	5.67
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 - Very little impact	14	2%	2%	4%	1%	4%	2%	-	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%
	2	4	1%	0%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
	3	14	2%	3%	0%	2%	-	5%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%
	4	71	12%	14%	8%	10%	-	9%	15%	16%	11%	15%	11%	14%	8%
	5	108	18%	16%	16%	24%	15%	9%	20%	12%	20%	17%	19%	14%	23%
	6	134	22%	21%	24%	23%	11%	29%	16%	20%	24%	20%	23%	23%	23%
	7 - Very significant impact	255	43%	42%	47%	38%	70%	47%	48%	45%	39%	42%	40%	45%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.80	5.76	5.94	5.73	6.35	5.97	5.97	5.72	5.74	5.69	5.76	5.82	5.91
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break ins	1 - Very little impact	11	2%	1%	0%	5%	4%	-	-	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	2	2	0%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	-
	3	19	3%	3%	3%	4%	-	-	4%	1%	4%	3%	5%	0%	5%
	4	52	9%	10%	6%	8%	4%	15%	10%	11%	7%	9%	4%	11%	10%
	5	129	22%	23%	21%	20%	7%	32%	18%	18%	23%	21%	16%	27%	21%
	6	105	17%	18%	20%	15%	12%	12%	11%	19%	19%	17%	22%	18%	12%
	7 - Very significant impact	282	47%	46%	50%	46%	74%	42%	57%	45%	45%	48%	51%	41%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.88	5.89	6.07	5.68	6.40	5.80	6.05	5.77	5.86	5.89	6.01	5.81	5.82
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 - Very little impact	13	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	-	3%	5%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%
	2	9	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	4%
	3	27	5%	6%	3%	4%	18%	2%	2%	4%	5%	6%	5%	3%	4%
	4	89	15%	16%	14%	13%	-	19%	18%	10%	16%	16%	16%	13%	14%
	5	147	24%	24%	29%	22%	18%	25%	28%	21%	25%	25%	29%	24%	20%
	6	121	20%	18%	21%	24%	-	22%	15%	16%	23%	19%	21%	22%	19%
	7 - Very significant impact	194	32%	34%	29%	32%	60%	31%	34%	43%	28%	31%	24%	35%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.48	5.48	5.47	5.49	5.69	5.58	5.48	5.59	5.43	5.44	5.21	5.63	5.60
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	1 - Very little impact	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	6%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%
	2	5	1%	1%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%
	3	29	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	12%	1%	3%	5%	10%	6%	2%	3%
	4	73	12%	15%	9%	9%	5%	15%	21%	15%	10%	14%	7%	14%	13%
	5	135	23%	21%	24%	25%	28%	22%	17%	16%	25%	16%	23%	25%	25%
	6	117	19%	16%	21%	24%	11%	10%	14%	28%	20%	20%	24%	15%	19%
	7 - Very significant impact	230	38%	40%	40%	34%	48%	36%	43%	36%	38%	39%	37%	41%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.65	5.60	5.78	5.63	5.80	5.20	5.67	5.69	5.68	5.56	5.69	5.69	5.63

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	1 - Very little impact	22	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	-	7%	6%	3%	7%	4%	2%	3%
	2	18	3%	4%	2%	2%	14%	1%	7%	3%	2%	7%	3%	1%	2%
	3	45	8%	7%	9%	7%	-	20%	6%	10%	6%	10%	8%	9%	3%
	4	56	9%	9%	7%	13%	-	7%	9%	12%	9%	11%	5%	13%	8%
	5	90	15%	14%	18%	14%	-	19%	14%	8%	17%	18%	16%	12%	15%
	6	106	18%	19%	15%	16%	14%	15%	21%	11%	19%	13%	20%	20%	18%
	7 - Very significant impact	261	44%	42%	47%	43%	68%	37%	36%	50%	43%	34%	44%	44%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.56	5.53	5.68	5.51	5.92	5.38	5.26	5.44	5.65	5.01	5.64	5.68	5.92
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	1 - Very little impact	101	17%	17%	17%	16%	4%	27%	13%	13%	18%	22%	19%	9%	18%
	2	34	6%	6%	6%	5%	-	2%	7%	11%	5%	7%	6%	6%	4%
	3	65	11%	10%	11%	12%	4%	13%	10%	7%	12%	14%	8%	10%	12%
	4	99	16%	16%	18%	16%	36%	11%	16%	14%	17%	10%	24%	16%	16%
	5	101	17%	15%	18%	19%	25%	8%	19%	18%	17%	16%	16%	18%	17%
	6	78	13%	15%	8%	13%	-	20%	19%	10%	13%	13%	11%	16%	12%
	7 - Very significant impact	122	20%	20%	21%	19%	31%	20%	17%	28%	18%	17%	17%	26%	21%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	599	4.31	4.34	4.21	4.35	5.03	4.12	4.45	4.53	4.22	3.97	4.12	4.79	4.28
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	1 - Very little impact	64	11%	11%	8%	12%	4%	4%	13%	13%	11%	20%	10%	6%	7%
	2	27	4%	5%	5%	2%	14%	-	-	8%	5%	6%	5%	4%	2%
	3	36	6%	5%	4%	9%	-	3%	8%	4%	7%	6%	10%	4%	5%
	4	70	12%	11%	14%	12%	8%	10%	12%	10%	13%	12%	17%	9%	10%
	5	92	15%	13%	18%	16%	11%	20%	15%	11%	16%	18%	16%	11%	16%
	6	100	17%	19%	15%	13%	20%	26%	15%	16%	16%	16%	18%	18%	15%
	7 - Very significant impact	211	35%	35%	37%	35%	43%	37%	36%	39%	34%	22%	23%	49%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.07	5.05	5.23	4.97	5.41	5.67	5.05	5.03	5.01	4.35	4.72	5.65	5.49
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	1 - Very little impact	74	12%	16%	9%	8%	4%	20%	14%	7%	13%	21%	10%	8%	11%
	2	17	3%	5%	1%	1%	14%	-	1%	6%	2%	3%	5%	1%	2%
	3	30	5%	5%	5%	5%	-	5%	1%	7%	5%	7%	6%	2%	5%
	4	57	10%	8%	8%	15%	8%	4%	10%	9%	10%	13%	11%	4%	12%
	5	90	15%	13%	15%	20%	19%	16%	14%	15%	15%	15%	19%	10%	16%
	6	83	14%	10%	15%	21%	4%	19%	13%	12%	14%	10%	16%	12%	17%
	7 - Very significant impact	250	42%	43%	49%	32%	52%	36%	46%	44%	40%	32%	32%	62%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.20	4.99	5.61	5.28	5.42	4.98	5.31	5.32	5.16	4.57	4.99	5.91	5.19
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	1 - Very little impact	15	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	-	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%
	2	10	2%	2%	0%	2%	14%	6%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	3	32	5%	3%	10%	5%	-	4%	10%	7%	4%	9%	6%	5%	2%
	4	80	13%	13%	9%	18%	15%	10%	7%	19%	13%	13%	17%	8%	16%
	5	157	26%	28%	24%	24%	34%	22%	40%	27%	23%	27%	28%	25%	24%
	6	141	23%	23%	26%	23%	-	38%	15%	14%	27%	25%	18%	25%	26%
	7 - Very significant impact	164	27%	28%	27%	26%	33%	19%	26%	30%	28%	23%	26%	33%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.39	5.43	5.38	5.30	4.93	5.38	5.34	5.31	5.44	5.26	5.23	5.61	5.41

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	1 - Very little impact	30	5%	6%	6%	2%	4%	5%	3%	8%	5%	9%	6%	2%	3%
	2	17	3%	1%	8%	1%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%
	3	31	5%	4%	5%	7%	-	2%	3%	6%	6%	8%	7%	2%	4%
	4	87	14%	16%	11%	14%	12%	12%	14%	11%	16%	14%	13%	16%	14%
	5	129	22%	20%	22%	25%	7%	29%	14%	20%	23%	22%	21%	20%	23%
	6	107	18%	15%	21%	21%	-	22%	21%	19%	17%	13%	21%	23%	14%
	7 - Very significant impact	198	33%	37%	27%	29%	73%	27%	44%	33%	30%	30%	29%	36%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.30	5.37	5.06	5.37	6.08	5.29	5.74	5.22	5.21	4.95	5.18	5.61	5.42
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	1 - Very little impact	81	14%	13%	17%	12%	26%	9%	9%	14%	14%	21%	17%	7%	10%
	2	41	7%	7%	5%	8%	-	6%	4%	8%	7%	13%	9%	2%	5%
	3	65	11%	12%	9%	11%	4%	8%	8%	13%	12%	13%	11%	9%	10%
	4	87	14%	16%	12%	13%	10%	26%	12%	14%	14%	11%	18%	15%	13%
	5	126	21%	19%	21%	25%	22%	22%	23%	20%	21%	15%	22%	22%	25%
	6	69	12%	12%	10%	11%	14%	8%	16%	9%	12%	11%	9%	13%	13%
	7 - Very significant impact	130	22%	21%	26%	20%	24%	20%	26%	22%	21%	16%	14%	32%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.44	4.42	4.50	4.45	4.43	4.51	4.90	4.33	4.38	3.85	4.00	5.09	4.74
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	1 - Very little impact	51	8%	8%	9%	8%	4%	8%	9%	14%	7%	14%	12%	4%	4%
	2	30	5%	5%	5%	4%	14%	4%	5%	2%	5%	9%	7%	3%	1%
	3	36	6%	7%	4%	6%	-	17%	5%	6%	5%	7%	7%	4%	7%
	4	100	17%	15%	23%	15%	8%	9%	18%	19%	17%	22%	18%	9%	18%
	5	112	19%	21%	13%	18%	8%	19%	27%	11%	19%	16%	19%	19%	19%
	6	82	14%	13%	8%	20%	7%	19%	8%	14%	14%	9%	14%	19%	12%
	7 - Very significant impact	189	32%	30%	38%	29%	60%	23%	27%	33%	32%	24%	22%	41%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.99	4.97	4.99	5.03	5.61	4.77	4.80	4.88	5.05	4.40	4.55	5.58	5.34
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	1 - Very little impact	67	11%	11%	11%	10%	4%	12%	8%	11%	12%	21%	9%	5%	10%
	2	29	5%	5%	9%	2%	-	6%	6%	3%	5%	7%	3%	5%	5%
	3	45	7%	7%	7%	9%	-	2%	6%	11%	8%	9%	12%	6%	2%
	4	102	17%	15%	17%	21%	19%	16%	11%	17%	18%	16%	19%	14%	19%
	5	141	24%	23%	22%	26%	38%	25%	35%	22%	21%	21%	24%	22%	28%
	6	98	16%	16%	16%	18%	17%	14%	16%	18%	16%	13%	16%	20%	15%
	7 - Very significant impact	118	20%	23%	18%	14%	22%	24%	19%	19%	19%	12%	16%	29%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.65	4.72	4.49	4.63	5.27	4.75	4.83	4.66	4.57	3.97	4.60	5.18	4.75

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
27. Impact on QOL: prohibiting camping in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	77	13%	12%	11%	17%	11%	7%	11%	13%	14%	9%	10%	16%	15%
	4/(DK/Ref)	56	9%	10%	9%	8%	15%	10%	10%	13%	8%	6%	3%	15%	12%
	5-7 Big impact	468	78%	79%	79%	75%	74%	83%	79%	74%	78%	85%	86%	69%	73%
28. Impact on QOL: helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little impact	32	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	6%	1%	8%	5%	7%	6%	5%	3%
	4/(DK/Ref)	71	12%	14%	8%	10%	-	9%	15%	16%	11%	15%	11%	14%	8%
	5-7 Big impact	497	83%	80%	87%	85%	96%	85%	85%	77%	83%	79%	83%	81%	89%
29. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address property crime like theft and car break ins	1-3 Little impact	32	5%	4%	3%	10%	4%	-	4%	6%	6%	5%	7%	3%	7%
	4/(DK/Ref)	52	9%	10%	6%	8%	4%	15%	10%	11%	7%	9%	4%	11%	10%
	5-7 Big impact	516	86%	86%	90%	81%	92%	85%	85%	82%	87%	86%	89%	87%	83%
30. Impact on QOL: maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	49	8%	8%	7%	9%	22%	3%	5%	10%	8%	8%	11%	6%	8%
	4/(DK/Ref)	89	15%	16%	14%	13%	-	19%	18%	10%	16%	16%	16%	13%	14%
	5-7 Big impact	462	77%	75%	79%	78%	78%	78%	77%	79%	76%	76%	73%	81%	77%
31. Impact on QOL: making it easier to start and grow a business	1-3 Little impact	45	7%	8%	6%	8%	8%	18%	4%	6%	7%	12%	8%	4%	6%
	4/(DK/Ref)	73	12%	15%	9%	9%	5%	15%	21%	15%	10%	14%	7%	14%	13%
	5-7 Big impact	482	80%	77%	85%	83%	88%	67%	75%	79%	83%	74%	84%	81%	81%
32. Impact on QOL: increasing efforts to address violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	86	14%	15%	13%	14%	18%	21%	19%	19%	11%	24%	15%	12%	7%
	4/(DK/Ref)	56	9%	9%	7%	13%	-	7%	9%	12%	9%	11%	5%	13%	8%
	5-7 Big impact	458	76%	76%	80%	74%	82%	71%	72%	68%	79%	65%	80%	76%	85%
33. Impact on QOL: building more types of housing	1-3 Little impact	200	33%	33%	35%	32%	8%	41%	30%	31%	35%	44%	33%	24%	34%
	4/(DK/Ref)	100	17%	16%	19%	16%	36%	11%	16%	14%	17%	10%	24%	16%	17%
	5-7 Big impact	300	50%	51%	46%	51%	56%	48%	54%	56%	48%	46%	43%	60%	49%
34. Impact on QOL: investing in public schools to improve student success	1-3 Little impact	127	21%	22%	16%	23%	18%	7%	22%	24%	22%	33%	25%	13%	14%
	4/(DK/Ref)	70	12%	11%	14%	12%	8%	10%	12%	10%	13%	12%	17%	9%	10%
	5-7 Big impact	403	67%	67%	70%	64%	74%	83%	66%	66%	66%	55%	58%	78%	76%
35. Impact on QOL: improving treatment services for drug addiction and mental health	1-3 Little impact	120	20%	26%	14%	13%	18%	25%	17%	19%	20%	30%	22%	12%	18%
	4/(DK/Ref)	57	10%	8%	8%	15%	8%	4%	10%	9%	10%	13%	11%	4%	12%
	5-7 Big impact	422	70%	66%	79%	73%	74%	71%	73%	71%	69%	57%	67%	85%	70%
36. Impact on QOL: investing in the maintenance and safety of parks and recreational areas	1-3 Little impact	58	10%	8%	14%	10%	18%	11%	12%	10%	9%	13%	11%	8%	7%
	4/(DK/Ref)	80	13%	13%	9%	18%	15%	10%	7%	19%	13%	13%	17%	8%	16%
	5-7 Big impact	462	77%	79%	77%	72%	67%	79%	81%	71%	78%	75%	72%	84%	77%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
37. Impact on QOL: expanding workforce training and job skills programs	1-3 Little impact	79	13%	12%	19%	11%	8%	11%	7%	17%	14%	21%	16%	5%	11%
	4/(DK/Ref)	87	14%	16%	11%	14%	12%	12%	14%	11%	16%	14%	13%	16%	14%
	5-7 Big impact	434	72%	72%	70%	75%	81%	78%	79%	72%	70%	65%	71%	79%	74%
38. Impact on QOL: maintaining access to public transit	1-3 Little impact	187	31%	32%	31%	31%	29%	24%	22%	35%	33%	47%	37%	18%	25%
	4/(DK/Ref)	87	14%	16%	12%	13%	10%	26%	12%	14%	14%	11%	18%	15%	13%
	5-7 Big impact	326	54%	52%	57%	56%	61%	51%	66%	51%	53%	42%	45%	67%	62%
39. Impact on QOL: improving access to childcare and early learning	1-3 Little impact	117	20%	20%	19%	19%	18%	30%	20%	22%	18%	29%	27%	11%	12%
	4/(DK/Ref)	100	17%	15%	23%	15%	8%	9%	18%	19%	17%	22%	18%	9%	18%
	5-7 Big impact	383	64%	65%	58%	66%	74%	62%	62%	59%	65%	49%	55%	79%	69%
40. Impact on QOL: encouraging community events and cultural activities	1-3 Little impact	141	23%	23%	27%	20%	4%	20%	19%	24%	25%	37%	24%	15%	18%
	4/(DK/Ref)	102	17%	15%	17%	21%	19%	16%	11%	17%	18%	16%	19%	14%	19%
	5-7 Big impact	357	60%	61%	56%	59%	77%	63%	69%	59%	57%	46%	57%	70%	63%
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	116	19%	22%	19%	14%	12%	15%	21%	14%	21%	21%	17%	19%	21%
	Somewhat less safe	164	27%	27%	28%	27%	36%	21%	21%	32%	28%	27%	34%	25%	24%
	More safe	39	7%	6%	7%	7%	31%	8%	6%	5%	6%	9%	3%	5%	9%
	About the same	281	47%	45%	46%	53%	22%	56%	52%	49%	46%	44%	46%	52%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41. Safety in neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	280	47%	49%	47%	41%	47%	35%	42%	46%	49%	47%	51%	43%	46%
	Same/(DK)	281	47%	45%	46%	53%	22%	56%	52%	49%	46%	44%	46%	52%	45%
	More safe	39	7%	6%	7%	7%	31%	8%	6%	5%	6%	9%	3%	5%	9%
	Net More safe	-241	-40	-43	-40	-34	-17	-27	-37	-41	-43	-38	-48	-38	-37

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
42. Importance: More police officers	1 - Not at all important	52	9%	8%	9%	9%	4%	-	15%	10%	8%	12%	6%	12%	3%
	2	27	5%	5%	4%	4%	-	8%	5%	4%	5%	8%	3%	6%	1%
	3	37	6%	6%	8%	5%	9%	12%	4%	6%	6%	8%	5%	9%	2%
	4	82	14%	14%	12%	14%	25%	21%	9%	11%	14%	16%	11%	12%	16%
	5	121	20%	19%	18%	24%	4%	14%	18%	24%	21%	17%	23%	20%	22%
	6	77	13%	15%	13%	9%	11%	13%	4%	12%	15%	8%	17%	11%	16%
	7 - Extremely important	204	34%	32%	37%	36%	47%	33%	45%	33%	32%	33%	34%	30%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.07	5.03	5.15	5.08	5.46	5.10	5.03	5.04	5.06	4.72	5.30	4.75	5.59
43. Importance: New, expanded jail and detention facilities	1 - Not at all important	62	10%	10%	11%	10%	4%	5%	13%	8%	11%	17%	8%	9%	8%
	2	47	8%	7%	9%	9%	-	6%	7%	9%	8%	6%	6%	10%	9%
	3	58	10%	11%	7%	10%	15%	20%	7%	11%	9%	11%	10%	10%	8%
	4	107	18%	19%	18%	16%	36%	13%	11%	16%	19%	15%	15%	21%	20%
	5	120	20%	20%	20%	21%	17%	31%	31%	23%	16%	16%	23%	21%	20%
	6	69	11%	10%	11%	15%	-	10%	10%	10%	13%	14%	15%	10%	7%
	7 - Extremely important	135	22%	24%	24%	18%	29%	15%	19%	24%	23%	22%	24%	19%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	599	4.54	4.57	4.57	4.47	4.77	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.52	4.37	4.79	4.40	4.64
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1 - Not at all important	49	8%	11%	3%	6%	18%	18%	12%	6%	6%	17%	6%	5%	5%
	2	35	6%	6%	5%	5%	-	6%	6%	13%	4%	10%	6%	3%	5%
	3	34	6%	7%	2%	7%	-	7%	2%	6%	6%	7%	9%	2%	6%
	4	50	8%	8%	10%	7%	6%	2%	6%	6%	10%	8%	11%	5%	9%
	5	107	18%	17%	20%	18%	12%	23%	22%	13%	18%	17%	17%	17%	20%
	6	105	17%	14%	18%	24%	7%	23%	17%	13%	19%	10%	19%	22%	17%
	7 - Extremely important	220	37%	37%	41%	33%	58%	21%	35%	44%	36%	30%	32%	46%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.21	5.02	5.58	5.28	5.45	4.59	5.11	5.21	5.29	4.48	5.16	5.80	5.33
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1 - Not at all important	48	8%	9%	7%	7%	4%	-	5%	12%	9%	15%	7%	6%	5%
	2	35	6%	6%	3%	7%	-	3%	3%	11%	6%	9%	7%	4%	3%
	3	44	7%	8%	9%	5%	-	5%	5%	5%	9%	11%	8%	6%	5%
	4	125	21%	23%	21%	16%	40%	22%	16%	15%	22%	18%	22%	21%	21%
	5	133	22%	20%	23%	26%	12%	25%	19%	22%	23%	13%	25%	24%	26%
	6	99	17%	15%	19%	18%	10%	29%	19%	16%	15%	15%	16%	17%	17%
	7 - Extremely important	114	19%	19%	17%	20%	34%	15%	33%	18%	17%	19%	13%	22%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	599	4.69	4.62	4.75	4.80	5.22	5.18	5.29	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.51	4.94	5.01
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1 - Not at all important	55	9%	10%	9%	7%	4%	10%	9%	9%	9%	16%	8%	6%	7%
	2	37	6%	9%	4%	2%	-	5%	5%	8%	6%	9%	5%	8%	1%
	3	57	10%	9%	14%	7%	12%	11%	8%	3%	11%	8%	9%	10%	11%
	4	116	19%	20%	13%	24%	34%	10%	18%	19%	20%	17%	22%	17%	22%
	5	153	26%	25%	22%	29%	27%	27%	31%	22%	25%	25%	26%	24%	27%
	6	75	13%	11%	14%	15%	11%	13%	10%	18%	12%	7%	13%	16%	13%
	7 - Extremely important	106	18%	16%	23%	16%	12%	24%	20%	20%	16%	18%	16%	19%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.54	4.38	4.69	4.75	4.61	4.75	4.64	4.68	4.46	4.20	4.56	4.67	4.72

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in jail	1 - Not at all important	55	9%	11%	6%	9%	4%	4%	11%	12%	9%	14%	10%	4%	10%
	2	36	6%	8%	4%	4%	14%	2%	4%	6%	6%	10%	6%	3%	4%
	3	58	10%	8%	12%	13%	8%	18%	8%	10%	9%	10%	14%	7%	8%
	4	83	14%	13%	16%	12%	12%	4%	12%	8%	17%	10%	15%	12%	19%
	5	130	22%	22%	21%	21%	15%	40%	34%	19%	18%	24%	22%	22%	19%
	6	101	17%	17%	15%	17%	24%	22%	10%	16%	17%	14%	14%	23%	15%
	7 - Extremely important	137	23%	21%	27%	23%	23%	10%	21%	29%	23%	18%	18%	30%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.75	4.66	4.95	4.75	4.86	4.81	4.68	4.80	4.74	4.33	4.46	5.33	4.77
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1 - Not at all important	48	8%	8%	8%	7%	4%	7%	8%	16%	6%	15%	7%	4%	6%
	2	27	5%	5%	4%	4%	-	9%	4%	4%	5%	8%	7%	1%	2%
	3	59	10%	11%	8%	8%	-	9%	5%	10%	11%	14%	11%	8%	7%
	4	92	15%	17%	13%	14%	38%	21%	12%	15%	15%	17%	15%	14%	15%
	5	149	25%	23%	29%	25%	31%	31%	33%	16%	24%	25%	26%	24%	25%
	6	87	14%	15%	12%	17%	-	4%	21%	9%	16%	7%	15%	19%	16%
	7 - Extremely important	138	23%	21%	26%	25%	27%	18%	16%	31%	23%	14%	19%	30%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.80	4.69	4.89	4.95	5.00	4.46	4.88	4.60	4.86	4.09	4.67	5.27	5.11
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1 - Not at all important	49	8%	9%	6%	9%	4%	14%	8%	11%	7%	16%	8%	3%	7%
	2	25	4%	5%	3%	4%	-	-	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	3%	3%
	3	43	7%	6%	10%	7%	-	4%	9%	13%	6%	13%	10%	3%	3%
	4	81	14%	14%	14%	12%	17%	30%	11%	10%	13%	14%	14%	13%	14%
	5	103	17%	18%	16%	16%	36%	15%	23%	12%	17%	13%	19%	15%	22%
	6	110	18%	15%	21%	24%	4%	10%	12%	16%	22%	15%	20%	20%	19%
	7 - Extremely important	189	31%	34%	29%	28%	38%	27%	32%	33%	31%	25%	23%	44%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.08	5.07	5.12	5.06	5.48	4.72	5.01	4.88	5.16	4.47	4.83	5.67	5.25
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1 - Not at all important	30	5%	5%	4%	5%	4%	3%	-	7%	6%	9%	4%	3%	5%
	2	25	4%	3%	2%	8%	-	5%	1%	6%	4%	6%	6%	3%	3%
	3	39	7%	6%	10%	4%	-	13%	8%	5%	6%	9%	5%	8%	4%
	4	81	13%	14%	14%	13%	22%	4%	17%	7%	15%	15%	19%	7%	13%
	5	122	20%	19%	19%	25%	11%	30%	24%	24%	18%	17%	28%	16%	21%
	6	121	20%	20%	22%	20%	18%	11%	15%	17%	23%	24%	17%	18%	23%
	7 - Extremely important	182	30%	33%	30%	25%	45%	35%	36%	34%	27%	21%	21%	45%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	5.22	5.28	5.26	5.05	5.69	5.26	5.51	5.21	5.14	4.82	4.94	5.66	5.39
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1 - Not at all important	58	10%	12%	7%	7%	18%	24%	13%	11%	7%	21%	7%	5%	6%
	2	39	7%	8%	6%	4%	-	-	7%	11%	6%	10%	9%	4%	3%
	3	53	9%	9%	9%	9%	-	12%	3%	11%	9%	10%	12%	6%	8%
	4	64	11%	11%	11%	10%	6%	16%	8%	3%	13%	10%	14%	8%	11%
	5	118	20%	16%	22%	26%	18%	16%	15%	14%	22%	15%	24%	17%	23%
	6	99	17%	16%	20%	15%	4%	15%	26%	18%	15%	11%	12%	21%	21%
	7 - Extremely important	167	28%	29%	25%	28%	53%	17%	28%	32%	27%	23%	21%	38%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	4.85	4.73	4.95	5.03	5.34	4.12	4.97	4.79	4.90	4.13	4.59	5.44	5.17

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
42. Importance: More police officers	1-3 Not important	116	19%	20%	20%	18%	12%	20%	24%	20%	19%	27%	15%	27%	7%
	4/(DK/Ref)	82	14%	14%	12%	14%	25%	21%	9%	11%	14%	16%	11%	12%	16%
	5-7 Important	402	67%	66%	68%	68%	62%	59%	67%	69%	68%	57%	74%	61%	78%
43. Importance: New, expanded jail and detention facilities	1-3 Not important	167	28%	28%	27%	29%	19%	31%	27%	27%	28%	33%	23%	29%	25%
	4/(DK/Ref)	108	18%	19%	18%	17%	36%	13%	11%	16%	20%	15%	15%	21%	21%
	5-7 Important	324	54%	54%	55%	54%	45%	56%	61%	57%	52%	52%	62%	50%	54%
44. Importance: Treatment for mental health and drug or alcohol abuse	1-3 Not important	118	20%	24%	10%	18%	18%	31%	20%	24%	17%	35%	20%	9%	16%
	4/(DK/Ref)	50	8%	8%	10%	7%	6%	2%	6%	6%	10%	8%	11%	5%	9%
	5-7 Important	432	72%	68%	80%	74%	76%	67%	74%	69%	73%	58%	69%	86%	74%
45. Importance: Traffic and pedestrian safety measures	1-3 Not important	127	21%	22%	20%	20%	4%	8%	13%	29%	23%	34%	23%	15%	13%
	4/(DK/Ref)	126	21%	23%	21%	16%	40%	22%	16%	16%	22%	18%	23%	21%	21%
	5-7 Important	347	58%	54%	59%	64%	56%	69%	71%	56%	55%	48%	54%	63%	65%
46. Importance: More judges, prosecutors, and public defenders for our courts	1-3 Not important	149	25%	28%	27%	16%	16%	26%	22%	21%	27%	33%	23%	25%	19%
	4/(DK/Ref)	116	19%	20%	13%	24%	34%	10%	18%	19%	20%	17%	22%	17%	22%
	5-7 Important	334	56%	52%	59%	60%	50%	64%	60%	60%	53%	50%	55%	59%	58%
47. Importance: Rehabilitation programs for people in jail	1-3 Not important	149	25%	26%	21%	26%	26%	24%	23%	28%	24%	34%	31%	14%	22%
	4/(DK/Ref)	83	14%	13%	16%	12%	12%	4%	12%	8%	17%	10%	15%	12%	19%
	5-7 Important	368	61%	61%	63%	61%	62%	72%	65%	64%	59%	56%	54%	74%	59%
48. Importance: Violence prevention programs	1-3 Not important	134	22%	24%	21%	19%	4%	25%	17%	30%	22%	36%	25%	14%	16%
	4/(DK/Ref)	92	15%	17%	13%	14%	38%	21%	12%	15%	15%	17%	15%	14%	15%
	5-7 Important	374	62%	59%	67%	66%	58%	53%	71%	55%	63%	46%	60%	72%	69%
49. Importance: Mental health crisis response teams	1-3 Not important	118	20%	20%	19%	20%	4%	17%	22%	29%	18%	33%	24%	9%	13%
	4/(DK/Ref)	81	14%	14%	14%	12%	17%	30%	11%	10%	13%	14%	14%	13%	14%
	5-7 Important	401	67%	66%	67%	68%	79%	52%	67%	61%	69%	53%	62%	78%	73%
50. Importance: Youth engagement opportunities and programs	1-3 Not important	94	16%	15%	16%	17%	4%	21%	9%	18%	16%	23%	15%	13%	11%
	4/(DK/Ref)	81	13%	14%	14%	13%	22%	4%	17%	7%	15%	15%	19%	7%	13%
	5-7 Important	425	71%	71%	70%	70%	74%	76%	74%	75%	69%	62%	65%	79%	76%
51. Importance: New, expanded behavioral health and sobriety facilities	1-3 Not important	151	25%	29%	22%	20%	18%	36%	22%	33%	23%	41%	29%	15%	17%
	4/(DK/Ref)	64	11%	11%	11%	10%	6%	16%	8%	3%	13%	10%	14%	8%	11%
	5-7 Important	385	64%	60%	67%	70%	76%	48%	69%	64%	65%	49%	57%	76%	72%
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Very concerned	213	35%	40%	37%	24%	65%	38%	34%	27%	36%	40%	28%	38%	36%
	Somewhat concerned	228	38%	37%	42%	37%	18%	30%	35%	46%	38%	35%	33%	45%	36%
	Not too concerned	120	20%	20%	16%	23%	13%	30%	27%	15%	19%	21%	29%	13%	17%
	Not at all concerned	40	7%	3%	5%	17%	4%	3%	4%	13%	6%	3%	10%	4%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
52. Concern: Personal financial situation	Concerned	441	73%	77%	79%	61%	84%	68%	69%	73%	75%	75%	61%	83%	73%
	Not concerned	159	27%	23%	21%	39%	16%	32%	31%	27%	25%	25%	39%	17%	27%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1 - Very pessimistic	128	21%	24%	26%	11%	29%	7%	19%	19%	23%	25%	18%	25%	16%
	2	164	27%	26%	28%	30%	15%	43%	26%	24%	27%	30%	26%	28%	24%
	3	223	37%	40%	34%	34%	53%	36%	42%	38%	35%	33%	36%	38%	41%
	4	77	13%	10%	11%	22%	4%	13%	12%	16%	13%	11%	17%	9%	16%
	5 - Very optimistic	8	1%	1%	-	3%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	-	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	600	2.45	2.38	2.31	2.77	2.32	2.56	2.50	2.57	2.41	2.30	2.58	2.31	2.66
53. Outlook: Your area's economy	1-2 Pessimistic	292	49%	50%	54%	41%	44%	51%	45%	44%	51%	56%	45%	53%	40%
	3/(DK/Ref)	223	37%	40%	34%	34%	53%	36%	42%	38%	35%	33%	36%	38%	41%
	4-5 Optimistic	85	14%	11%	11%	25%	4%	13%	13%	18%	14%	11%	19%	9%	19%
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	Much more often	9	2%	1%	3%	1%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%
	Somewhat more often	20	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	6%	1%
	About the same	193	32%	34%	25%	34%	62%	48%	32%	30%	30%	32%	25%	33%	38%
	Somewhat less often	118	20%	17%	24%	21%	7%	16%	30%	17%	19%	19%	24%	19%	16%
	Much less often	260	43%	44%	43%	42%	22%	30%	32%	47%	47%	43%	48%	40%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
54. Downtown visitation compared to a year ago	More often	30	5%	4%	8%	3%	9%	6%	6%	7%	4%	5%	3%	8%	3%
	Same/(DK)	193	32%	34%	25%	34%	62%	48%	32%	30%	30%	32%	25%	33%	38%
	Less often	377	63%	61%	67%	62%	29%	45%	62%	64%	66%	63%	72%	59%	59%
2. Homeowner	Own or are buying your apartment or home	420	70%	65%	75%	76%	45%	59%	56%	69%	75%	70%	79%	63%	70%
	Rent or lease your apartment or home	139	23%	27%	18%	21%	27%	36%	38%	22%	19%	24%	16%	27%	25%
	Live with family	27	4%	6%	5%	2%	14%	-	3%	10%	3%	3%	1%	8%	4%
	Not have stable housing	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	1%	-	-
	(Refused)	13	2%	3%	1%	1%	14%	5%	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%
2. Homeowner	Homeowner	420	70%	65%	75%	76%	45%	59%	56%	69%	75%	70%	79%	63%	70%
	Non-Homeowner	180	30%	35%	25%	24%	55%	41%	44%	31%	25%	30%	21%	37%	30%
55. Residence duration	<2 years	17	3%	5%	0%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	2%	3%	3%	4%
	2-5 years	41	7%	10%	3%	4%	-	100%	-	-	-	8%	4%	8%	7%
	6-10 years	70	12%	15%	10%	5%	-	-	100%	-	-	21%	6%	10%	10%
	11-20 years	92	15%	14%	14%	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	16%	17%	17%	11%
	20+ years	380	63%	56%	72%	71%	-	-	-	-	100%	53%	71%	61%	69%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
56. Party ID	Strong Democrat	112	19%	17%	15%	25%	16%	21%	12%	22%	19%	12%	17%	28%	17%
	Not very strong Democrat	44	7%	9%	7%	3%	8%	8%	7%	5%	8%	9%	3%	11%	6%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	65	11%	9%	12%	13%	16%	10%	10%	7%	12%	7%	13%	7%	16%
	Independent	64	11%	12%	13%	6%	-	6%	26%	6%	10%	16%	9%	6%	12%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	44	7%	5%	12%	7%	12%	8%	4%	8%	7%	8%	9%	8%	3%
	Not very strong Republican	33	6%	6%	7%	3%	-	6%	4%	5%	6%	7%	7%	2%	6%
	Strong Republican	140	23%	20%	20%	33%	27%	23%	24%	29%	22%	25%	28%	17%	25%
	Something else	40	7%	9%	6%	1%	21%	6%	10%	9%	5%	13%	2%	8%	3%
	Prefer not to respond	58	10%	11%	8%	9%	-	11%	3%	9%	11%	4%	12%	11%	11%
56. Party ID	Democrat	222	37%	36%	34%	42%	40%	39%	29%	34%	39%	28%	33%	46%	39%
	Republican	217	36%	32%	39%	43%	39%	37%	33%	41%	35%	40%	44%	28%	34%
	NPP/Other	162	27%	32%	27%	16%	21%	23%	38%	24%	26%	32%	23%	26%	27%
57. Political Ideology	1 - Very liberal	48	8%	7%	8%	10%	15%	4%	6%	15%	7%	4%	5%	14%	8%
	2	59	10%	10%	8%	11%	5%	26%	7%	11%	8%	7%	7%	14%	11%
	3	85	14%	14%	15%	13%	27%	12%	20%	10%	14%	13%	14%	17%	12%
	4	112	19%	21%	18%	16%	36%	17%	19%	13%	20%	20%	19%	14%	23%
	5	83	14%	13%	17%	11%	12%	12%	13%	11%	15%	14%	18%	8%	16%
	6	66	11%	8%	13%	15%	-	5%	14%	14%	11%	15%	13%	7%	9%
	7 - Very conservative	91	15%	14%	13%	20%	6%	14%	12%	17%	16%	20%	16%	13%	12%
	(Refused)	56	9%	12%	9%	4%	-	10%	8%	9%	10%	6%	8%	13%	9%
	Mean	544	4.26	4.19	4.30	4.37	3.49	3.86	4.28	4.15	4.37	4.67	4.54	3.73	4.14
57. Political Ideology	1-3 Liberal	192	32%	31%	30%	35%	46%	42%	33%	36%	29%	24%	26%	44%	31%
	4/(DK/Ref)	168	28%	32%	27%	19%	36%	27%	28%	22%	29%	27%	27%	27%	32%
	5-7 Conservative	240	40%	36%	43%	46%	17%	31%	39%	42%	42%	49%	47%	28%	37%
58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)	Hispanic	25	4%	5%	2%	3%	0%	11%	7%	8%	2%	8%	4%	3%	3%
	White	501	84%	81%	82%	90%	100%	91%	76%	81%	84%	79%	87%	81%	87%
	Black	10	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	4%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%
	Asian	18	3%	4%	3%	2%	0%	0%	1%	5%	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%
	Something else	49	8%	9%	11%	4%	0%	3%	15%	8%	8%	9%	7%	10%	7%
	Prefer not to respond	15	3%	3%	3%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	3%	1%	1%	6%	2%
58. Ethnicity (Multiple Response)	White	501	84%	81%	82%	90%	100%	91%	76%	81%	84%	79%	87%	81%	87%
	BIPOC	100	17%	19%	18%	9%	0%	19%	21%	23%	15%	23%	14%	17%	12%
	Prefer not to respond	15	3%	3%	3%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	3%	1%	1%	6%	2%
59. Age	18-29	109	18%	23%	21%	4%	11%	24%	24%	18%	17%	37%	-	33%	-
	30-39	104	17%	23%	14%	8%	4%	19%	26%	22%	15%	30%	-	35%	-
	40-49	97	16%	18%	19%	9%	34%	19%	19%	19%	14%	32%	-	29%	-
	50-64	126	21%	19%	25%	21%	29%	18%	5%	22%	24%	-	43%	-	47%
	65 or over	155	26%	14%	21%	58%	23%	20%	26%	20%	28%	-	57%	-	53%
	(Refused)	8	1%	2%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
Age (Self-ID and Sample)	18-29	113	19%	24%	21%	4%	11%	24%	24%	18%	18%	37%	-	34%	-
	30-39	106	18%	24%	14%	8%	4%	19%	26%	22%	16%	30%	-	36%	-
	40-49	98	16%	19%	19%	9%	34%	19%	19%	19%	14%	32%	-	30%	-
	50-64	127	21%	20%	25%	21%	29%	18%	5%	22%	24%	-	43%	-	47%
	65+	156	26%	14%	21%	59%	23%	20%	26%	20%	28%	-	57%	-	53%
Two-Age Split	18-49	317	53%	67%	54%	20%	49%	62%	69%	58%	48%	100%	-	100%	-
	50+	283	47%	33%	46%	80%	51%	38%	31%	42%	52%	-	100%	-	100%
Generation	18-49	317	53%	67%	54%	20%	49%	62%	69%	58%	48%	100%	-	100%	-
	50-64	127	21%	20%	25%	21%	29%	18%	5%	22%	24%	-	43%	-	47%
	65+	156	26%	14%	21%	59%	23%	20%	26%	20%	28%	-	57%	-	53%
60. Education	Some grade school	1	0%	0%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	-
	Graduated high school	27	4%	6%	4%	3%	11%	-	4%	3%	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%
	Technical or Vocational school	47	8%	9%	9%	4%	15%	2%	6%	6%	9%	13%	5%	7%	6%
	Some college or Less than 4-year degree	186	31%	33%	27%	31%	30%	41%	25%	37%	30%	30%	29%	32%	32%
	Graduated college or 4-year degree (BA, BS, Bachelor's)	174	29%	26%	33%	32%	21%	31%	29%	27%	30%	28%	32%	30%	26%
	Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MS, Master's, PhD, MBA, Doctorate)	150	25%	24%	25%	27%	20%	27%	32%	26%	24%	22%	26%	24%	29%
	(Refused)	10	2%	1%	2%	3%	-	-	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
60. Education	College Graduate	324	54%	50%	58%	59%	41%	57%	61%	54%	53%	51%	57%	54%	55%
	Non-College Graduate	276	46%	50%	42%	41%	59%	43%	39%	46%	47%	49%	43%	46%	45%
61. Gender	Employed full time	292	49%	55%	50%	33%	43%	60%	50%	58%	45%	71%	39%	53%	31%
	Employed part time	43	7%	8%	6%	6%	4%	6%	14%	6%	6%	4%	6%	7%	12%
	Unemployed	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-
	Retired	175	29%	20%	25%	53%	34%	26%	22%	21%	32%	15%	47%	12%	47%
	Student	8	1%	2%	1%	-	-	4%	-	4%	1%	-	-	5%	-
	Homemaker	11	2%	1%	3%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	-	-	6%	0%
	(Other)	43	7%	8%	9%	4%	15%	-	7%	6%	8%	7%	5%	8%	8%
	(Refused)	20	3%	5%	3%	1%	4%	4%	6%	2%	3%	3%	2%	6%	2%
Q62. Do you identify as...?	A man	286	48%	46%	47%	52%	44%	41%	55%	52%	46%	99%	98%	-	-
	A woman	303	50%	52%	49%	47%	56%	59%	41%	48%	52%	-	-	97%	99%
	Non-binary	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-	1%	-
	Another gender identity	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	1%	-	-	-
	(Refused)	9	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	-	3%	-	2%	-	2%	3%	1%
Q62. Do you identify as...?	Male	286	48%	46%	47%	52%	44%	41%	55%	52%	46%	99%	98%	-	-
	Female	303	50%	52%	49%	47%	56%	59%	41%	48%	52%	-	-	97%	99%
	Other/Ref	11	2%	2%	3%	1%	-	-	4%	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
Gender (Self-ID and Sample)	Male	290	48%	47%	48%	53%	44%	41%	55%	52%	47%	100%	100%	-	-
	Female	310	52%	53%	52%	47%	56%	59%	45%	48%	53%	-	-	100%	100%
Sample	Rest of Spokane County	240	40%	37%	43%	44%	42%	49%	49%	46%	36%	39%	42%	37%	43%
	City of Spokane	240	40%	41%	38%	39%	26%	30%	42%	42%	41%	40%	39%	43%	37%
	City of Spokane Valley	120	20%	22%	18%	18%	31%	21%	9%	12%	23%	21%	19%	20%	20%
Sample	City of Spokane	240	40%	41%	38%	39%	26%	30%	42%	42%	41%	40%	39%	43%	37%
	Rest of Spokane County	360	60%	59%	62%	61%	74%	70%	58%	58%	59%	60%	61%	57%	63%
State House District (Sample)	LD3	162	27%	23%	31%	31%	22%	23%	26%	33%	26%	24%	31%	27%	26%
	LD4	186	31%	33%	32%	25%	40%	31%	24%	25%	33%	35%	30%	31%	28%
	LD6	168	28%	30%	25%	27%	24%	31%	31%	19%	29%	23%	29%	31%	29%
	LD7	12	2%	3%	1%	1%	-	6%	4%	2%	1%	0%	-	2%	5%
	LD9	72	12%	11%	11%	16%	14%	9%	15%	21%	9%	17%	10%	9%	11%
Party Registration (Sample)	Democrat	265	44%	40%	49%	49%	40%	36%	47%	42%	45%	33%	42%	54%	46%
	Republican	222	37%	33%	33%	48%	39%	51%	31%	43%	35%	41%	46%	25%	38%
	Other	113	19%	26%	18%	2%	21%	12%	22%	16%	20%	26%	12%	21%	16%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	319	53%	100%	-	-	96%	77%	69%	47%	47%	65%	37%	69%	38%
	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	138	23%	-	100%	-	4%	10%	21%	21%	26%	24%	22%	23%	23%
	Perfect Voter (6/6)	143	24%	-	-	100%	-	14%	10%	32%	27%	11%	41%	8%	39%
Homeowner (Sample)	Homeowner	376	63%	54%	71%	75%	26%	65%	59%	69%	63%	66%	66%	53%	67%
	Renter	107	18%	19%	20%	13%	26%	12%	8%	22%	19%	12%	17%	22%	19%
	Unknown	118	20%	28%	10%	11%	48%	22%	33%	10%	18%	22%	17%	25%	14%
emc_income	Less than $25K	600	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gender/Two-Age	Male: 18-49	146	24%	30%	25%	11%	21%	27%	43%	26%	20%	100%	-	-	-
	Male: 50+	144	24%	17%	23%	42%	22%	14%	12%	26%	27%	-	100%	-	-
	Female: 18-49	171	28%	37%	29%	9%	27%	35%	26%	32%	27%	-	-	100%	-
	Female: 50+	139	23%	17%	23%	38%	29%	24%	19%	16%	25%	-	-	-	100%
Gender/Three-Age	Male: 18-49	146	24%	30%	25%	11%	21%	27%	43%	26%	20%	100%	-	-	-
	Male: 50-64	62	10%	9%	15%	8%	6%	6%	2%	15%	11%	-	43%	-	-
	Male: 65+	83	14%	8%	8%	34%	17%	8%	10%	12%	15%	-	57%	-	-
	Female: 18-49	171	28%	37%	29%	9%	27%	35%	26%	32%	27%	-	-	100%	-
	Female: 50-64	65	11%	10%	10%	13%	23%	13%	4%	7%	12%	-	-	-	47%
	Female: 65+	73	12%	6%	13%	25%	6%	12%	16%	9%	13%	-	-	-	53%

				Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)			55. Residence duration					Gender/Two-Age			
		n	%	New or Infrequent Voter (0-3/6)	Frequent Voter (4-5/6)	Perfect Voter (6/6)	<2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	20+ years	Male: 18-49	Male: 50+	Female: 18-49	Female: 50+
Number of cases		600		319	138	143	17	41	70	92	380	146	144	171	139
Row percent			100%	53%	23%	24%	3%	7%	12%	15%	63%	24%	24%	28%	23%
Gender/Homeowner	Male / Homeowner	216	36%	34%	35%	41%	18%	27%	32%	38%	38%	70%	79%	-	-
	Male / Non-Homeowner	75	12%	12%	13%	12%	26%	14%	24%	14%	9%	30%	21%	-	-
	Female / Homeowner	204	34%	31%	40%	35%	27%	32%	25%	31%	37%	-	-	63%	70%
	Female / Non-Homeowner	105	18%	23%	12%	12%	29%	27%	20%	17%	16%	-	-	37%	30%
Party/Two-Age	Democrat / 18-49	141	23%	28%	28%	10%	16%	22%	34%	27%	21%	33%	-	54%	-
	Democrat / 50+	125	21%	13%	20%	39%	24%	15%	13%	14%	24%	-	42%	-	46%
	Republican / 18-49	102	17%	20%	17%	11%	12%	31%	18%	24%	14%	41%	-	25%	-
	Republican / 50+	120	20%	14%	17%	38%	27%	21%	13%	19%	21%	-	46%	-	38%
	Other / 18-49	74	12%	19%	9%	-	21%	9%	17%	7%	13%	26%	-	21%	-
	Other / 50+	39	6%	7%	9%	2%	-	3%	5%	9%	7%	-	12%	-	16%
Party/Gender	Democrat / Male	109	18%	15%	20%	24%	17%	17%	22%	15%	18%	33%	42%	-	-
	Democrat / Female	156	26%	25%	28%	26%	24%	19%	25%	27%	27%	-	-	54%	46%
	Republican / Male	127	21%	19%	19%	29%	13%	18%	15%	27%	21%	41%	46%	-	-
	Republican / Female	95	16%	15%	14%	20%	26%	34%	16%	15%	14%	-	-	25%	38%
	Other / Male	54	9%	13%	9%	1%	14%	6%	17%	10%	7%	26%	12%	-	-
	Other / Female	58	10%	14%	9%	2%	7%	6%	4%	6%	12%	-	-	21%	16%